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## A - INTRODUCTION

During the Canadian Radio-Television Commission's second year of operation under the Broadcasting Act of 1968 its workload increased substantially over the first year. Six public hearings were held across the country, in Ottawa, Montreal, Vancouver and Toronto to consider applications for new licences, renewals and amendments, as well as to hear representations from the public on policy and proposals.

The Commission received a total of 1,119 applications during the 1969-70 fiscal year. These included 292 AM Radio applications, 74 FM Radio applications, 270 Television applications and 269 CATV applications. There were also 201 securities applications received and 13 network applications.

By March 31, 1970 (the end of the fiscal year) the Commission had announced decisions on 849 applications.

Although the Commission has attempted to deal with as many applications as possible during the year, other factors such as presentations commenting on policy proposals have consumed a great deal of time during the public hearings.

In addition to consideration of the above-mentioned applications, the Commission has heard presentations relating to the development of policies for FM Radio and CATV during the June, October and November 1969 hearings, and the February 1970 hearing. The February hearing also considered presentations relating to the proposed CRTC Rules of Procedure. Another hearing to deal specifically with Canadian Program proposals, was scheduled for April 1970.

This year can be characterized as the year for programming, the year in which the Commission concentrated on maintaining and developing the 'Canadian' broadcasting system.

In December, the Commission said:
"the Canadian broadcasting system...must now improve rapidly or risk disappearing as a system. To ensure its survival it is more and more apparent that it must increase the extension of services which the population requires, and improve the quality and variety of these services."
(CRTC Public Announcement, December 3, 1969)

Not By Ownership Alone
During the year, the Commission's major policy directions and decisions reflected the fact that Canadian ownership alone does not ensure an independent Canadian Broadcasting System.

On Apri1 17, 1969 one of the Commission's major decisions on foreign ownership denied Famous Players Canadian Corporation, a controlled subsidiary of Paramount International Films Inc., the permission to transfer its broadcasting shareholdings into a new corporation (Teltron Communications Limited) for two main reasons. One was because the effective ownership in Teltron by Famous Players would have remained essentially the same as before (see page 55). The other was because the application and presentations to the Commission in Public Hearings failed to demonstrate policies that would significantly contribute to "safeguard, enrich and strengthen the cultural, political, social and economic fabric of Canada". (Broadcasting Act, Section 2(b)).

## The "Fabric" and Program Policy

Two central policies, announced later in the year, were anticipated in that announcement and particularly in its reference to Section $2(b)$ of the Broadcasting Act.

On May 13, July 10 and December 3, 1969 a policy for CATV was announced which indicated, among other major directions, a stand by the Commission against the "wholesale importation" of American programs by microwave or other means into Canada, although other features such as local programming by, and coordination of, Canadian systems were also considered in the policy (See page 35 ).

This measure, protective in some respects of the economic base of "off-the-air" broadcasting and designed to strengthen the east-west flow of Canadian broadcasting was supplemented early in 1970 by proposals for programming in radio and television (announced February 12 and slated for discussion at a public hearing at the beginning of the new fiscal year in April).

The proposed programming policy would affect:

1. Radio programming by requiring that $30 \%$ of music programmed on Canadian stations was 'Canadian' according to four criteria and to a graduated schedule of implementation (See page 28).
2. Television programming of: (i) commercial announcements, (ii) advertising in newscasts and, (iii) percentages of Canadian content required in the television schedule and in prime-time hours. This latter proposal also defined a $30 \%$ maximum quota for non-Canadian programming allowed from any one country. In broad terms, the proposals suggested an increase from $55 \%$ to $60 \%$ of Canadian programs in the overall schedule, and $60 \%$ Canadian Content in prime-time, i.e., between $6: 30$ and $11: 30$ p.m. A different calendar of implementation for the public and private sectors were envisaged (See page 30).

## "Safeguard", "enrich", and "strengthen"

So while the CATV policy might be considered, in some of its aspects, as a "safeguard" measure, the proposed Programming regulations were primarily intended to "enrich" and "strengthen" the cultural, political, social and economic fabric.

The Canadian Broadcasting System, since its beginnings in the early 20 's, has had to be occasionally coordinated to surmount certain obstacles. The Aird Commission Report in the early days of radio, the 1932 Broadcasting Act, the creation of the CBC, the 1958 Broadcasting Act and the 1968 Broadcasting Act, are examples of the determination mustered to conquer impending problems and to mobilize the collective foresight, know-how and support of the Canadian people: legislators, broadcasters and the public.

Since the advent of television and, more recently the development of CATV, the course has become even more demanding.

The "Americanization of the (Canadian) unconscious" as well as risks for viability and future development of the Canadian Broadcasting System, appeared, just two years after the latest Broadcasting Act, a more imminent danger than it did in 1968.

The Royal Commission on Publication (1960-61) had said that "the communications of a nation are as vital to its life as its defenses". It has been the Commission's view, that Canada must at this time inject an extra measure of effort into the development of the Canadian Broadcasting System.

A spokesman for the CTV Television Network Limited said at the Vancouver Hearing in October 1969 that if unrestricted fragmentation of a market by cable is allowed, "the economic health of the industry in total will suffer" and "the cost to the public ... would be $\$ 4.00$ a month and the loss of their identity."

## Public Comment

It cannot be said, however, that at the close of the year, on the eve of the Public Hearing on proposed programming regulations, the announced CATV policy and the proposals for programming regulations had met with general favour, although much was said both for and against them.

Unlike building the railway, to which building the broadcasting system in Canada has sometimes been compared, the reasons for taking the hard path are not arguable on the grounds of developing a link across the country. This has largely been done, physically by the railway, and by the Canadian communications system including broadcasting. The common carriers insure the flow of a wide range of communications from the personal kind to the mass kind. But the carriage of goods or messages, undifferentiated as to content, form and function, is not the goal of the Canadian Broadcasting System. As long as railways and telecommunications are justifiable on grounds of engineering, economic stability, service and national purpose, they are comparable to broadcasting. But like the "defences" of a nation, broadcasting must serve yet other objectives to "safeguard, enrich and strengthen...the fabric". This calls for 'Canadian' content, forms and functions in broadcast programming.

## The Grounds

Both the CATV policy and programming proposals were based on extensive study of economic, technical, social and cultural needs of Canada and of the broadcasting system, and the inherent risks. On the one hand, some 32 U.S. television stations radiate across the Canadian border and are within easy reach with a good outdoor antenna or with a high gain CATV antenna to $55 \%$ of the Canadian population settled along the border. (See map entitled Licensed CATV Systems in Canada). The Canadian TV system, private and public, Eng1ish and French, is composed of 365 off-air originating and rebroadcasting stations. Approximately 57 of these Canadian stations, including repeaters, are within the reception area of the border American stations either with good home or CATV antennae.

The majority of these Canadian stations are located in large metropolitan areas such as Montreal, Ottawa, Toronto, Hamilton and Vancouver. The laws of the market have established patterns in those areas which, in the Commission's view, did not apply equally to the more isolated, less wealthy economic areas.

The CATV microwave policy and Canadian Content proposals indicated the Commission's response to the $45 \%$ non-Canadian productions on the majority of English language Canadian stations. Other very prominent factors under consideration are the increasing means of production and diffusion; the potential of satellite broadcasting; provision of public access to the airwaves; development of talent and generally the rebresentation of Canadian life on radio and television.

The Scope of Activity, 69-70
Much work on ownership and extension of service also occupied the Comnission in the course of the year.

Applications for ownership adjustments have been considered in the light of concern for promoting and preserving local identification in broadcasting as well as the need to conform to foreign ownership directives PC 1969-630 and PC 1969-2229.

The Commission has been examining ownership structures of broadcasting enterprises, as well as the equity held by applicants in other enterprises and related media, to ensure financial stability and to provide a basis for determination of policy relating to concentration of ownership and control in the media. The advantages and disadvantages of large scale ownership are also being analyzed.

Among the Commission's objectives are: to extend broadcasting to areas deprived of service and to areas not receiving service in French or English where the population warrants it; to provide second service in either language according to regions; and to extend additional services to areas already receiving second service. Off-the-air broadcasting and CATV are regarded as means to extend service.

There are still areas of the country where there is no television service. The CBC English language network as of March, 1969, was still not available to 615,000 English speaking people and the French language network was not available to 439,000 French speaking people. This data breaks down into 185 English speaking and 60 French speaking communities of 500 or more persons not receiving a service in the language of the community. Over $25 \%$ of the English and bilingual population do not yet have CTV network service and 1.3 million French speaking people do not have second French language television service.

Among the areas considered with particular attention to second service were Kamloops and Kelowna, B.C., and Sudbury, Ontario.

## Educational Television

Following several years of study, constitutional considerations and consultations, the Government of Canada introduced on March 10, 1969, Bill C-179, an "Act to estab1ish the Canadian Educational Broadcasting Agency, and to make certain consequential amendments to the Broadcasting Act".

Due to several factors, including the advance taken in the field of ETV by some provinces, the constitutional element, and developing technology, the Bill was withdrawn in November, 1969.

Pursuant to Section 22(1)(a)(ii) of the Broadcasting Act which states that the Governor in Council may issue direction to the Commission respecting the reservation of "channe1s or frequencles for the use of the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation or for any special purpose designated", the Secretary of State, Hon. Gérard Pelletier, announced in the House of Commons on November 5, 1969 that:
> 'The Canadian Radio-Television Commission will be directed, pursuant to Section 22(1)(a) (ii) of the Broadcasting Act, that in Provinces where the Provincial authorities desire cable transmission facilities, as a condition for all new cable licences, and for the renewal of existing cable 1icences, the 1 icences shall be required to set aside at least one channel for educational programming. By this action, the Government assures access to this mode of transmission for Educational Broadcasting. I should note, Mr. Speaker, that this policy is consistent with the policy statement of the C.R.T.C. on the development of cable as issued on May 13th.

> Furthermore, the Government has decided that in certain situations, the C.R.T.C. may recommend to the Government to direct the C.B.C. to act as its agent pursuant to Section 39(2) of the Broadcasting Act, in providing, on a recoverable cost basis, the transmission facilities for Educational Broadcasting."

As a result of that decision, the Commission heard an application from the CBC on behalf of the Ontario Department of Education to provide a transmission faci1ity for educational programs on Channel 19- in Toronto. The application was approved on January 30, 1970. This decision under the new direction also marked the opening of the U.H.F. band for broadcasting in Canada.

## Developments

Rationalization of telecommunications legislation and regulation, beting studied by the Telecommission, involved the CRTC in studies with the Department of Comunications and other agencies.

The Commission is engaged in short-term and future oriented studies of the broadcast environment as it is projected to develop within the next decade. Although the development of the entire spectrum of broadcasting is being
considered, there is an awareness of the specific role of satellite broadcasting in satisfying Canadian broadcasting needs in existing and expected forms. In general, the analysis is centred on technological developments, cost factors, potential revenue sources, and the economic impact on Canada and the Canadian broadcast community. The Commission is also studying the social, cultural and environmental aspects of satellite broadcasting.

The scope and direction of the Commission's activity during the 1969-70 fiscal year are outlined in detail in the following pages.
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## B - CANADIAN BROADCASTING SYSTEM

This year the Canadian Broadcasting system has been enlarging to adjust to the growing demand for broadcasting service.

In the period April 1, 1969 to March 31,1970 the Commission licensed seven new AM Radio stations, two new FM Radio stations, 14 new Television stations, 11 new low power Relay Transmitters and 208 new CATV systems. 1 These have provided additional service and wider coverage.

## I Television Coverage:

At least 90 per cent of the Canadian population is covered by television service. The Canadian Broadcasting Corporation's English television network covers 89 per cent of the Canadian population while the CBC French television network covers 39 per cent of the Canadian population.

The private television network, CTV Television Network Limited covers approximately 80 per cent of the Canadian population and independent television stations cover approximately 20 per cent.

The percentage of the Canadian population (by province) within the Grade "B" contour of a Canadian television undertaking is as follows:

1. In Newfoundland, 87 per cent of the population of the province is within the service area.
2. In Prince Edward Island, 92.8 per cent of the population is within the service area.
3. In Nova Scotia, almost the entire population of the province is within the service area - that is, 99.2 per cent of the population.
4. In New Brunswick, 99.5 per cent of the population is within the service area.

1
For the total number of these broadcasting undertakings in Canada, see TABLES IV, V, and VI. NOTE: TABLE VI (a to 1) is in Appendix.

2
These figures represent approximations of coverage. The CBC and CTV statistics are acquired from the Networks.
5. In Quebec, 97.8 per cent of the population of the province is within the service area.
6. In Ontario, 99.2 per cent of the population of the province is within the service area.
7. In Manitoba, 99.5 per cent of the population of the province is within the service area. This is an increase of .3 percent over 196 .
8. In Saskatchewan, 88.5 per cent of the population of the province is within the service area.
9. In Alberta, 93.3 per cent of the population of the province is within the service area.
10. In British Columbia, 91.8 per cent of the population of the province is within the service area.

## II <br> Television Non-Coverage:

Whereas most of the Canadian population is covered by both radio and television, there are still some areas deprived of any service.

Newfoundland is the only province where more than 10 per cent of the population does not receive AM Radio service. 1 Newfoundland and Saskatchewan are the only two provinces where more than 10 per cent of the population does not receive television service. 2

In the Yukon and the Northwest Territories, more than 50 per cent receive neither AM Radio, nor Television. Compared to the national average, these two areas are the least served. Sixty-five per cent of the Yukon is without AM Radio service, and 57.2 per cent of the population is without television service. Seventy per cent of the population of the Northwest Territories is without AM Radio and 61.5 per cent of the population is without television service.

However, the situation in the Yukon and Northwest Territories has greatly improved since 1969. In 1969, there was no television service in the Yukon, but in $1970,42.8$ per cent of the people are receiving television service. In 1969, only 13.1 per cent of the Northwest Territories' population received television service. However, by January 1970, 38.5 per cent of the Northwest Territories' population was able to receive television service.

```
1
    See Table II
2
    See Table II
3
    See Table II
```

More Canadians have radio service than television service. Private AM Radio stations are estimated to cover at least 90 per cent of the Canadian population. The Canadian Broadcasting Corporation (CBC) English Radio stations cover 94 per cent of the Canadian population. The CBC French Radio network covers 80 per cent of the population.

Coverage by FM Radio is less than by AM Radio. Private FM Radio is estimated to cover approximately 60 per cent of the Canadian population. CBC FM Radio covers 43 per cent of the Canadian population. ${ }^{1}$

1
For Radio AM non-coverage
figures see Table II.

TABLE I

## CANADIAN POPULATION *

CBC COVERAGE

| CBC English Network (CBC) | Population Covered | Percentage of Canada Covered |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Radio AM | $18,813,000$ | $94 \%$ |
| Radio FM (1) | $8,534,0,00$ | $43 \%$ |
| Television (Fnglish) | $17,880,000$ | $89 \%$ |
| CBC French Network (Radio-Canada) | $15,963,000$ | $80 \%$ |
| Radio AM (2) | $7,879,000$ | $\mathbf{3 9 \%}$ |
| Television |  |  |

- AM Radio data based on daytime ( $0.5 \mathrm{mv} / \mathrm{m}$ ) service.
- FM Radio data based on $50 \mathrm{uv} / \mathrm{m}$ service.
- Television data based on $A+B$ service.
* CBC Statistics, April 1st, 1970.
(1) The CBC English Radio (FM) Network includes CBM-FM Montreal, CBO-FM Ottawa and CBL-FM Toronto. CBC-owned stations at Winnipeg (CBW-FM) and Vancouver (CBU-FM) have a Total Population coverage of 744,000 and $1,306,000$ respectively.
(2) The $50 \mathrm{uv} / \mathrm{m}$ coverage of the CBC-owned French FM stations at Richibucto (CBHM-FM), Maniwaki (CBFL-FM) and Vancouver (CBUF-FM) ... which carry AM programs, is included in the CBC French Radio (AM) Network data.

TABLE II Percentage of Canadian Population Not Receiving Coverage *

| PROVINCE | January 1970 |  | January 1969 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | RADIO-AM | TV | TV |
|  | \% | \% | \% |
| Newfoundland | 12.7 | 13.0 | 13.0 |
| Prince Edward Island | 0.0 | 7.2 | 7.2 |
| Nova Scotia | 2.3 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| New Brunswick | 2.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Quebec | 1.3 | 2.2 | 2.2 |
| Ontario | 1.4 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| Manitoba | 1.6 | 5.0 | 5.3 |
| Saskatchewan | 0.8 | 11.5 | 12.5 |
| Alberta | 0.9 | 6.7 | 6.8 |
| British Columbia | 7.6 | 8.2 | 8.2 |
| Yukon | 65.0 | 57.2 | 100.0 |
| Northwest Territories | 70.0 | 61.5 | 86.9 |

## TABLE III

## Canadian Population Receiving Three or More Television Services

(AUDIENCE FIGURES)

| DESCRIPTION | SUMMARY | POPULATION | \% Cdn. POPULATION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ```Areas with 25% ** or better weekly reach by CBC-Eng., Radio Canada, & CFTM-TV``` | 1 English <br> 1 French | 414,100 | 2.0\% |
| Areas with $25 \%$ or better week1y reach by CBC-Eng., Radio Canada, and CTV | 2 Eng1ish <br> 1 French | 639,100 | 3.1\% |
| Areas with $25 \%$ or better weekly reach by CBC-Eng., Radio Canada, CTV and CFTM | 2 English <br> 2 French | 3,255,100 | 15.7\% |
| Areas with $25 \%$ or better weekly reach by CBC-Eng., CTV or CHCH-TV | 3 English | 4,548,600 | 22.0\% |
|  |  | 8,856,900 | $42.8 \%$ |

* based on Canadian population base of 20,700,000 and on BBM Nov. 1969 figures
** $25 \%$ was set arbitrarily as the lowest percentage acceptable to produce significant valid audience readings.
considered, there is an awareness of the specific role of satellite broadcasting in satisfying Canadian broadcasting needs in existing and expected forms. In general, the analysis is centred on technological developments, cost factors, potential revenue sources, and the economic impact on Canada and the Canadian broadcast community. The Commission is also studying the social, cultural and environmental aspects of satellite broadcasting.

The scope and direction of the Commission's activity during the 1969-70 fiscal year are outlined in detail in the following pages.

TABLE VII
TOTAL HOURS OF TELEVISION VIEWING IN CANADA AND BY PROVINCE ${ }^{(1)}$
PER WEEK
1969 OVER 1967 *

## BY STATION GROUP AND LANGUAGE



* Provincial figures available only for 1969

Note: All percentages are of the Grand Total.

The National and Provincial tables show the total hours of television viewing done in Canada per week by individuals, grouped by the origin of stations and by language of broadcast as reported by a nation-wide survey conducted by the nonprofit industry organization, B.B.M. (Bureau of Broadcast Measurement), during the two weeks of October 30 to November 12,1967 and the two weeks of October 27 to November 9, 1969. The hours of viewing represent person-hours of viewing. They include viewing by means of CATV or community antenna systems, in addition to direct viewing.

1. Provincial breakdown - Appendix III
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LICENSED CATV SYSTEMS IN CANADA

## C - PROGRAMMING

The Canadian Broadcasting Corporation was created in part for the purpose of promoting a "Canadian identity". As a result, radio in this country developed into a national force which held its own until the advent of television.

The private sector had attempted that task, but because of circumstances such as isolation, scale of operations, and other factors including language and competition from the U.S., the attempt was in danger of failing.

What CBC radio did was to effectively unite the country in continuing and cumulative programming creating the reality of original Canadian expression and providing a point of national identification. Until then, the potential of "short-wave", had been feared much as international direct broadcasting by satellite is today. The "global village" was, and still is, through short-wave radio a distinct possibility. Somehow, it didn't happen in Canadian radio largely because of the CBC. The CBC's relatively "cultural" programs were listened to, even in the remote areas. No one knows why, exactly, but it may have been that Canadian programming had identity, continuity, building power and didn't talk down to its audience. It was something. Even by way of comparison with the U.S. or other countries, it was something different, a national point of reference.

With the advent of television the situation changed. The change in scale of costs and in technical demands plus the costs of transport, have created an increasing centralization because of dependence on professiona1s, and have brought about over-exposure of talent.

Twenty years later new means of production and dissemination are posing problems to conventional broadcasting in economic as we11 as in "professional" terms.

The concept of television programming as it is known today is projected to develop into a complex combination of different communication forces emerging in forms such as EVR and selectavision cartridges, as well as extensive service via cable, domestic and international satellite.

The Commission's approach to programming regulations has taken these problems into consideration.

The emphasis this year has been not only on what could be termed the Canadianization of Canadian broadcasting, but a1so on regional and local identification. Moreover, the need to allow access to new generations in broadcasting has guided the Commission's thinking. The Commission's policy development has reflected the concern that broadcasters should express both the richness and originality of Canadian life and the community character through regional
and local programming. One aspiration of such a policy is to prevent the viewer from feeling in "exile" when viewing programs. Another is to promote and maintain a distinctly Canadian broadcasting system, open to creative talent and resources from other nations, but fundamentally Canadian in approach not imitative of another system.

The Commission's policy relating to programming during 1969-70 has been consistent with sections $2(\mathrm{~b})$ and (d) of the Broadcasting Act dealing with the Canadian identification theme quoted in the introduction. Section 16 empowers the Commission to: make regulations eoncerning not only classes of licences but also other subjects including program standards, the character of advertising, time allocation for advertising, and announcements relating to political broadcasting, as well as free time "on an equitable basis to political parties and candidates"; the use of dramatization in partisan political programs, announcements and advertisements; and the reservation of broadcast time by affiliates for network programs.

In May, 1969 the Commission announced its initial policy for regional, local and educational programming on cable television systems.

In June, 1969, the Commission heard proposals on the future development of FM radio from various broadcasters, interest groups and the Canadian public, and this Hearing along with other documentation has been instrumental in the development of a policy for FM broadcasting.

In February, 1970, proposals for the revision of Canadian content and advertising regulations for both radio and television broadcasting were put forward by the Commission.

## I RADIO FM

Early in this fiscal year, on April 28, 1969, the Commission expressed its determination that the FM band be treated as a public asset with possibilities significantly different from those of AM radio.

By Feb. 6, 1970, there were 79 FM stations operating in Canada, with present allocation and assignment plans allowing for over 500 such stations, ranging in size from three to one hundred kilowatts. Of the 79 stations, 65 are joint operations with standard AM mother-stations, which often carry the same program schedules. A total of $30 \%$ of today's standard AM programming is duplicated on FM radio. 1 The potential development of FM sound will offer new technical quality and programming opportunities to the listener.

1
Note that this last figure is accurate only to April, 1969.

## (1) Characteristics of FM Sound

FM sound provides higher fidelity than standard AM sounds when equal quality sending and receiving equipment is used, and this sound is also generally less susceptible to interference. Stereophonic sound can be produced in FM transmission when a single FM station broadcasts over a main channel and a stereophonic sub-channel. These electronic characteristics open to the broadcaster a field of programming in music, sound and voice programs capable of enhancing listener experience and enjoyment.

## (2) Varied Programming

Stereophonic FM broadcasting offers possibilities for an extensive variety of programming in a relatively unoccupied broadcast band. Since FM channels are public assets, the Commission's objective is to guide their development in such a way as to insure that they contribute to a more "varied program service which will complement and enrich services already available from existing stations".

The Commission is concentrating on the development of a distinct policy on the FM medium with emphasis on program content and distribution as well as the characteristics and advantages of utilization of the FM band.
(3) Programming - FM

Areas of major concern include: the amount of joint programming that associated AM-FM stations should be authorized to carry; the circumstances which would allow such joint programming; the implications that separate independent programming would have on the operations of present and future licensees; advertising policy vis-a-vis FM; the role FM stations should play in encouraging Canadian talent; the prospects of developing FM to help create "a natural two-way exchange" between the community and the broadcaster.
(4) FM and the Community

Other aspects of the role of FM being analyzed are: the extent to which FM has a responsibility to serve minority audiences; possible methods in which an FM station can join other AM and FM stations (especially in larger centres) to ensure program balance satisfying the tastes of diverse interest groups within the community; and the definition and scope of "quality music". The question of how FM network programming could help serve the interests of disparate communities at the national regional and local levels is also being explored.

In considering the electronic characteristics of $F M$ and developments in this sphere, the Commission has considered measures which could be adopted to ensure maximum fidelity reception of FM sound, through air pickup or cable distribution, and the requirements for technical output of transmitters. Other questions under study include the optimum method of producing, selling and making available to the public (at economic prices) all-channel receiver sets combining AM and FM with subsidiary communications adapters.
(6) FM - Licensing

In reviewing its regulations and policies for FM broadcasting, the Commission considered the following questions:

- To obtain better FM programming, would it be more advantageous for the Commission to continue the practice of granting FM 1icences to the holders of AM station licences in that same market? If this is so, in what circumstances should FM licences be granted to independent applicants?
- Should temporary and permanent networks of FM stations be licensed? What benefits would be derived from such licensing? Should FM stations, in special circumstances, be licensed to broadcast regular AM program services? How should these special circumstances be determined?


## (7) Radio Drummond Limitée

At the end of July, 1969, the Commission approved an application for a new FM broadcasting undertaking at Drummondville, Quebec, and granted Radio Drummond Limitée a licence for two years. The reason for approving the application was, the applicant demonstrated to the Commission that the proposed station would provide a significantly different service to listeners in the area. Approval was conditional upon programming and other conditions. (See Appendix I,Decision 69-323)
(8) Charlottetown, P.E.I.

When the antenna site of Station CBA was changed from Sackville to Moncton, New Brunswick, that station's coverage no longer reached the Charlottetown area; and, that area was only receiving partial CBC service through the privately-owned affiliated station.

On February 4, 1970, the Commission approved an application by the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation for a licence to carry on a new FM broadcasting undertaking at Charlottetown P.E.I. This approval brought the full CBC national English language radio network service to the
provincial capital of Prince Edward Island. Approval was conditional upon the station being operated as part of the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation network. (See Appendix I. Decision 70-5.)

Government - FM Licensing
A definitive policy respecting the granting of broadcasting licences to Government authorities and agencies under their jurisdiction has not yet been announced. The Commission has in the interim, granted only oneyear licences to three FM radio broadcasting undertakings which have applied for licence renewals.

Radio Stations CKUA-FM, Edmonton, Alberta, CFRC-FM, Kingston, Ontario, and CJRT-FM, Toronto, Ontario, all received renewals covering the period April 1, 1970 to March 31, 1971.
'The Public' and the Future of FM
The Commission issued a Public Notice, April 28, 1969, calling on the general public, broadcasters, and other interested parties to comment on the future of FM broadcasting in Canada. Many briefs were received from various groups and individuals with a specific interest in FM broadcasting and its future. The submissions varied from formal briefs to letters to the Chairman.

Group submissions included:
Canadian Association of Broadcasters
Radio-Canada
CFMO-FM Regina
ARC Sound Ltd., Toronto
Rogers Broadcasting Limited (CHFI-FM, Toronto)
Capital Broadcasting System Ltd. (CFMS - Saskatoon)
Radio McGil. 1
Tomar Publications Limited (Publishers of ELECTRON; Broadcasting and Communications)
CHFM Calgary
Sunwapta Broadcasting Limited
CKX - FM (Western Manitoba Broadcasters Ltd.)
Radio Station CKFM - FM, Toronto
The Canadian Council on Rural Development
CKEY - 590, Toronto (Shoreacres Broadcasting Co. Ltd.)
CFMO - FM, Ottawa
CHUM - FM, Toronto
CFPL Broadcasting Limited
Canadian Broadcasting Corporation
Office des Communications Sociales, Montreal
C - JOY, Guelph, Ontario
Association of Canadian Television and Radio Artists
Canadian Broadcasting Corporation

Office des Communications Sociales, Montreal
CJUS - FM (Student Radio - U. of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon)
CFGM Broadcasting Limited, Richmond Hill, Ontario.
Dancy Rroadcasting Limited
Ryerson Polytechnical Institute
North Hill News Limited, Calgary, Alberta
Individual submissions included:
C. Warren Hunt, Geologist, Calgary, Alberta
D.B. Williamson, P. Eng., Owner Radio Station CHUC, Cobourt, Port Hope, Ont.
Fred T. Metcalfe, Wynridge Acres, Puslinch, Ontario
Rosemary Sullivan, Media Communications S.W.A.R.F., Workshop
H.G. Kariel, Calgary, Alberta
F.J. Carenza, Toronto, Ontario

Bruce A. Steele, Manager, Radio Waterloo
Christopher Wilson, Senior English Master, Albert College, Belleville, Ont.
Peter Kosick, Burnaby, B.C.
Michael John Youle-White, Sturgeon Falls, Ont.
Some of these were heard at the June Public Hearing at the Hotel Bonaventure, in Montreal. The Commission had explained in its public notice April 28, that a person could appear at the hearing without submitting a brief by filing an outline of his proposed statement with the Secretary.

Public comment, an integral part of policy development, has also been encouraged in consideration of policy for radio programming and advertising on the $A M$ band.

## II RADIO AM CANADIAN PROGRAMMING

The Canadian Radio-Television Commission is empowered to make regulations "respecting standards of programs and the allocation of broadcasting time", to encourage the development of "varied and comprehensive programming" that is of "high standard, using predominantly Canadian creative and other resources."

On Fetruary 12, 1970, the Commjssion proposed changes in the allocation of time to Canadian music broadcast by AM Radio stations. Conditions governing the "Canadian" character of the music were also attached, and these are to be met in two stages if the proposals are adopted. A special hearing on the proposals is scheduled for April 2, 1970, and representations respecting these proposals will be considered at that time.

If the proposals are assented to, AM radio stations would be required to broadcast a minimum of 30 per cent Canadian music where the term "Canadian" refers to the adherence to at least one of the following conditions (from October 1, 1970 to October 1, 1971):
-- the playing or singing (or both) of the composition must be principally by a Canadian.
-- the music written by a Canadian.
-- the lyrics written by a Canadian.
-- the performance recorded in Canada.
After October 1, 1971, radio broadcasters would be required to meet at least two of the above conditions.

## III TELEVISION

## (1) Television Programming

The proposals for increasing Canadian content in television programming would add almost four additional hours of Canadian programs per week during prime-time.

The television announcement was released simultaneously with the radio announcement on February 12, 1970. The proposal would impose a quota for the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation of 40 per cent nonCanadian programs between the hours of 6:00 a.m. and midnight; 40 per cent non-Canadian programs between the hours of 6:30 p.m. and 11:30 p.m. and a 30 per cent quota on programs produced in any one country outside Canada. This raises the 55 per cent Canadian content level to 60 per cent and eliminates the provision whereby selected foreign programs were considered in the Canadian content category.

For all other stations and networks the quota of non-Canadian programs during a four week period would be set initially at 50 per cent, between 6:00 a.m. and midnight, and between 6:30 p.m. and 11:30 p.m., with a further reduction to 40 per cent by September l, 1971.

If adopted, these proposals are slated to be implemented during the period between September 1, 1970, and August 31, 1971. The CBC must meet the requirements in full by September 1, 1970, whereas nonCBC operations are to meet the 50 per cent reauirement by September 1, 1970, and the 40 per cent requirement by September 1, 1971.

This proposal whereby 60 per cent of the pro-rams on Canadian television would be essentially "Canadian", can be considered a challenge in effect, a "challenge to develop the vitality and energy within our own nation which will compete rather than live behind arti icial restrictions." These recommended changes in allocation of time would provide access to the airwaves and production facili ies for a wide range of Canadian talent: writers, dancers, singers, newsreporters, analysts, directors, designers, technical operators and a myriad of experts from every related quarter. The general reaction to these proposals is discussed later in the Report.

The desire to achieve a local, regional and Canadian identification in the broadcasting industry is consistent with the desire that programming express and accurately reflect the environment and the society in which we exist.

## (2) Advertising

The proposed changes in advertising regulations would permit advertising in newscasts; limit the number of interruptions per program, and per program hour; and ensure a separation of advertising material from program content.

The recommendation would allow a maximum of 12 advertising minutes per clock hour. The same ratio would obtain when the program exceeds one hour, but more or less than 12 minutes could be used for advertising for any hour during the two-hour period.

The number of interruptions is also limited. There can be one interruption in a quarter-hour program; two in a half-hour program; and four in a one-hour program. For programs whose duration does not fall within one of these categories, the number of interruptions would be "pro-rated" over the duration of the programs.

Regulations relating to advertising content within the body of a program must be clearly identified by a separation of not less than three seconds of visual material or sound material or both.

The broadcast of a live sports event requires a 1 imit of 12 advertising minutes per hour, But there is no limitation on the number of interruptions.

Advertising in newscasts was also introduced in the proposals. There is a proviso that there would be no advertising in newscasts of less than 10 minutes duration, and only one two-minute interruption after each 10 minutes of the news program. Other restrictions on advertising during newscasts would prevent the newsreader from appearing in the advertising material; require advertisements during news programs to be clearly identified distinguishing them from news content; prevent the interruption of any item of news for advertising material; and insure that the sponsorship identification is in good taste.
(3) Community Programming

Programming conditions have, from time to time, been attached to the approval of various licences throughout the year in keeping with the objective of promoting a regional and local identification between the broadcasting station and the community.

For instance, conditional approval was granted to two Winnipeg applications by the same applicant. CJOR Limited had applied for permission to acquire the assets from C.H. Flintoft, trustee of the estate of Radio Winnipeg Limited, (a bankrupt), which involved CFRW and CFRW - FM. In granting conditional approval, the Commission made the proviso that the three-year licence would be subject to the programming and other conditions specified in the licence.

The general programming condition requires the licensee to provide a program pattern serving the needs of the community, and a pattern which would be different from the patterns of other Winnipeg radio stations. This arrangement was designed to provide listeners with a wider choice of programs.

The Commission's interest in the development of programming was emphasized when it approved three share-transfer applications to three Ontario applicants. In granting conditional approval to the applications by Jarmain Cable TV Limited of Brantford, Chatham Cable TV Limited of Chatham and London TV Cable Service Limited of London, the Commission said it would be "interested in the development of programming by the licensee companies and the allocation of specific funds for that purpose." (See Appendix I. CRTC Decision number 70-45.)
(4) Air of Death - A Program

The programming responsibilities of the CRTC have been reflected in other areas besides general policy guidelines and 1icensing decisions. During the past year, the Commission has undertaken an exhaustive examination of documentation and testimony presented to the
special hearing on the television documentary "Air of Death", televised on the CBC network, October 22, 1967. The special hearing was held in Toronto March 18th to 20 th, 1969, in accordance with sections 19(2) subsection (c) as well as section 19 (3) subsections (c) and (d) of the Broadcasting Act. Oral and written presentations were received from organizations, groups and individuals related to the production of the program. These included the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation management and staff responsible for the program, as well as other individuals concerned with the issue. Some of the persons interviewed, or referred to in the program, as well as experts in the field of documentary television production, were also heard.

The Commission's terms of reference for the hearing were to "determine measures taken by the CBC for the maintenance of high standards of public information in the preparation, production and broadcasting of this program, including the use of information reasonably available at the time of the broadcast."

The Commission's report on this subject is pending publication.

## IV <br> POLITICAL BROADCASTING

On March 31, 1970, the Commission received a telegram from the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation requesting CRTC ratification of freetime allotments for political broadcasts.

The system for allocating free-time on the CBC established by consent of the parties at the time of the 1966 election, divided the freetime periods three ways: one-third of the time periods for the party in power at the time of dissolution of Parliament, one-third of the time periods for the party forming the official opposition at the time of dissolution of Parliament, and the last third for the minority parties.

According to CBC policy, for a political group to qualify as a "party", it must fulfill certain conditions: first, it must have a program of action concerning a significant number of questions of Provincial interest; second, it must have a recognized Provincial leader; third, it must have a Provincial Organization brought into being through a Provincial Convention; and fourth, it must be running candidates in at least 25 per cent of the ridings.

The request was put to the Commission during the campaign period preceding the Quebec Provincial election in 1970.
-CATV
D - COMMUNITY ANTENNA TELEVISION (CATV)

The goal of developing responsible programming has been significantly affected by the growth and development of cable systems in Canada during the past decade. Broadcasting receiving undertakings, (CATV) have transformed the Canadian broadcasting industry by introducing complex means of program distribution. These means of transmission have added new dimensions to the evolution of communications and to policy-making on the part of the Commission.

In this connection, the Commission has been facing a dual responsibility concerning the orderly development of the broadcasting system. On the one hand, it must encourage the orderly development of broadcasting receiving undertakings, and on the other, it must guard against the disruption of the existing system. The responsibility then is to ensure a development of CATV that is a complement to the present system, rather than competition for it.

In recogintion of this responsibility, the Commission has developed policies throughout 1969-70 relating to such questions as CATV and the importation of American programming, CATV vis-à-vis extension of service, and CATV programming. There have also been guidelines on areas of service and boundaries, CATV's relation to network broadcasting, and the ownership of CATV undertakings.

Considering the potential development of CATV, it is recognized that cable television can provide an opportunity for local and community participation in broadcasting. The questions of freedom of access to the air waves and freedom of expression through the medium of television have been raised by the Canadian public in formal submissions and letters of concern to the Commission throughout the year.

The Commission's recognition of the importance of participation through CATV was affirmed in its first major policy announcement in this fiscal year May 13, 1969, which outlined guidelines for various factors including distribution, CATV and FM, CATV and AM, local programming and community culture, and advertising.

## I PROGRAMMING

CATV systems are now required to carry television program services in the following order of precedence when technically possible: i) CBC French and English networks; ii) private Canadian networks; iii) independent Canadian TV stations; iv) local and educational programming; v) non-Canadian television stations; vi) duplicate channels.

The priorities for CATV as it relates to $F M$ and $A M$ are: If a CATV system carries FM stations, it should carry all available Canadian FM stations in both official languages as priority. In special circumstances a CATV system may be required to carry, at the discretion of the CRTC, AM signals.

Other areas covered in the May 13, 1969 announcement included local programming, community culture, alteration of programming, commercials, area of service, rates, licensing head-end, network, and ownership. (For a complete description of these policies see Appendix V.)

## II OWNERSHIP AND CATV

The Commission is bound by the direction of the Governor in Council P.C. 1969-630, SOR 69/140 dated March 27, 1969 stating that the licensees who held Department of Transport licences for their systems on March 31, 1969 have until September 1, 1970 to conform. (This direction was amended November 24 , 1969). 1

It should be noted that general ownership policies of the Commission apply to licensees of CATV undertakings.

## III LICENSING CABLE TELEVISION SYSTEMS ${ }^{2}$

By July 10, 1969, the CRTC had held seven public hearings affecting cable television systems in Hamilton, Vancouver area, Toronto and some areas of Southern Ontario. Also by July 10, the total number of CATV decisions released since the CRTC's inception had reached 233 .
(1) Problems

In some areas, like Toronto and Montreal, and adjacent areas several licences had been issued to serve the same territory. Some licences covered very large and very densely populated territories.

While some licensees were able to arrange for technical facilities to serve their area or part of their area, some were not. Also, many operators made rapid efforts to expand their operations after the enactment of the Broadcasting Act, April 1, 1968.
(2) After the BBG

In its first year and one half of operation, the Commission's licensing of CATV undertakings concentrated on existing DOT licensees. Until the Fall of 1969, non-DOT licensees could only oppose DOT applications, they could not apply for licences.

In the Fall of 1969, the Commission began hearing applications for new CATV undertakings.
(3) Public Interest

In allocating CATV 1icences, the Commission has tried to draw a balance between allowing as many people as possible enter into broadcasting, and permitting firms to be large enough to permit the continuing vitality of firms that had
${ }^{1}$ See Foreign Ownership, revised direction P.C. 1969-2229
${ }^{2}$ Policy announced July 10/69
invested very large resources and energy into cable television systems.

## 1 Some Considerations

The Commission announced that: a) certain areas have remained unlicensed; b) some proposals for expansion of coverage for systems already licensed by the Department of Transport have been denied; c) territory already granted by the Department of Transport licences has occasionally been reduced; d) some licences have been granted on the condition that some large owners operating in several areas of the country and representing large coverage in a single area should divest themselves of their interest in a particular licensed company.
(4) Community Service

Another preoccupation of the Commission has been the capability of a system to offer the best possible service to a given community. In this regard, the Commission has tried, as far as possible, to relate natural and community boundaries with CATV system boundaries.
(5) Terms of Licence

CATV licences at this stage are granted for a period of two years.

## IV CATV-MICROWAVE

On May 13, 1969 the Commission announced it was developing a policy on CATV in conjunction with microwave and encouraged interested parties to submit comments on the subject. On July 24, 1969, a public notice was issued requesting briefs and opinions from interested groups and members of the public on the specific question of importation of broadcasting programs from distant foreign stations by the use of microwave. Many written briefs were submitted and oral presentations on this question were made to the October and November public hearings.

The Commission's policy was determined after a thorough analysis of the economic and technical factors as well as general Canadian opinion on the subject of the development of CATV in conjunction with microwave.

## (1) The Decision

On December 3rd, 1969, the Commission announced its decision not to licence broadcasting receiving undertakings based on the use of microwave or other technical systems for the wholesale importation of programs from distant U.S. stations, and consequently the enlargement of the Canadian audience and market areas of U.S. networks or stations.

The survival of a Canadian broadcasting system is fundamental to this decision.
(2) Background:

The importance of maintaining an east-west flow of ideas and culture in Canada has dominated the thinking of those concerned with the development of Canadian broadcasting since the first Broadcasting Act was proclaimed in 1932. Whereas originally the main interest was in radio, then television, there is now also a major concern for the maintenance of a truly Canadian system including cable television.

Before the advent of television, the Aird Report 1929 said:
'There has ... been unanimity on one fundamental question Canadian listeners want Canadian broadcasting. ....We believe that broadcasting should be considered of such importance in promoting the unity of the nation that a subsidy by the Dominion Government should be regarded as an essential aid to the general advantage of Canada rather than as an expedient to meet any deficit in the cost of maintenance of the service."

In 1932, the Rt. Hon. R.B. Bennett said: "Canadians have the right to a system of broadcasting from Canadian sources equal in all respects to that of any other country."

For more than 40 years, the goal of maintaining a truly Canadian system of broadcasting has been reaffirmed by diverse sectors of Canadian society political, economic and the general public. Parliamentary Committees; Royal Commissions; and special committees such as the Fowler Committee of 1965 all affirmed the need for essentially Canadian broadcasting in Canada.

These groups expressed the need in different terms, but essentially favoured Canadianization of Canadian broadcasting.

The following references, drawn from the various reports, referred specifically to radio and television, but they are equally applicable to the philosophy behind the development of cable systems in Canada:
-"Broadcasting has national responsibilities and must awaken Canadians to Canadian realities." 1
-"National broadcasting system ... basically Canadian in content and character." 2
$1_{\text {Fowler Committee Report }}, 1965$
2 Broadcasting Act, 1958

```
-"If we want to have radio and television contribute to a
Canadian consciousness and sense of identity, if ... we seek
to avoid engulfment by American cultural forces, we must
regulate such matters as importation of programs, advertising
content and Canadian production of programs."3
And the need for:
-"creating a sense of national unity ..."4
-"the development of a truly Canadian cultural life ... and
the successful resistance to the absorption of Canada into
the general patterns of the U.S."5
was also elaborated in the reports.
(3) Problem
```

In analysing the patterns of development of CATV, the Commission has been faced with the problem of deciding whether the use of additional techniques should be authorized to enlarge the coverage area of U.S. networks and U.S. stations and therefore their advertising markets in Canada. This is not to be confused with the question of whether the technology of microwave should be used to help the development of cable television itself.

## (4) "Serious Threat"

The rapid acceleration of the process whereby the coverage area of U.S. networks and stations in Canada would be enlarged, would represent the most serious threat to Canadian broadcasting since 1932, before the enactment of the first Broadcasting Act. The result, if the process were allowed, would disrupt the Canadian broadcasting system within a few years. Many U.S. stations now cover other parts of Canada, and seem to have been established mainly to reach Canadian audiences. This fact does not justify a decision of the Commission which would further accelerate this process. The Commission has been reviewing this policy and its revised policy is expected to be announced after the date of publication of this report.
$3^{\text {Report }}$ of the Royal Commission on Broadcasting, 1957
4Parliament Committee on Broadcasting, 1938
${ }^{5}$ Report of the Royal Commission on National Development in the Arts, Letters, and Sciences (Massey Commission, 1951)

## Regional Identification Philosophy

The question dominating the CATV policy is wholesale or massive importation of programs from distant signals. It is a question which applies not merely to foreign signals but also to distant Canadian signals. The system of broadcasting which Canada has adopted has emphasized an identification between the radio or television station, and the related population, whether it be a town, a city or a region.

From the outset, Canada did not adopt a policy which would have permitted very large transmitters to cover a very large geographical area representing a very large economic market. Technical contours have been very carefully controlled and in licensing a station, it is necessary to prevent unlimited encroachment on an area of service which has been licensed before. This policy has been adhered to in an effort to maintain community identification and regional atmosphere.

These basic principles dating back 50 years to the beginning of radio broadcasting in Canada must be seriously considered in the development of a cable policy. If these factors are disregarded, the result could be the disruption of the whole broadcasting system.

## V CATV - WRITTEN BRIEFS, ORAL PRESENTATIONS, APPLICATIONS

The question of the future of CATV was examined extensively at the October and November 1969 public hearings. Before and during the hearings the Commission heard views from broadcasters, the general public, interest groups and politicians. In this connection, briefs were also submitted representing points of view from interested parties.

Written briefs submitted by broadcasters with a direct interest in CATV included: Electro-Vision (La Tuque) Inc.; Skeena Broadcasters Limited; North West Community Video Limited; IWC Industries Limited (which included a supplementary brief); Kamloops Cable Television Limited; Community Antenna Television Limited; Jarmain Teleservices Limited; City Cablevision Limited; The Sudbury Broadcasting Company Limited; Bushnell TV Company Limited (A.G. Day); Canadian Broadcasting Corporation; CTV Television Network Limited; Metro Videon Limited; Sunwapta Broadcasting Limited; British Columbia Television Broadcasting System Limited; Capital Cable Television Company Limited; Microwave Cablevision Limited; George W. H. Charles (Cariboo Cablevision - a company to be incorporated); Selkirk Holdings; Kala-Vision Cable Systems; Maclean-Hunter Cable TV Limited; Super Antenna Limited; a company to be incorporated by Carl Nurmi Jr. (application for CATV in Sudbury area) ; and Foothills Cablevision Limited/Prairie Cable Television (Sask.) Limited.

Other written presentations were received from groups such as professional groups, citizens groups, and Chambers of Commerce. Such briefs included: Edmonton Telephones; the Minister of Telephones, Alberta; Canadian Cable Television Association; Vernon Junior Chamber of Commerce - Cablevision for Vernon Committee; Quebec Telephone, Rimouski; the Minister of Education, Alberta Department of Education; Hoyles Niblock Association Limited; and the Canadian Association of Broadcasters.

Some of the presentations heard at the October public hearing were: Canadian Broadcasting Corporation; Canadian Association of Broadcasters; Canadian Cable Television Association; Alberta Government Telephones; Community Antenna Television Limited, Calgary; British Columbia Television Broadcasting System Limited (CHAN/CHEK, Vancouver/Victoria) ; Sunwapta Broadcasting Limited; Microwave Cablevision Limited.

At the October hearing, there were specific applications heard for the area of Kamloops, British Columbia. The applicants included: A company to be incorporated represented by George H. Charles; Kamloops Cable Television Limited; Inland Cablevision Limited; Merritt Cablevision Limited; a company to be incorporated by G.D. Zimmerman; Chalice Holdings Limited; and a company to be incorporated represented by Amir Virani.

The November hearing considered views on all aspects of the development of CATV. However, the specific area of concentration had been the applications for CATV systems for the Northern Ontario areas of Sudbury and Timmins.

The Sudbury applicants who appeared at the November hearing were: a company to be incorporated represented by Maclean-Hunter Cable TV Limited; a company to be incorporated represented by Carl Nurmi Jr.; Canadian TransVideo Incorporated; a company to be incorporated represented by Ernest L. Bushnell; a company to be incorporated represented by W. Edwin Jarmain; City Cablecasting Corporation Limited; a company to be incorporated represented by the Sudbury Broadcasting Company Limited; a company to be incorporated by Ronald W. Warren, and a company to be incorporated represented by Richard J. Huneault.

The Timmins applicants included J. Conrad Lavigne Limited and Super Antenna Limited. (See Extension of Service).

## VI CATV - FOOTBALL

The philosophy of local identification was further elaborated when the Commission announced the imposition of a restriction on the importation, by cable television, of the Canadian Football League games in areas where local television stations are specifically blacked out.

Although there had been some contention that the decision was a football one, not a programming one, the Commission reaffirmed its principle that programming was the most important factor to consider in making policy decisions relating to areas of service. The televising of football games constitute a significant quantity of Canadian programming which cannot be ignored.

Negotiations had begun following the Commission's announcement March 13, 1969, which concluded that the question of football blackouts would be "best resolved by direct negotiation between the parties concerned".

The revised policy was announced March 3, 1970, following almost a year of unsuccessful negotiation between the television and CATV companies involved.

## - AREA CHARTS

The following Area Charts indicate the Canadian and United States television stations within $50,100,200$ and 360 miles of 11 Canadian cities.

The 360 mile radius represents the most distant program importation proposed in several CATV applications received by the Commission. Shorter and longer distances have also been proposed. For example one Calgary application proposed program importation from a distance of 710 miles, and the Calgary chart shows an outer circle with this radius.

The areas surrounding the following cities are illustrated: Halifax, Moncton, St. John, N.B., Montreal, Sudbury, Toronto, Regina, Saskatoon, Calgary, Edmonton, Kamloops.
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## CALGARY ALTA.

AREA WITHIN WHICH SATISFACTORY SIGNALS WOULD NORMALLY BE RECEIVEDUSING GOODOUTOOOR HOUSEHOLO ANTENNA. IAPPROXIMATE GRADE B COVERAGEJ

RÉCEPTION NORMALE AVEC UNE BONNE ANTENNE EXTERIEUREPRIVEEE. IA PEU PRĖSL'EQUIVALENT DUN RAYONNEMENT B)

THE DISTANCE OF 3RO MILES IS PROPOSEDIN SEVERAL APPLICATIONS RECEIVED GY THE COMMISSION. LONGER AND SHORTER OISTANCES HAVEALSO BEEN PROPOSED.

LE CONSEIL A RECU PLUSIEURS DEMANDES PROPOSANT UNE DISTANCE DE 360 MILLES. DES DISTANCESPLUS COURTES OU PLUS LONGUESONT EGALEMENT E TÉE SOUMISES

AREA WITHIN WHICH SATISFACTORY SIGNALS WOULD NORMALLY BE RECEIVEOUSING AREASONABLY HIGH GAIN CATVANTENNA.


SASKATOON, SASK.

AREA WT THIN WHICH SATISFACTORY SIGNALS WOULO NORMALLY BE RECEIVEOUSING A GOOD OUTOOOR HOUSEHOLD ANTENNA. IAPPROXIMATE GRADE B COVERAGE)

RÉCEPTION NORMALE AVEC UNE BONNE ANTENNE EXTÉRIEURE PRIVÉE. |A PEUPRESL'ÉEOUVALENT DUUN RAYONNEMENT BI

THE DISTANCE OF 300 MILESIS PROPOSEDIN SEVFRAL APPLICATIONS RECEIVED BY THE COMMISSION. LONGER AND SHOFTER DISTANCES HAVEALSO BEEN TROPOSED.

LE CONSEIL A RECU PLUSIEURS OEMANDES PROPOSANT UNE OISTANCE DE 360 MILLES. DES OISTANCESPLUS COURTES OU PLUS LONGUES ONT ÉGALEMFNT ÉTE E SOUMISES.

AREA WITHIN WHICH SATISFACTORY SIGNALS WOULD NORMALLY EE RECEIVED USING A REASONABLY HIGH GAIN CATV ANTENNA.

RÉCEPTION SATISFAISANTE A CONDITION DUUTILISER UNE ANTENNE COMMUNAUTAIRE SUFFISAMMENT PUISSANTE.


## REGINA, SASK.

AREA WITHIN WHICH 象ATISFACTTORY SIGNALS WOULD NORMALLYBE RECEIVED USING A GOOO OUTDOOR HOUSEMOLO ANTENNA, IAPPROXIMATE GRADE B COVERAGE'

RÉCEPTION NORMALE AVEC UNE BONNE ANTENNE EXTERIEURE PRIVÉE. IA PEU PRĖS L'ÉQUIVALENT O'UN RAYONNEMENT EI
$\square$

THE DISTANCE OF 380 MILES IS PROPOSED IN SEVERAL APPLICATIONS RECEIVED BY THE COMMISSION.
LONGER AND SHORTER DISTANCES havealso been proposed.

LE CONSEIL A RECU PLUSIEURS OEMANOES PROPOSANT UNE DISTANCE DE 360 MILLES. DES DISTANCES FLUS COURTES OUPLUS LONGUES ONT ÉGALEMENT ÉTE SOUMISES.

AREA WITHIN WHICH SATISFACTORY
SIGNALS WOULO NORMALLYBE RECEIVED USING AREASONABLV HIGH GAIN CATV ANTENNA.

RÉCEPTION SATISFAISANTE À CONOITION OUTILISER UNE ANTENNE COMMUNAUTAIRE SUFFISAMMENT PUISSANTE.


## TORONTO ONT.

ARFA WI THIN WHICH GATIGRACTORV
SGGNALS WOULD NISEMALLY OE
RFCEIVED USING A GROOD DUTOOOR HOUSEHOLD ANTENNA, IABPNOXIMATF GRANE NCOVIHAGE)

HECEDTION NORMALEAVFC UNE DONNE ANTENNE EXTF́FRIFUIGE PRIVÉE. (A) N:U PRĖSL'EGUUVAIENT OUUN RAYONNEMENT AJ

THE DISTANCE OF T80MLES IS PROFOSED IN SEVEHAL AFPLICATIONS HFCNIVEO RY THE COMMISION. : ONGER AND SHORTER DISTANCES HAVE AISOBEEN TROPOSED.

LECONSEIL A RECUPI.USIEURS OEMANDES PROFOSANT UNE DISTANCE DE 30 M MLLES. DES DISTANCESPLUS CDURTES OU PLUS LONGUES ONT egonlement été soumises.

AREA WITHIN WHICH SATISFACTORY SIGNALS WOULD NORMALLY BE RECEIVEO USING A REASONABLY HIGH GAIN CATV ANTENNA.

RÉCEPTION SATISFAISANTE A
CONDITION DUUTILISER UNE ANTENNE COMMUNAUTAIRE SUFFISAMMENT PUISSANTE.


## SUDBURY ONT.

AREA WITHIN WHICH SATISFACTORY SIGNALS WOULO NORMALLY EE RECEIVEDUSING A GOOD OUTDOOR HOUSEHOLO ANTENNA, IAPPROXIMATE GRADE O COVERAGE)

RECEPTION NORMALE AVEC UNE BONNE ANTENNE EXTÉERIEURE PRIVÉE. IA PEU PRESS L'ÉQUIVALENT D'UN RAYONNEMENT B)

THE DISTANCE OF 360 MILESIS PROPOSED IN SEVERAL APPLICATIONS RECEIVED BY THE COMMISSION. LONGER AND SHORTER DISTANCES HAVE ALSO BEEN PROPOSED.

LE CONSEIL A RECU PLUSIEURS DEMANDES PROPOSANT UNE DISTANCE DE 360 MILLES. OES DISTANCESPLUS COURTES OU PLUS LONGUES ONT ÉGALEMENT ÉT $\dot{E}$ SOUMISES.

AREA WI THIN WHICH SATISFACTORY SIGNALS WOULDNORMALLY BE RECEIVED USING A REASONABLY MIGH GAIN CATV ANTENNA.

RÉCEPTION SATISFAISANTEX CONDITION DUUTILISER UNE ANTENNE COMMUNAUTAIRE SUFFI. -AMAEAT RIISSANTE.


## MONTREAL P.Q.

AREA WITHIN WHICH BATISFACTORY GGNALS WOULD NORMALLY BE RECEIVED USING AOOD OUTDOOR HOUSEHOLDANTENNA. (APPROXIMATE GRADE COVERAGEI

THE DISTANCE OF 380 MILEEIS PROPOSEDIN SEVERAL APPLICATIONS RECEIVED BY THE COMMISSION. LONGER AND SHORTER DISTANCES HAVE ALSO BEEN PROPOSED.

RECEPTION NORMALE AVEC UNE BONNE ANTENNE EXTÉERIEUREPRIVEEE. (A PEUPRĖS L'ÉQUIVALENT DUUN RAYONNEMENT BI

AREA WITHIN WHICH SATISFACTORY SIGNALS WOULDNORMALLY BE RECEIVEO USING A REASONABLY HIGH GAIN CATVANTENNA.


SAINT JOHN N.B.

APEA WITHIN WHICHSATISFAC TORY SIGNALS WOULD NOFPAAI, I.Y BE aE. CEIVED USING A GOOD OUTDOOR HOUSEHOLD ANTENNA. IAPFHOXIMATE GRADE B COVERAGE)

RÉCEPTION NORMALE AVEGUNE BONNE ANTENNEEXTEHIEITFF FRIVEE. IA PEU PRĖS L'EQUIVALENT D'UN RAYONNEMENT R)

THE DISTANCE OF GKN MILES IS PHOPOSEDIN SEVERAL A PLICATIONS RECEIVED GY THE COMMISSION. LONGER ANO SHORTER DISTANCES HAVEALSO GEENPROPOSED.

LE CONSEIL A RECU PLUSIEURS UEMANDES PROPOSANT UNE DISTANCE DE 36OMILLES. DES DISTANCESPLUS COURTES OU PLUSLONGUES ONT COURTESOU OGTESLONGUES

AREA WITHIN WHICH SATISFACIORY SIGNALS WOULD NORMALLY BE RECEIVED USING A REASONABLY HIGH GAIN CATVANTENNA.

RÉCEPTION SATISFAISANTE A CONDITION D'UTILISER UNE AHTENNE COMMUNAUTAIRE SUFFI. SAMMENT PUISSANTE.


## MONCTON N.B.

AREA WITHIN WHICH SATISFACTORY SIGNALS WOULO NORMALLY EE RECEIVED USING A GOOO OUTDOOR HOUSEHOLO ANTENNA. IAPPROXIMATE GRADE B こOVERAGE)

RÉCEPTION NORMALE AVEC UNE BONNE ANTENNE EXTÉRIEURE PRIVÉE. IA PEU PRĖS L'ÉQUIVALENT O'UN RAYONNEMENT B)

THE OISTANCE OF 360 MILES IS PROPOSED IN SEVEAAL APPLICATIONS RECEIVEO BY THE COMMISSION, LONGER AND SHORTER DISTANCES HAVE ALSO BEEN PROPOSEO.

LE CONSEIL A RECUPLUSIEURS DEMANDES PROPOSANT UNE DISTANCE DE 360 MILLES. DES DISTANCES PLUS COUHTES OU PLUS LONGUES ONT

ËGALEMENT ÉTÉE SOUMISES.

AREA WITHIN WHICH SATISFACTORY SIGNALS WOULD NORMALLY BE RECEIVED USINE A REASONABLY HIGH GAIN CATVANTENNA.

RÉCEPTION SATISFAISANTE A CONDITION O'UTILISER UNE ANTENNE COMMUNAUTAIRE SUFFISAMMENT PUISEANTE.

PORT AUX AASOUES
c日YBT

CHICOUTIMI
CJPM-TV JOONOUIER
CKRS-TV 10
halifax n.s.

AREA WITHIN WHICH SATISFACTORY SIGNALS WOULD NORMALLY BE RECEIVED USING GOOD OUTDOOR HOUSEHOLD ANTENNA. IAPIJROXIMATE GRADE R COVERAGEI

RÉCERTION NORMALE AVEC UNE BONNE ANTENNE EXTERIEURE PRIVÉE. (A PEU PRĖS L'EQUIVALENT OUN RAYONNEMENTBI

THE DISTANCE OF 360 MILES IS FROFOSEO IN SEVERAL ARPLICATIONS RECEIVED BY THE COMMISSION. LONGER AND SHORTER DISTANCES HAVEALSO BEEN PROPOSED.

LE CONSEIL A RECU PLUSIEURS DEMANDES PROPOSANT UNE DISTANCE DE 360 MILLES. DES DISTANCES PLUS COURTES OU PLUS LONGUES ONT EGALEMENT ETE SOUMISES

AREA WITHIN WHICH SATISFACTORY SIGNALS WOULD NORMALLY BE RECEIVED USING A REASONABLY HIGH GAIN CATV ANTENNA.

RÉCEPTION SATISFAISANTE A CONOITION D'UTILISER UNE ANTENNE COMMUNAUTAIRE SUFFI. SAMMENT PUISSANTE.


## E - OWNERSHIP

The Canadian Broadcasting System is a blend of public and private enterprise. Ownership structures cover the whole range of corporate organization from the personal or family corporation to the widely held public corporation. To a great extent the making of ownership policy, by direction from the Government and in the decisions of the CRTC, has reflected the responsibility imposed on the Commission under the Broadcasting Act 1968 that the Canadian Broadcasting System should be "effectively owned and controlled by Canadians".

The Commission's workload relating to ownership has included share transfers, changes of licensees, divestitures and amendments of licences, largely to provide conformity with specific directions on foreign ownership to the Commission from the Privy Council. During the fiscal year 1969-70 the Commission carried on this work under two foreign ownership directions - P.C. 1969-630 dated March 27 th, 1969 , and P.C. 1969-2229, which came into effect November 24 th, 1969 (the specific modifications in the new direction are dealt with later in the report).

The rapid expansion of cable systems was accompanied by some turnover in ownership. The Commission therefore found it necessary to take special interest in prices at which existing operations were changing hands. During the year, it issued two public announcements, one outlining guidelines for pricing of "going concerns", the other reminding proposed purchasers and investors of the Commission's requirements relating to programming and stability of rates.

The Commission has been developing policy on a variety of ownership problems, such as: the pricing of broadcasting undertakings; ownership of broadcasting receiving undertakings (CATV); ownership of the CTV network; bank ownership of equity shares in broadcasting; increasing participation of public as opposed to private corporations in the broadcasting industry; concentration of ownership in the media; and the development of the "national broadcasting service".

## POLICY CONSIDERATIONS

## I PRICING OF BROADCASTING UNDERTAKINGS

In an announcement dated Ju1y 10th, 1969, the Commission provided broad guidelines for the proposed purchasers of broadcasting undertakings as "going concerns" including a reminder that control of these undertakings may not be transferred without the permission of the CRTC. The announcement contained six main points, as follows:

## (1) Private Corporations

In the case of a licensee incorporated as a private corporation, a condition of the licence specifies that "ownership or control of any share of the capital stock of the company" may not be transferred either "directly or indirectly" without permission.

## (2) Company other than Private Corporation

Licences issued to companies other than private corporations are conditional upon the control of the station not being transferred "in any manner whatever" without permission.
(3) Bargaining

The Commission is reluctant to intervene in normal bargaining between current holders of the assets or shares of licensees and would-be purchasers.
(4) Transfer of Assets or Control

The Commission's policy is to consider applications for transfer of assets of licensees or for transfer of control of licensees in a manner comparable to its examination of applications for licensees for new undertakings. Objections and competitive applications are heard at public hearings.
(5) Terms of Transfer

In the case of broadcasting transmitting undertakings, the Commission requires assurance that the terms of the transfer are such that they in no way jeopardize the ability of the licensee to continue to uphold the conditions of the licence.
(6) CATV - Ownership

In the case of a broadcasting receiving undertaking (cable), it is the purpose of the Commission to assure that terms will enable the purchaser to live up to the policy outlined May 13, 1969. ${ }^{1}$ The Commission will not entertain applications for changes in conditions of CATV licences regarding customer charges solely to adjust to a capital valuation or terms of payment arrived at in the bargaining between seller and buyer. In each of the CATV licences granted by the Commission, licensees were urged to comply by September 1, 1970, with the foreign ownership direction, P.C. 1969630.

## II EQUITY PARTICIPATION BY BANKS

In a decision on three CATV applications on July 23rd, 1969, the Commission introduced the condition that no bank, Canadian or otherwise, should own any portion of the equity of the licensee companies either through voting or through non-voting participating shares.

1 - See CATV Section

Maclean-Hunter Cable TV Limited had applied to buy the CATV broadcasting undertaking operated by Community Television Limited, and for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve London and Lambeth, Ontario. Peterborough Cable Television Limited (operator of a CATV system at Peterborough, Ontario) applied for permission to transfer 2,408 common shares of capital stock to Maclean-Hunter Cable TV Limited. And, South Aberdeen Cable TV Limited (operator of a CATV system at Hamilton, Ontario) applied for permission to transfer 24,000 common and 3,000 Class " $B$ " shares (all the issued shares) to Maclean-Hunter Cable TV Limited.

While imposing the condition that no bank could hold equity participation in these broadcasting endeavours, the Commission said that banks could provide important financing for broadcasting undertakings, but preferably through other means than equity participation.

## III OWNERSHIP OF CTV AFFILIATES

On July 23rd, 1969, the Commission announced its intention to reconsider the policy concerning ownership of shares in affiliates of the CTV network, which had been established by the Board of Broadcast Governors. The Board would not approve applications for share transfers which would result in one person holding shares directly or indirectly in more than one company licensed to operate an affiliated station or any arrangement subsequent to this approval whereby any person may in any other way, participate in the control or management of more than one company licensed to operate an affiliated station.

The Commission heard representations on this question from the public and other interested parties at the Vancouver hearing in October, and announced its revised policy on December 23rd, 1969. The substance of the announcement was that in future the Commission will consider applications affecting ownership of stations of the CTV network on their individual merits. The new policy also specifies that in considering individual cases, the Commission would take into account its established policies, circumstances surrounding each application, and other factors which could affect the overall development of the CTV network.

## IV THE BROADCASTING INDUSTRY AND PUBLIC UNDERWRITINGS

Early in March, 1970 investment dealers involved in underwriting of broadcasting companies were asked to consider the increased responsibilities placed on broadcasters by the Commission this year, and to recognize their increased responsibilities in this period of policy development.

During the year, the Commission examined a multitude of applications and proposals for purchases and reorganizations of broadcasting companies, many of which were based on funds being raised from the proceeds of a public underwriting.

Issues of stock to the public are regulated and supervised by provincial Securities Commissions, and are affected by the rules of the stock exchanges in Canada.

Any planning of rearrangements or consolidations proposed by applicants must take into consideration the added responsibilities placed on the broadcaster. The Commission is concerned that charges to subscribers might be increased as an adjustment to compensate for increases in prices paid to purchase CATV systems.

Companies were reminded of the announced expectations and guidelines for the cable television industry, the licensing of these systems and the prohibition of wholesale importation of microwave signals from distant stations.

## V FOREIGN OWNERSHIP

(1) Order-in-Council P.C. 1969-2229

A new direction on foreign ownership was announced by the Secretary of State, Gérard Pelletier, November 24. This direction replaced Order-in-Council P.C. 1969-630 which had been in effect since March 27, 1969. The new direction, P.C. 19692229, which became effective the day it was announced, resulted from extensive analysis of the views held by broadcasters, spokesmen for possible investors and other groups.

The first major change in foreign ownership of the Canadian Broadcasting System is that "eligible Canadian corporation" is re-defined. Corporations without share capital are now permitted to be licensed as well as those with share capital.

The Corporate structure was also revised. Foreign ownership is still limited to a maximum of $20 \%$. However, the three-tier corporate ownership restriction between the licensee and Canadian citizens is abandoned. The new rule calls instead for an assurance that the corporation is beneficially owned and controlled in Canada.

The three-tier requirement was abandoned to make voting shares available to Canadian citizens generally and to facilitate the broadening of the base of Canadian public ownership of broadcasting. In abandoning the three-tier limitation the Government followed the concept used in defining Canadian ownership of newspapers and periodicals in section 12A of the Income Tax Act.

Financial intermediaries such as pension funds, trust funds, mutual life investments, credit associations, certain mutual funds, and other non-share corporate entities may be permitted by the CRTC to invest in broadcasting companies.
(2)

Sma11 Shareholdings "Canadian"
A company statement can suffice as proof of Canadian ownership of shares with respect to holdings of one per cent or less of the issued shares of any given class.

The new direction is evidence of the Government's continuing determination to maintain and assure Canadian control and ownership in the broadcasting industry.

In November, 1968 , a proposal to restructure the holdings of Famous Players Canadian Corporation was put to the Canadian RadioTelevision Commission. This proposal involved three television companies and 17 CATV operations.

In December, 1968 the Commission indicated its intention to study the corporate and financial structures of the organization from the social, cultural and legal points of view. The Broadcasting Act and the foreign ownership direction of the Government to the Commission in September 1968 were prime considerations.

The decision on the application was taken April 17, 1969. The Commission denied approval of the proposal in which permission to transfer shares to TELTRON Communications Limited was requested by the following: Télévision de Québec (Canada) Ltée; Central Ontario Television Limited, British Columbia Television Broadcasting System Limited; London TV Cable Service Ltd.; Cable TV Limited; Lakehead Videon Ltd.; Metro Videon Ltd.; Jarmain Cable TV Limited; Oshawa Cable TV Ltd.; Chatham Cable TV Ltd.; Cornwall Cable TV Ltd.; Metro Cable TV Ltd.; Hamilton Coaxial (1958) Limited; Grand River Cable TV Limited; Barrie Cable TV Limited; Orillia Cable TV Limited; Co-ax Television (1962) Ltd.; Cablevision Lethbridge Ltd.; Cablevision Medicine Hat Ltd.; and Powell River Television Company Limited.

The application was denied because, under the proposal as presented, the effective ownership by Famous Players Canadian Corporation Limited of the individual broadcasting companies included in TELTRON (Famous Communications) would remain essentially the same as before, with approximately $55 \%$ of the total equity of TELTRON and wholly-owned subsidiaries.

Another concern expressed in the decision was a failure to demonstrate satisfactorily to the Commission, policies which would contribute significantly -- through such a large and important segment of the Canadian Broadcasting System -- "to safeguard, enrich and strengthen the cultural, political, social and economic fabric of Canada."

The companies were also urged to re-arrange their holdings to conform with the ownership direction 1969-630 before the lst of September 1970.
(4) Windsor - CKLW (Petition Exemption)

In July 1969, the licences of CKLW-TV and CKLW-FM, Windsor, Ontario, were renewed until September 1, 1970. Western Ontario Broadcasting Company Limited, licensee of these two stations, had also applied for exemption from the foreign ownership direction, P.C. 1969-630 March 27, 1969, which replaced P.C. 1968-1809. The Commission denied the petition for exemption, thereby confirming its decision, stated in a public announcement March 28, 1969, on an earlier application from the licensee for exemption from P.C. 1968-1809.

The licences were granted to the first day of September 1970, to give the licensee an opportunity to comply with provisions of the Order-in-Council or to dispose of the assets of the station. The issued shares of capital stock of Western Ontario Broadcasting Company Limited are owned by R.K.O. Distributing Corporation of Canada Limited which in turn is owned by R.K.O. General Inc. The latter is a U.S. Corporation owned by General Tire and Rubber Company of Akron, Ohio.

This year, the Commission was party to an appeal in the Supreme Court of Canada. The appeal followed a CRTC decision not to grant a CATV licence to Emile Couture (Belle Rediffusion Reg.) to serve the Thetford and Black Lake areas of Quebec.
(1) Background

Emile Couture (Belle Rediffusion Reg.) who had held a DOT licence for a cable system, applied for cable licences to cover the Thetford and Black Lake areas of Quebec. Thetford Video and Black Lake Telediffusion also held DOT licences for the areas of Thetford and Black Lake.

The CRTC granted licence approval to Thetford Video and Black Lake Telediffusion for those areas. The application from Mr . Couture was denied. One of the reasons given for denial was that both towns had been previously served by the other 1icensees.
(2) Appeal

The appeal by Mr. Couture was based on three grounds:
(a) The licence issued by the Minister of Transport should have stayed in effect until its expiry date in 1969;
(b) The CRTC failed to act judicially in not making its decision on considerations relating to "the broadcasting policy enunciated in Section 2 of the Broadcasting Act";
(c) The CRTC could not control CATV commerce and competition and had made its decision based on such considerations.

Judgment in the case was rendered Friday, November 28th, 1969. The Supreme Court ruled the CRTC had not exceeded its jurisdiction, and did not err on a question of law. The appeal was dismissed.

## VII CONCENTRATION OF OWNERSHIP

The Commission's continuing concern about concentration of ownership of broadcasting undertakings and the evolution of policy in this sphere can be traced in decisions taken throughout the year. Conditions relating to concentration of ownership were attached to decisions on licence applications by the following: Express Cable Television Limited, British Columbia; Surrey Cablevision Limited, British Columbia; British Columbia Television Broadcasting System, B.C.; Rogers Cable Television Limited, Ontario; Baton Broadcasting Limited, Ontario; New Brunswick Broadcasting Limited, N.B.
(1) Express Cable Television Limited

On July 10, 1969, the Commission approved a two-year licence to Express Cable Television Limited, North Vancouver, British Columbia. But it specified that Columbia Broadcasting System Inc. and Welsh Cable Vision Limited would have to dispose of their ownership interests and any financial interest in Express Cable Television Limited, to a person acceptable to the Commission.

Surrey Cablevision Limited
Columbia Broadcasting System, Inc. and Sydney W. Welsh hold extensive financial and ownership interest in National Cablevision Limited. Approval of Surrey Cablevision Limited's application, in July, was made contingent upon National Cablevision Limited disposing of its 5,000 voting shares of capital stock and any financial interests in Surrey Cablevision, to a company or person acceptable to the CRTC. (CRTC Decisions 69-231 and 69-226).

## B.C. Television Broadcasting System

Although this past year the Commission reconsidered the share transfer policy held over from the BBG, the policy of March 4, 1966 formed the basis of the decision on British Columbia Television Broadcasting System Limited's application.

An application had been made by British Columbia Television Broadcasting System Limited and CJCH Limited for permission to transfer 20,905 common shares of Canastel Broadcasting Corporation Limited from Associated Television Corporation Limited to Selkirk Holdings Limited and 25,655 common shares of Canastel Broadcasting Corporation Limited from Associated Television Corporation Limited to Western Broadcasting Company Limited. Canastel Broadcasting Corporation had substantial share holdings in CHAN/CHEK-TV in British Columbia, and CJCH-TV Halifax.

The Commission approved the application. It was, however, conditional upon the CTV network ownership policy formulated by the BBG in 1966, whereby no one person would be authorized to hold shares directly or indirectly in more than one company licensed to operate an affiliated station, and that it would not approve any subsequent arrangement whereby any person might, in any other way, participate in the control or management of more than one company licensed to operate an affiliated station. Since the CRTC had re-affirmed the BBG policy in its announcement of June 13, 1968, and had decided to review its policy relating to share transfers at a hearing to be held in 1969, it deferred a decision on the disposal of the shares owned by Canastel Broadcasting Corporation Limited in CJCH-TV. (See CRTC Decision 69-322).

## Rogers Cable and Coaxial Colourview

Concentration of ownership was also considered in dealing with applications by licensed companies, or holding companies of licensed companies, in the Toronto area. The Commission's policy on regional concentration of ownership in communciation media was applied in granting conditional approval to two Toronto applicants, Rogers Cable TV Limited and Co-Axial Colourview Limited.

These companies had applied for licences to operate CATV broadcasting undertakings to serve areas of Toronto, each within prescribed boundaries.

On July 10, 1969, Rogers Cable TV Limited was granted a twoyear licence contingent on Glen-Warren Productions Limited disposing of a 50 per cent ownership interest in Rogers Cable TV Limited. In addition, the disposal of these assets must be to a person acceptable to the Commission.

The share ownership of Glen-Warren Productions Limited is the same as Baton Broadcasting Limited, licensee of CFTO-TV, Toronto. The Telegram Corporation Limited owns approximately 53 per cent of Glen-Warren Productions Limited. All of the issued and outstanding shares of capital stock of the Telegram Corporation Limited are owned by Eaton and Bassett Trusts.

Rogers Cable TV Limited owns 90 per cent of Co-Axial Colourview Limited. On the same day, Co-Axial was also granted a two-year licence conditional upon Glen-Warren Productions Limited disposing of a 50 per cent ownership interest in Rogers Cable TV Limited. It was also contingent on the Commission's approval of the person to whom assets are disposed. (See CRTC Decisions 69-197 and 69-198).

Windsor - CKLW-TV
Two major broadcasting companies, Baton Broadcasting Limited and Maclean-Hunter Limited, had presented a proposal to the Commission to purchase and operate CKLW-TV, Windsor, through a company to be owned 50 per cent by Baton Broadcasting and 50 per cent by Maclean-Hunter.

The desire to arrive at an equitable decision for Windsor necessitated the consideration of: its proximity to the U.S. city of Detroit across the river; the financial soundness of the enterprise in the face of strong competition; the goal of providing a "national broadcasting service"; and the problem of domination of broadcasting interests by a small number of established corporations.

It was the Commission's opinion that Baton and Maclean-Hunter, both with strong interests in Canadian broadcasting, should not have joint ownership in this venture. The final decision is a landmark, in the sense that it is the first time a solution has been effected involving a combination of programming resources from the two national English networks, CBC and CTV.

The background of the application provides a better understanding of the situation. Western Ontario Broadcasting Company Limited, licensee of stations CKLW, CKLW-FM, and CKLW-TV Windsor, had applied for a three-step licence adjustment. It had requested:
i) permission to transfer the assets of Station CKLW-TV Windsor, Ontario from Western Ontario Broadcasting Company Limited to a company to be incorporated represented by G.V. Ashworth, Vice-President Finance, of The Telegram Publishing Company, (Baton Broadcasting Company is principally owned by The Telegram Publishing Company).
ii) the surrender of the current licence by Western Ontario Broadcasting Company Limited.
iii) a new licence to be issued to a company to be incorporated represented by G.V. Ashworth.

After a thorough analysis of the proposal, the Commission announced its intention to approve a redistribution of ownership which would correspond to the following proposal:

A five-year licence would be granted to a company to be incorporated called St. Clair River Broadcasting Limited which would be required to conform to the following conditions:
(a) Shares of capital stock in the licensee company are to be issued only to Baton Broadcasting Company and the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation;
(b) The CBC is to hold a minimum of $25 \%$ of these shares;
(c) The CBC is to have adequate representation on the board of directors of the licensee company;
(d) The final ratio of CBC shares to the total issued shares is subject to the Commission's approval;
(e) The CBC is to enter into an agreement to become owner of the station prior to the expiration of the licence.

The Commission specified that a progress report on the implementation of these goals be given to the Commission by April 15, and that all adjustments be implemented by the end of April 1970.

This application was also subject to the general conditions to be specified in the licence. In addition, it is required to be affiliated with the CBC network and make special arrangement to co-ordinate and carry CTV and other programs to complete the program schedule.

## VIII COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION

The Commission's concern about the relationship between ownership and the safeguarding of community interests was expressed in August, 1968. A community representation-ownership balance was called for in approving a licence application by Okanagan Valley Television Company Limited (CRTC Decision 68-39).

In brief the Commission expressed the concern for: a shareholder balance between community and non-community or absentee shareholders; a Board of Directors representative of the community's makeup; an understanding by the corporation of the community's characteristics combined with an ability to meet the various needs; and finally an assurance of safeguarding community interests through local participation.

Commission representatives have been consistently expressing a need for preserving the regional quality of Canadian life, and for an identification between a radio or television station and the town, city or region and its constituent population. This has been repeated by Members of the Commission at hearings, appearances before Parliamentary and Senate Committees, and in their speeches to broadcasting organizations. At the practical level, conditions relating to ownership vis-a-vis community involvement were attached to approval of applications.
(1) Great Lakes Broadcasting - Maisonneuve Broadcasting

An application by Great Lakes Broadcasting System was approved September 19, 1969, with the proviso that the Commission would observe with interest the methods used by the licensee to ensure community participation and safeguard community interest. The announcement of the decision cited the August 1968 conditions as a basis for approval.

By the same reasoning, an application by Maisonneuve Broadcasting Corporation Limited, Montreal, for permission to effect a share transfer from Geoffrey W. Stirling to CHUM Limited was not approved. The Commission called for a licensee with a more direct involvement in the social, cultural and economic life of the city. (See CRTC Decision 69-394).
(2) Regina - Moose Jaw

The concern for local participation in the ownership and control of broadcasting undertakings was a major factor in arriving at a decision for Regina-Moose Jaw area of Saskatchewan.

In July, 1969, the Commission denied approval of an application by CHAB Limited, licensee of stations CHAB-TV Moose Jaw, and CHRE-TV Regina, to transfer all of the issued shares of the company to Western Broadcast Management Limited. However, approval was granted to the application to transfer the assets of stations CHAB-TV Moose Jaw, and CHRE-TV Regina, from CHAB Limited to the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation and the issuing of two new licences to the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation.

The reasons for the decision on the Moose Jaw application dated back to the April hearing, 1968, when the Commission heard an application by CHAB Limited for permission to transfer 520 common shares of capital stock to Moffat Broadcasting Limited. Moffatt Broadcasting Limited held 50 per cent interest in CJAY-TV Winnipeg, Manitoba. Both CHAB-TV Moose Jaw and CHRE-TV Regina had been affiliated with the Canadian Television Network (CTV). Adhering to the policy of not approving any transfer of shares that would result in one person holding shares directly or indirectly in more than one company licensed to operate an affiliated station of the CTV network, the Commission granted ronditional approval. The company was to apply to the Commission before May 30, 1969, for an order for the sale of CHAB-TV and CHRE-TV to some third person acceptable to the Commission, which it did.

In support of the decision the Commission cited Section 2(h) of the Broadcasting Act, whereby "any conflict between the objectives of the national broadcasting service and the interests of the private element of the Canadian broadcasting system, ... shall be resolved in the public interest but paramount consideration shall be given to the objectives of the national broadcasting service".

A CBC station in Regina would adhere to this policy as well as adhering to the policy objective of establishing a CBC station in each provincial capital. The deadline for the ownership adjustment was August 15, 1969.

## IX FINANCIAL RESOURCES

Financial strength is essential to quality programming and representation of community interests. It is one basis of CRTC decision-making. The nature of the market is analyzed before a decision can be taken on the application. Size of market, competition within the market from related media, as well as density and per capita income of the proposed area are factors that require analysis. During the past year, the Commission denied approval to six applicants for CATV licences, all of them in Ontario, because of insufficient resources.
(1) Permission to Re-Apply

During the year, two companies which had applied for licences for CATV broadcasting undertakings in Ontario were not granted licences because they had failed to demonstrate "sufficient resources" to provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. (See CRTC Decisions 69-204, 69-205, 69-206, 69-255, 69-256). The Commission did, however, stipulate that denial of the Toronto applications did not preclude applicants from applying for licences to serve areas for which no licences have been granted.
(2) Minimal Investments

Some broadcasting enterprises which had been licensed by the Department of Transport, invested such a minimal amount of financial resources in their operations that approval of their applications before the Commission threatened to result in a post facto sale. The Commission decided that granting licences to such enterprises would be virtually an authorization of sale of a licence. For this reason, three applications involving proposed CATV licences to serve areas in Ontario, were denied. (See CRTC Decision 69-259).

Short Term Renewals
Certain licences this year were renewed for a short term and some of them have been made subject to reassignment.

Two Toronto licensees - Radio 1540 Limited, licensee of Radio Station CHIN, and Radio CHIN-FM - had both applied for ownership adjustments in the form of share transfers. Their applications had been denied November 5, 1969. At that time the Commission had called for an "ownership structure capable of providing effective direction to management".

By March 25, 1970, the shareholder disputes in CHIN-AM had not been resolved. The Commission announced: "these disputes affect the operations of the station". It also pointed out that "the lack of responsibility in management, as recorded during the two hearings, is inconsistent with the normal requirements of the Broadcasting Act".

In announcing that this frequency would be renewed only until December 31, 1970 the Commission said it would be subject to the re-assignment and called for new applications to be submitted by July 10, 1970. Accepted applications will be heard at the fall hearings. It was made clear that shareholders of the licensee company would not be precluded from applying for the licence.

Although the frequency would be re-assigned, the "Commission will be concerned ... about continuation of service by the station and will take this factor into consideration when granting a new licence. (It) ... will maintain the policy that this frequency should be used from programs serving the needs of diverse language groups in the coverage area."

Another case is the licence renewal application by Radio Station CKPM in Ottawa. On March 25, 1970, the Commission announced that the licence for CKPM would only be renewed to December 31, 1970, and subsequently subject to reassignment. The reasons for the short term renewal were that the: "Ownership and control of the licensee company had not been clarified to the satisfaction of the Commission. The evidence heard at the Commission hearings of November 1968 and February 1970 has not satisfied the Commission that the principals of the licensee company have retained either management or financial control of the station. In the opinion of the Commission, the licensee has not demonstrated his ability to carry out its responsibilities under the Broadcasting Act."(See CRTC Decision 70-71).

The licence of CHFM-FM Calgary, Alberta, was also only renewed for a short period, to December 31, 1970. This frequency like the CHIN and CKPM frequencies has also been made subject to reassignment. The Commission decided that the "evidence introduced at the Public Hearing of February, 1970 demonstrated that the licensee company had not retained management control of the operations of the station and had not advised the Commission of this fact during the October, 1969 Hearing of its application for transfer of assets."

The Commission recognizes the "difficulties inherent in continuing the operations of a broadcasting undertaking while an application for change of control or sale of assets is pending before the Commission. The Commission is convinced that the operations of a broadcasting station in such circumstances must however remain, for the interim period, within the control of the licensee."

## X RADIO STATION CKCV, QUEBEC

The Commission is interested in the methods used by licensees to comply with "the ownership policy which opposes the granting of two AM radio licences to the same interests, in the same area and the same language". This interest was directly expressed in the granting of a three-year licence renewal to Radio Station CKCV Quebec on March 25, 1970. The Association of the
shareholders in CKCV had started in the 1930's when the station was experiencing financial difficulties. Since then, the programming and management of the stations have been developed separately. (See CRTC Decision 70-74).

## XI BERNIERVILLE, QUEBEC

On October 3, 1969, a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking was granted to J. Gilles Drolet. The service which would serve StFerdinand d'Halifax, Bernierville, Quebec was granted for a two-year period. The Commission had previously expressed its belief that it would serve the public interest better by leaving a larger number of persons and companies to play a role in this new type of enterprise.

For this reason, the application by Normand Mercier was denied. (See CRTC Decisions 69-334, 69-335).

## F - EXTENSION OF SFRVICE

Although the prime objective of the Commission is to ensure first television service across the country along an east-west axis, it recognizes the potential of CATV and satellite broadcasting as methods of providing one, two, three or more services to selected areas. A major priority has also been the extension of second service on a national and regional basis. During the past year, the Commission's policy on extension of service began to take shape both in the implementation of policy for the Maritime provinces, and the development of policy for the rest of the country. This year, the Commission announced policy proposals for the extension of alternative service to Northern Ontario, a further step toward a broad policy for extending service to other areas.

## I Maritimes - French Language Television Service

Late in 1968, during its first year of operation, the CRTC announced and later elaborated its policy of extending broadcasting services in the English and French languages to the Maritime provinces. The original announcement October 16, 1968 and the December 20th announcement of the same year both expressed the Commission's view that the most urgent priority in the Maritimes was the extension of first service in the French language.

At that time, the Commission adopted the policy that the CBC establish production services in Fredericton for the origination of programs in English and French from the capital of New Brunswick; and that French television service be extended to the Yarmouth area by rebroadcaster with rebroadcasters also established in the Saint John - Fredericton area, in the Halifax area and Cape Breton.

On May 14, 1969, the Commission announced the progress and adjustments which had taken place toward the implementation of these policies, specifically as they related to Yarmouth, Nova Scotia; Fredericton, Saint John, and Moncton, New Brunswick. The CBC is working to provide a French language news service in Fredericton, and at the June Public Hearing, it also applied for a French-1anguage television service to be located at Yarmouth.

The Corporation had also applied for licences for television stations at Saint John and Fredericton, New Brunswick, which were delayed pending negotiations between the Department of Communications and the Federal Communications Commission of the United States to ensure compliance with the Canadian-American agreement on the allocation of (UHF) TV channels.

Plans were underway for the CBC to begin operating its French language television studio in Moncton, New Brunswick in the early months of 1970.

II Maritimes - Eng1ish Language Television Service
The December 20, 1968 policy for Moncton had specified that the present English language station at Moncton (CKCW-TV) be fully affiliated to the CTV network with a rebroadcasting station at Saint John - Fredericton to provide alternative service in that area; that the present English language station in Saint John (CHSJ-TV) remain affiliated to the CBC network and establish a rebroadcasting station in Moncton to provide the national service in that area; and that the conditions of 1icence of the Saint John station (CHSJ-TV) and the affiliation agreement of the station ensure that the station carry a stipulated quantity of programs of national and regional origin from the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation.

In May the Commission announced that Moncton Broadcasting Limited was granted a licence to carry on a new English-language TV broadcasting undertaking at Saint John, N.B., and to broadcast the programs of Station CKCW-TV, Moncton, N. B. via microwave (see CRTC Decision 69-184). A 1icence was granted to New Brunswick Broadcasting Co. Limited to carry on a new English-language TV broadcasting undertaking at Moncton, N.B. on Channel 7. The station, to be affiliated with the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation, will receive its programs in their entirety from CHSJ-TV, Saint John, N.B. via microwave (see CRTC Decision 69 - 185). In addition to station affiliation conditions, a restriction of ownership was also made a condition of the licence. ${ }^{1}$

## III Northern New Brunswick

The December 20th, 1968 announcement also made recommendations for Northern New Brunswick. It recommended that the present rebroadcast facilities at Campbellton (CKCO-TV), at Upsalquitch (CKAM-TV) and of CKAM-TV-1 at Newcastle remain licensed to Moncton Broadcasting Limited (CKCW-TV) and that they remain affiliates of the CBC network to carry CBC national and regional programs, supplemented by local CKCW-TV produced programming."

It was announced May 14th that Moncton Broadcasting Limited and the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation were negotiating an agreement regarding the use of these three rebroadcasting stations.

## IV Halifax and Southern Nova Scotia

The policy recommendation for Halifax and Southern Nova Scotia had been that the present Eng1ish language station in Halifax (CJCH-TV) affiliated to the CTV network, extend its service to cover fully the southern part of Nova Scotia, namely the counties of Lunenberg, Queens, Shelbourne, Yarmouth and Digby.

On May 14 th, 1969, the Commission set the deadline of September 30, 1970 for CJCH-TV, Halifax to submit the necessary application to implement this part of the policy.

[^0]
## V Cape Breton Island, N.S. and Prince Edward Island

A September 30 th , 1970 deadline was also set for implementation of policy regarding Cape Breton Island, Nova Scotia and Prince Edward Island. The new policy allowed the present English language station in Sydney (CJCB-TV) to extend its service to cover Prince Edward Island by rebroadcaster and to be affiliated to the CTV network as a full affiliate of the network.

In addition, the May 14th policy provided for the establishment of "rebroadcasting stations by the CBC to cover Antigonish and the areas of Cape Breton presently being served by CJCB-TV"(See CRTC Decision 69-185).

## VI Northern Ontario

Implementation of second service on a national and regional scale was initiated this year through the analysis of the situation relating to English language television service in Northern Ontario.

On March 5, 1970, the Commission denied approval of four applications which had proposed to provide service to, at most, two selected areas of Northern Ontario.

Because of a lower density of population, Northern Ontario has a weaker economic base for broadcasting than has Southern Ontario. At the other end of the spectrum, representations made at public hearings, as well as consultation between the Commission and spokesmen for Sudbury and the related area, revealed the strong desire for improved television service and the determination of the people of Northern Ontario to strengthen regional identification as well as improve communication between Northwestern Ontario and the rest of the province. The Commission concluded that the most feasible method of bridging the gap between economic difficulty and the desire for improved communication would be achieved through consideration of the entire region as a single entity.

In its announcement, the Commission expressed the view that the development of second television service in the region would require the combined and efficient use of the available total resources and that Sudbury should, in effect, serve as the base for the development of second service in Northern Ontario.

In denying approval of the applications, the Commission described the general objectives for developing second service (alternative to the CBC) for Sudbury (including Elliot Lake), Timmins (including Kearns), Sault Ste Marie, North Bay and Thunder Bay, with a gradual extension to the satellite transmitter areas of the aforementioned stations. The Commission also affirmed the desire to preserve the CBC service, to maintain and strengthen the role of local broadcasters, and to provide for the development of regional program services. This policy includes the following guidelines: the present licencees should, in principle, undertake to develop second service in the area; a group approach to the commercial and programming activities could be provided by the licensees forming a partnership or consortium which could represent the members in their relations with the second service network; the partnership would assume the responsibility for eventual development of regional service; the management of the two services in Sudbury would have to be separate.

Whereas it was recognized that the necessary capital investment and increased operating costs would initially cause difficulties to the broadcasters, it was also recognized that consultation between the broadcasters could develop methods of achieving the recommended approach for the sake of the potential cultural, social, educational and commercial achievements.

The Commission called on applications for the implementation of this policy to be submitted by April 9, 1970. The four companies refused licences were J. Conrad Lavigne Limited, Hyland Radio-TV Limited, North Star Broadcasting Limited, and the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation. (For details of the applications see CRTC Decision No. 70-44 in Appendix I.)

## -EDUCATIONAL TELEVISION

G - EDUCATTONAL TELEVISION

The development of a policy for educational television in Canada has depended on constitutional arrangements, on development of and experimentation in educational broadcasts, on problems of resources and on evolving communications technology and new techniques of diffusion. Changing conditions have, over the years, affected proposed courses of action. Until November 5, 1969, the Commission's responsibility in the licensing of educational television systems had not been clearly defined, since one problem inherent in educational television has been the constitutional one. Broadcasting is a federal responsibility, but education through Section 93 of the BNA Act is a provincial responsibility.

Before proceeding with a discussion of the Commission's role in educational television, it is necessary to provide some background on the federal role in this sphere.

## I The White Paper 1966

The White Paper on Broadcasting 1966 considered provincial and federal responsibilities in this area by asserting:
"A tremendous expansion in the use of television for educational purposes is to be expected in the next few years, and the operation of educational broadcasting stations or systems involves both federal and provincial responsibilities... Federal policies in the field of communications must not work to impede but must facilitate the proper discharge of provincial responsibilities for education. For this purpose, it will he necessary to work directly with the provinces to study the technical facilities required, and to plan and carry out the installation of educational broadcasting facilities throughout Canada."

The White Paper also recommended a specifically federal responsibility:
"Government is prepared to give immediate consideration to the creation of a new federal organization licensed to operate public service broadcasting facilities... ".

However, it was not until the enactment of the Broadcasting Act 1968 that statutory provision for such an agency was made.

## II The Broadcasting Act, 1968, The Broadcasting Bill, and a Task Force

The federal responsibility was reaffirmed in the new Broadcasting Act which specified that 'facilities should be provided within the Canadian broadcasting system for educational broadcasting..." (Art.2(i)). A draft bill to form an Educational Broadcasting Agency had been tabled in the Commons Committee on Broadcasting, Films and Assistance to the Arts early in 1968. The Commons Committee subsequently undertook detailed hearings on the matter. However, the House was prorogued before the Committee could report back. Later the same year, on October 24, 1968, a Task Force was formed to assist in the development of legislation for implementing the Canadian Educational Broadcasting Agency, and to study technological changes and trends.

On March 10,1969 , a new bill to create a Canadian Educational Broadcasting Agency was introduced at a time of change and reassessment of the means by which ETV would be achieved across the country.

Changes in educational technology, the constitutional and resources problems forced the Bill to be shelved.

However, some provinces had been preparing for some time and an interim solution for such cases was considered.

On November 5, 1969, the Hon. Gerard Pelletier, Secretary of State, announced the Government's decision "not to pursue the development of the Canadian Educational Broadcasting Agency for the time being, and, therefore, not to proceed with Bi11 C-179".

IV The CRTC and ETV
In the November 5 announcement, the Secretary of State also outlined responsibilities for the Canadian Radio-Television Commission as they relate to educational television:
"... The Canadian Radio-Television Commission will be directed, pursuant to Section 22(1)(a)(ii) of the Broadcasting Act, that in Provinces where the Provincial authorities desire cable transmission facilities, as a condition for all new cable licences, and for the renewal of existing cable licences, the licencees shall be required to set aside at least one channel for educational programming. By this action, the Government assures access to this mode of transmission for Educational Broadcasting.'
"Furthermore, the Government has decided that in certain situations, the CRTC may recommend to the Government to direct the CBC to act as its agent pursuant to Section $39(2)$ of the Broadcasting Act, in providing, on a recoverable cost basis, the transmission facilities for Educational Broadcasting."

V Provincial Governments and Licensing
The announcement also specifically stated the Government's decision to continue its policy of not granting broadcasting licences to provincial governments or their agents. It also specified that the ETV policy is designed to assure provinces the maximum possible choice in transmission facilities.

VI Channel 11 Minus (Edmonton, Alberta)
At the June, 1969, Public hearing in Montreal various groups made representations concerning the CBC's application for a new television station in Edmonton, Alberta.

The groups who made presentations included the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation, the Department of Education of Alberta, Metropolitan Edmonton Educational Television Association (MEETA) and L'Association Canadienne-française de 1'Alberta. Written submissions both supporting and opposing the CBC application
were also received by the Commission.
The Canadian Broadcasting Corporation had applied for a licence to carry on a new television broadcasting undertaking at Edmonton, Alberta with French language $C B C$ programs and educational television programs, on Channel 11 minus with an effective radiated power of 90,000 watts (video), 9,000 watts (audio).

The Commission approved the application August 1, 1969, and granted a licence for three years. This licence was subject to programming conditions set out in an agreement reached between the Secretary of State and the Council of Ministers of Education. These conditions were announced December 3, 1969. Other conditions were specified in the licence.

The agreement between the parties also provides for a schedule of MEETA educational programs and French language CBC programs. At the end of the threeyear period, the station will become an integral part of the French language television network of the CBC.

## VII Channel 19 Minus (Toronto, Ontario)

On January 30, 1970, the Commission approved an application by the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation for a licence to carry on a new television broadcasting undertaking at Toronto, Ontario, to provide a transmission facility for educational programs under provincial authority.

The undertaking would operate on Channel 19 minus, with an effective radiated power of 423,000 watts (video), 84,600 watts (audio) EHAAT 373 feet, directional antenna. Channel 19 - will be the first channel used in the UHF band for broadcasting in Canada.
i) CBC as Agent of Government

The Commission recommended to the Governor-in-Council that he direct the CBC to act as the agent of the Government, in accordance with Section 39(2) of the Broadcasting Act, to establish and operate the facility applied for, on the understanding that the costs of this facility will not affect the funds available to the Corporation for discharging its mandate under the Broadcasting Act.

## ii) Additional Condition (Definition Educational Programming)

In approving the application, the Commission specified conditions. One condition relating to programming was to ensure that the programming furnish educational opportunities, and that it be distinctly different from general broadcasting available on the public or private channels. The types of programming to be allowed on the facility were also defined:
a) Programming designed to be presented in such a context as to provide a continuity of learning opportunity, aimed at the acquisition or improvement of knowledge or the enlargement of understanding of members of the audience to whom such programming is directed ; and, under circumstances such that the acquisition or improvement of such knowledge or the enlargement of such understanding is subject to supervision or assessment by the provincial authority by any appropriate means;
b) Programming providing information on the available courses of instruction or involving the broadcasting of special educational events within the educational system.

Approval of the application was granted for a combination of reasons. The applicant complied with the definition of programming outlined above (which was set out in the agreement reached between the Secretary of State and the Council of Ministers of Education, as announced December 3, 1969); the proposed transmitting undertaking would provide an economical means of transmitting educational programs; sufficient funds would be available; and it would provide a satisfactory service for the intended purpose.

# - PUELIC COMIMENT 




## H - PUBLIC COMMENT

As an "independent public authority", the CRTC relies mainly on the process of public hearings as a forum for the exchange of views between the Commission and the public, the broadcasters and special interest groups, on broadcasting. Hearings are held in centers across the country to allow the public access to this forum. Although the public hearing is the principal forum for the 'direct' expression of views, the Commission also follows with interest the comments of journalists and commentators in the media. It receives other views by correspondence, and it follows the parliamentary debates relating to broadcasting.

Public expression of praise or concern is a consideration in the development of CRTC policy. Most comments emanate from specific areas and do not consider the national scene, or from special interest groups which, understandably, are advancing particular views. Although this is recognized, public comment in all forms is of interest to the Commission. It often raises particularities of regions or interests which allow the Commission to examine aspects of opinion.

The two main policies around which public comment has focussed this year are: the CATV - Microwave decision, December 3, 1969, prohibiting the wholesale importation of distant stations via Microwave; and the proposed regulations relating to advertising and Canadian content in radio and television which were announced February 12, 1970. There were, however, other general areas in the broadcasting realm which also generated much reaction, such as the development of alternative service, French service, and first service to areas which were devoid of any.

In the initial stages of broadcasting, that is, when the first commercial radio station beamed two hours of music across the air waves in November, 1919, listeners were requested to submit their comments on the 'technical' quality of the sound, and the distance the signal was reaching. Public response at that time emanated from the small audience of radio hams who possessed a certain degree of expertise relating to the technical aspects of the medium, who lived within reception distance of the signal, and who possessed the facilities to receive that signal. Public response was then confined to a select market about a select station.

Fifty years later, new dimensions of broadcasting and modes of transmission have evolved into a complex maze of colour, cable and conglomerates. The Canadian broadcasting system now encompasses audio-visual techniques with a potential of determining, to a significant extent, the future development of our socio-cultural environment. Public reaction has also evolved from the analysis of the 'technical' quality of the signal to a critical evaluation of 'content' and the right to receive the transmission. Public reaction is no longer confined to a select group. It now emanates from all quarters of the national domain - north, south, east and west.

The Canadian public has adapted to many new forms of audio-emanations AM, FM stereophonic sound - as well as audio-visual presentations first in black-and-white, then in colour. It has also adapted to the multi-station concept in radio broadcasting and the multi-channel concept in television broadcasting. The multiplicity of channels and stations has presented the public with the element of choice. Those who have a choice of stations or channels also have different criteria on which to base their program judgements than those who have access only to a single station or channel.

In general then, it can be said that the main themes of public comment are centered on the right to receive programs on the one hand, and the right of freedom of expression on the other.

The following is a general description of the public reaction voiced in the media, at public hearings and in correspondence to the Commission during the 1969-70 fiscal year. The comments on the Canadian Content proposals and the CATV Microwave policy represent the initial reactions. That is, they represent the reaction before the end of the fiscal year on March 31, 1970, and before the April Canadian Content hearing.

## I CANADIAN CONTENT

The Commission's proposed new regulations for Canadian content elicited reactions ranging from disappointment to elation and from concern about the loss of "quality programming" to the "loss of national advertiser support."
(1) Broadcasters

1) Network Comment

Because the announcement came near the termination of the February hearing, the Broadcasters' reaction to the new proposals on Canadian Content was immediate. The two largest Canadian networks were among the first to make their voices heard. The public television network (CBC) was more optimistic about meeting the proposed $60 \%$ Canadian content rule than was the private network (CTV). The latter expressed a concern that the new regulations might force "major disruption" in the Canadian broadcasting industry, while the CBC expressed confidence that the proposed regulations could be met, but with difficulty.

## ii) Radio Stations

The reaction from various radio stations was mixed,
In Toronto, one broadcaster said the proposed regulations were good, while another said there was not enough "available and playable". It is significant that reaction was not focussed on solely "Canadian" programs. One Ontario broadcaster said the removal of British programs from the proposed new quota would 'hurt and hurt badly".

Montreal radio stations also voiced mixed reaction. One of the major concerns related to the "availability" of Canadian talent. Some expressed the concern that Canadian talent would not provide quality programming, and that program standards would decrease if the proposals were adopted. One spokesman said his station's priority had been "quality" of sound, "not whether a record is Canadian or American ... We'll be playing records we wouldn't play otherwise".

One Montreal radio station which praised the proposal said: "We judge music on its own merits and have found that the Canadian content in our programming has been increasing. The new regulations would be just giving us a nudge." Another radio station where transcriptions of Canadian talent were being made for use in its international service, said:"Now we'11 be able to use it here at home."

The response from French language stations was more positive. One French language station reported it was already fulfilling 30\% of its programming schedule with Canadian talent. It reported a $60 \%$ Canadian content level in music programs.
(2) Interest Groups
i) Advertisers

The Director of a large Toronto Advertising agency expressed a positive outlook for the development of Canadian talent. He suggested that there might be an initial deterioration in program quality "but the rules would develop 'sadly needed' Canadian talent", he said.
ii) Musicians

One young Canadian, making a recording when the proposals were announced, said this would mean "finally a chance to be heard".

A consistent argument against the Canadian Content regulation has been the lack of Canadian talent and the inability of Canadians to compete on the international scene. This theory was weakened one month after the new proposals were announced. When best recordings of 1969 were announced in New York, March 11, 1970, by the National Academy of Recording Arts and Sciences, Toronto's David Clayton Thomas group, Blood, Sweat and Tears, won three "Grammys" - best album, best contemporary instrumental performance and best arrangement accompanying a vocalist. Best folk performance went to a Canadian from Saskatchewan, Joni Mitchell.
iii) Recording Companies

The Vice-President of a Canadian recording company supported the theory that, if the market is created, then talent will come out of the woodwork. He also favoured the new regulations for financial reasons "... you don't mind spending money on an artist when you know there is half a chance he'11 be heard". His company plans to "triple its budget for contemporary Canadian talent." He was not as pessimistic about the latent Canadian talent as were some critics of the proposals. "Now with a better chance for songs to be exposed, you're going to find a lot more hits coming from Canada," he said.

Many Canadian actors hailed the decision as "just great". There was also an immediate reaction from U.S. domiciled Canadian actors, producers and directors. One Canadian was skeptical about the willingness of Canadian networks to pay the amount required to produce good shows in Canada. "...It's a matter of economics, isn't it? CBC and CTV will have to be prepared to pay more for Canadian programs. If they will, well fine. If not, what will be accomplished?" Another was very enthusiastic about the prospects of developing and supporting Canadian talent. "It's fantastic and it's going to mean that people who sell U.S. series' will have more respect for Canadians who come here to buy all those garbage shows", he said.
v) Professional Organizations and Unions

The Canadian Directors' Guild, and the Association of Canadian Television and Radio Artists (ACTRA) have been consistently strong supporters of Canadian talent. In late January, the Directors' Guild had sent a telegram to the Commission protesting the practice of allowing programs produced in Canada, with foreign talent to be classed as Canadian content. On February 14, 1970, two days after the Commission announced its proposals, ACTRA sent a telegram to the Commission which read:
"The performers and writers of Canada welcome the CRTC's decisions announced on February 12, 1970, which are an encouraging first step towards making Canadian broadcasting Canadian again. Broadcasting is one of the most important cultural influences in our country. Its use by Canadians to serve Canadians is essential for the future well-being of Canada. The National Executive of the Association of Canadian Television and Radio Artists, meeting on February 14, 1970, voted unanimously to endorse the Commission's bold and imaginative steps to begin to limit the foreign content of our television stations and to break new ground by requiring a degree of Canadian music on our radio stations. The writers and performers of Canada particularly welcome the assumption by the Commission that there is in Canada a trained and talented group of professionals capable of providing the programs which these new regulations will require the broadcasters of Canada to at last provide for Canadian audiences."
(3) General Public
i) Favourable Reaction

Reaction from the general public was divided. Most of those who expressed opposition to the proposed regulation were from the Maritimes, Northern Ontario, Western Canada, and Interior B.C. There was, however, a considerable amount of favourable comment from Ontario and British Columbia.

Many of the letters to the Commission favourable to its policy proposals were positive in their outlook on the future development of Canadian talent. They were critical of excessive American content on Canadian television, advertising practice, and a lack of opportunfty for expression of Canadian talent.

Graham Spry, a leading figure in the "Canadian Broadcasting League" and a father of the public broadcasting system in Canada, hailed the Canadian content regulations. "The obvious question is: Are we going to spend millions on broadcasting and continue to remain content merely to be financiers and audiences of imported programs? Or can we now decide, given the opportunity, to produce more of our own?"

Some called for the "de-Americanization of Canadian youth"; ending of "wall to wall Hollywood in prime time"; enforcement of a "reasonable advertising practice" by the Commission; promotion of Canadian talent; "Canadians are not lacking in talent or effort. They deserve opportunities and constructive assistance in developing their talents....'; opening up of "more outlets in Canada for Canadian talent..."; and the abolition of all "commercial interruptions".

A child psychologist wrote that he was "strongly in favour of the decision to limit the transmission of American television programs to Canada".

## ii) Critical Reaction

Most of the letters in disagreement with the proposals expressed little confidence in the future of a distinctly Canadian broadcasting system, and were critical of what they termed "discriminatory" practices on the part of the Commission.

It is significant that some of the criticism seemed to come from single-service areas (such as Sydney, Nova Scotia), and areas excluded from further penetration by U.S. signals following the Commission's CATV - Microwave policy announced last December. Also, most of the criticism was oriented toward television rather than radio. One viewer from British Columbia's interior criticized the lack of access to alternative stations in her area:
"We are restricted to watching one station only, like it or not ..." The Commission's ruling "against allowing U.S. Television stations" has rendered people of the interior "second-class viewers", she added.

A viewer from Edmonton said the situation in Canadian broadcasting was becoming "ludicrous". "Not only are you denying the right to select the programs I wish to view, you are now going in the opposite direction and telling me what $I$ must watch if $I$ want to watch at a11", he said.

The 'quality' of Canadian programming was termed "garbage", "nauseating", "bad", and "junk" by different viewers.
iii) American Influence in TV Assessed

A Gallup Poll conducted by the Canadian Institute of Public Opinion assessing the American influence in Canadian television revealed that most Canadians agree "United States television is influencing our culture too much." The results of this poll appeared in newspapers across the country February 14, 1970, two days after the CRTC announced its proposed Canadian Content rules for Radio and Television. The results are as follows:

Question:
"Do you think Canadian culture, or way of life, is or is not, being influenced too much by American TV?"

| Influenced <br> Too Much | No, <br> Is Not | Undecided |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $49 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $11 \%$ |

Regiona1

| Quebec | 33 | 58 | 9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Ontario | 53 | 38 | 9 |
| The West | 51 | 37 | 13 |

It was established that $71 \%$ of the viewing public watch TV from both the U.S. and Canada.

## Question:

"Some people think that, on the whole, Canadian programs are better; others think that, on the whole, U.S. programs are better. What is your opinion?

|  | Canadian |  | U.S. | About Same |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NATIONAL | $15 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $30 \%$ |  |

Age:

| $21-29$ years | 14 | 56 | 23 | 7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $30-39$ years | 16 | 56 | 26 | 2 |
| $40-49$ | 10 | 50 | 34 | 6 |
| 50 years and over | 18 | 43 | 35 | 4 |

## Parliamentary Comment

On February 13, the day after the announcement, John Reid, Chairman of the Commons Committee on Broadcasting, Films and Assistance to the Arts announced his intention to hold special hearings relating to the Canadian Content proposals. Although the original plan was to schedule the hearings as soon as possible, they have been delayed until after the CRTC's April hearing. Throughout the winter, the Committee has been hearing views on the adequacy of Canadian Cultural Resources. The availability of these resources to the broadcasting industry falls within the scope of this study.

## II CATV - MICROWAVE

The Commission's decision not to licence broadcasting receiving undertakings in conjunction with microwave for the wholesale importation of programs from distant U.S. stations, sparked instantaneous reaction across the country. Since the decision was announced December 3, 1969, there have been hundreds of newspaper and broadcast editorials, letters to editors, and letters to the Commission expressing both praise and criticism of the Commission's stand.

Widespread coast-to-coast response ran the gamut from fishermen to broadcasting magnates, all of whom shared an interest in broadcasting. Some had a vested interest, others did not. Some had access to only one television service in their areas, others had three or more. Nevertheless, there was a consensus that this decision affected everyone - the viewer, the broadcaster and the advertiser.

The question is: How are they affected? How did they react?

## Praise

## (1) Broadcasters

Although there was much comment from the broadcasters both favouring and disagreeing with the policy, one broadcaster who favoured the Commission's stand predicted that Canadian cable television companies would be putting out their own programs with their own sponsors within 10 years. He said: "I personally don't think we should be able to receive any foreign programs here at all".
(2) The Public

Support for the decision came from Alberta, British Columbia, Nova Scotia, Ontario, Quebec and Saskatchewan. There was also a great deal of criticism from these same provinces. What follows represents a cross-section of the support received from each of these provinces.

One group of private citizens from Calgary congratulated the Commission on its decision saying: "As private citizens without vested interests in Canadian Broadcasting, we wish to congratulate you on the decision to prohibit microwave or other transmissions from the United States networks". They also suggested that further steps be taken to limit "U.S. content".

A Red Deer, Alberta citizen said in a letter to the Commission: "Many of these people are not Canadians anyway, and have vested interests in American TV invasion of Canada." A British Columbian urged the Commission not to "let the pressures of special interest groups dissuade you from your position".

The generation most affected by the broadcasting industry is the generation born into a television home, indoctrinated almost from birth by television's "reproductions" of society. One opinion representing this group came from a 14-year-old boy from Dartmouth, Nova Scotia who wrote this to the Commission:
"...Finally someone in the government has done something to stop the American cultural takeover of Canada. Thank goodness my province has only Canadian television... Don't let these people who want American TV by cable disturb you in your thankless task. If they want to live like Americans, they can go and live in the States.

Our local newspaper ... was running you down today, saying how disappointed Nova Scotians and Westerners were at not being able to get American TV. But of all the Nova Scotians I've met around and at school ( I 'm 14) nobody cared that they were being "deprived" of getting foreign TV. As for the Westerners, I will write my sister in Calgary and see how they are taking this "shocking blow"."

Other "pro-Canadian" sentiments were expressed by viewers from Ontario, Quebec and Saskatchewan.

A resident of Sudbury (a single - station area) praised the Commission for taking the Canadian nationality seriously and acting in the "Canadian interest". Other comments praised the Commission for encouraging a "Canadian identity", an "independent country", and protection of our "Canadian heritage". A Quebec viewer, on the other hand, supported any "increase in the quality and Canadian content of our airwaves". A Saskatchewan viewer praised the development of a "Canadian consciousness".

## Criticism

## (1) Broadcasters

One group involved in broadcasting told the Commission that it would never tolerate "censorship" by government "which forces them to watch Canadian programs by removing all other choices... We feel that any attempt to restrict reception of programs from the U.S. or elsewhere could be contrary to the Broadcasting Act, in conflict with basic Canadian rights, repugnant to the principles of a free society and against the wishes of an overwhelming majority of Canadians...".

The new cable policy directly affected many applications. One applicant said his company plans to continue its application for cablevision outlets in Calgary and Edmonton.

## Interest Groups

Interest group comment emerged from groups such as Chambers of Commerce representing financial elements of various communities; the "Voice of Western Canadians - Alberta and Saskatchewan"; and City Councils.

One Chamber of Commerce in Alberta opposed the decision and asked that "further consideration be given" to it. Another protested that the decision represented discrimination "against cities like Calgary and Edmonton which are some distance from the American border and unable to pick up TV signals in the manner of the more heavily populated areas of Canada". Its claim was "the principle of penetration of American TV signals in Canada is a fact and acceptable; ... It is really a question of degree."
"The Voice of Western Canadians, Alberta and Saskatchewan" accused the Commission of erecting an "electric curtain" around Western Canadians. This group likened the decision to the "Berlin Wall" and the "Iron Curtain". "...We therefore not only urge you, but demand that you reverse your decision and allow microwave cablevision for the provinces of Alberta and Saskatchewan."
(3) General Comment

The Province of Alberta produced the most "write-in" criticism via letters to the Chairman. Ontario was runner up in "quantity". British Columbia, Saskatchewan and Quebec follow.

Some Albertans criticized the Commission's "discrimination" against the West. Some said an attempt was being made to "draw a cultural curtain" across "selected" parts of Canada. Other criticism focussed on "invasion of privacy" and "impertinence"; "limiting freedom of choice"; "controlled rediffusion of thought control"; not adhering to the "just society concept"; being "unjust" and "dictatorial".

Most criticism from British Columbia was generated in the interior (areas such as Kamloops). Once again the decision was termed a "Berlin Wall", and likened to suddenly pulling down a "TV blind" over part of the country.

Some Manitobans questioned the "right" of the Commission to "bar millions" of western Canadians from seeing American programs. One letter from Brandon approached the question from a financial standpoint: "...Brandon is a city with a population of 35,000 people. We have one station, one movie theatre, ...the climate is severe in winter ...We have invested $\$ 1,000$ in colour TV for nothing ... Please, I implore you, let us have cable TV."

A petition in Winnipeg collected 34 signatures from "those interested in disputing the decision by the CRTC to forbid cable TV in ... centres not close to the U.S. border ..."

Ontario comment emerged from areas such as Hamilton, Sudbury, Sarnia, Kenora, North Bay, Sault Ste. Marie, Downsview, Bracebridge and Toronto.

Critics focussed their attention on 'freedom of choice' and "authoritarianism" arguments. They accused the Commission of: "imposing police state measures"; being arbitrary, unjust and very undemocratic"; "big brother" tactics; "depriving the Canadian public of their birth right"; and "censorship". One Sudbury resident concluded that people living in remote areas "are paying the price of Canadian culture while the greater percentage of Canadians who do so much squawking about Canadianism", have CATV.

A viewer from Verdun, Quebec, said the decision constituted "a form of censorship and should not be allowed".

A North Battleford, Saskatchewan resident termed the policy "backward", and "negative", by limiting access to a choice of entertainment. Another said those in remote areas were "second class citizens".
(4) Political Comment
i) Federal

The day after the decision was announced, December 4, 1969, Secretary of State, Gérard Pelletier, affirmed the Commission's right to maintain high standards of service in Canadian broadcasting. The Commission "enjoys a measure of autonomy to maintain these high standards", he said.

Alberta Members of Parliament were the most outspoken during the Question Period. Patrick Mahoney (PC--Calgary South) charged the Commission was selecting areas such as Calgary, Edmonton, Regina and Halifax "as hothouses for cultural experiments." He criticized the government's right to censorship, saying it is almost "Orwe1lian".

Stephen Paproski (PC--Edmonton Centre) termed the decision "arrogant" and a "slap in the face for the West".

A Nova Scotia Member of Parliament, Patrick Nowlan (PC --Annapolis Valley) called on the Secretary of State to "instruct the CRTC to cut off American programming all through Canada to the same degree."
ii) Provincial
a) Quebec

On December 11, 1969, Quebec Premier Jean Jacques Bertrand challenged the decision. He told the National Assembly: "Quebec's constitutional experts recognize the CRTC's jurisdiction over airwaves but felt that 'closed circuit' cable networks fall under provincial jurisdiction like any other cable communications enterprise such as telephone and telegraph."
b) Alberta

Alberta Liberal leader, Jack Lowery, said the decision would encourage "western separatism". In a telegram to the Prime Minister, Mr. Lowery said: "The West is particularly affected by this blatant attempt to roll back progress after major population centres in the East have been accommodated."

## GENERAL COMMENT

The following represents a cross-section of general comment from the Canadian public - broadcasters, interest groups, 'the public', and politicians, on the concept of Canadian broadcasting in general and CRTC policies in particular.
(1) Broadcasters

Throughout public hearings, the Commission hears representations from broadcasters and other interested parties, on proposed applications for new, renewed or amendments to existing licences.

As it has been outlined earlier in the report, the Commission has repeatedly expressed the view that there should be local identification between a segment of population, and the television or radio station (or both) serving that area. At the June Public Hearing, the Commission's Vice-Chairman, Mr. Harry Boyle, said it is:
"Incongruous that normal service that goes to a large part of our population must be strangely alien to many people living in remote and isolated places."

This view was reiterated in January by the Commission's Chairman, Mr. Pierre Juneau, during his appearance before the Commons Committee on Broadcasting, Films, and Assistance to the Arts, when he said: "the system we have adopted in Canada, (is) an identification between the radio or TV station and a certain population, a town, or city, or region."

Some television stations responded with nightly locally oriented public affairs - news package, and civic affairs programs. Some have advertised these productions in local newspapers emphasizing the community programming aspects of the productions.

A spokesman for a University Radio station told a public hearing, the broadcasting system in Canada is a compromise system not "reflective of the social reality".

On the question of broadcast advertising, one broadcaster asked at the Montreal Public Hearing if there was not a "contradiction":
"On the one hand we are faced with a very difficult problem that the broadcasting industry regards itself as an important and vital part of communications per se in this country. On the other hand, we are consistently faced with the fact that the audience -- those people who are sensibly being communicated with -- are statistical entries, and that this has tremendous influence in terms of the kind of thing that is going on."

On the question of Canadian talent, another broadcaster said during one of the hearings:

> "There is a great deal of extremely good Canadian talent from various fields and it is unfortunate that more of it is not on the air because I think the talent is very reliant on exposure and the ensuing publicity in order to sell their records and be successful. ...the world has become a main street because of the jet in our communications and everything else. Canadians are exposed to talent of all kinds from everywhere, so they are really in themselves what I would call an international standard... Contrary to belief in certain quarters, broadcasters are not at all adverse against using good Canadian talent and getting it on the air. We would be prejudiced in favour of Canadian talent if enough were available to us in either the taped or recorded form because they are two forms that we can handle better economically and we can fit into our programming as we wish."
(2) Interest Groups

## 1) Federated Women's Institutes of Canada

In November, 1969, before the CATV-microwave policy and the Canadian content policy proposals were announced, the Federated Women's Institutes of Canada had written the Commission praising some broadcasting policies and recommending others.

Mrs. H. G. Taylor, National Secretary, wrote:
"...the FWIC have always supported a national broadcasting system, believing that only in that way can the more isolated areas of our country be served."
"We are pleased to see that service to (Northern Canada) is a special concern of the CRTC."

Comments were also made in relation to ownership and Canadian content.
"The FWIC hope there will never be any question about the Canadian ownership of our broadcasting system and could wish that more of our programs could be Canadian in content. We have the talent in this country, we should be making more use of it."
ii) Canadian Public Health Association: Cigarette Advertising

Dr. E. Robert Langford, M.D., D.P.H., Honorary Secretary, questioned the Commission about cigarette advertising. On October 23, 1969, the Commission answered that networks and broadcasting stations are free to take their own initiative in the matter of cigarette advertising. The Commission itself will be guided by the decisions of the Department of National Health and Welfare.
iii) Ethnic Groups - Indians, Eskimo, Métis

Various ethnic groups have, from time to time, made their views known about the development of broadcasting. This year the National Indian Brotherhood of Canada, the Indian-Eskimo Association of Canada, and the Canadian Métis Society, all made presentations to the Senate Committee on Mass Media. Their briefs made the point that media people are "not exhibiting a genuine interest in their concerns and aspirations...As a result, a large credibility gap is developing, and the cultural gulf persists." They recommend:

- That the Canadian government subsidize communities buying radio transmission equipment for comniunity programming devoted to social change and community development.
- That cable television facilities be extended to various Northern communities.
- That isolated communities may gain access to a wider range of information and public affairs services, so they may operate their own community media and serve their own needs.

In a brief to the Canadian Radio-Television Commission, Canadian Indians have requested more service both for Indians and Eskimos. Their objective is "to bring native peoples into the mainstream of Canadian life without destroying what they value in their present way of life and their heritage from the past." The brief stated that broadcasting is an agent of "social change."
iv) A Fairness Doctrine?

In February, Professor Daniel Baum of Toronto and a group of Osgood Hall law professors and students proposed a scheme that would make it mandatory for television stations to give equal time to citizen groups which disagree with television commercials.

Eg: For Pollution, Probe the scheme would allow equal time to point out polluting effects of phosphates in detergents being advertised on television.

Further details of the plan are expected to be revealed in April.
v) Non-Commercial Radio Station Proposed

A University of Manitoba professor and a freelance broadcaster this year proposed a non-commercial radio station in Manitoba. In December, 1969, they announced to a group of students at University College on the U. of M. Fort Garry Campus, their plan to set up a station and to finance it with the help of 5,000 Greater Winnipeg citizens. Their proposal involves public subscriptions of $\$ 10.00$ per annum for five years from 5,000 people. This would give them the required $\$ 250,000$ guarantee. Their aim is to provide access so people will be able to "freely criticize the evils of the present day society."

It can be concluded that public comment on CRTC policy and broadcasting in general this year has been varied, and has provided an information link, a valuable forum of contact between the Commission and the public, the broadcasters, the interest groups and parliamentarians. This two-way flow of communication is essential to an agency engaged in the "supervision and regulation" of that sphere of communication that is broadcasting.

## - CRTC OPERATIONS 1969: 70

## I - OPERATIONS

During the 1969-70 fiscal year, the Commission's workload increased substantially. In addition to overall policy development which encompasses input from all branches of the Commission, it has focussed attention on planning and coordinating Public Hearings, processing applications, considering representations by the public and personnel development.

## I Public Hearings

In its second year of operation, the Commission held six Public Hearings:
April 15, 1969 at the Chateau Laurier, Ottawa, Ontario; June 10, 1969 at the Hotel Bonaventure, Montreal, Quebec; October 14, 1969 in Vancouver, B.C.;
November 25, 1969 at the Skyline Hotel, Ottawa, Ontario;
February 10, 1970 at the King Edward Hotel, Toronto, Ontario;
March 11, 1970 at the Skyline Hotel, Ottawa, Ontario.
These hearings have promoted a consultative process between the Commission, the broadcasters and the general public. Various interest groups, broadcasters, and individuals made presentations at each of the hearings. Some had a vested interest in specific areas under discussion. Others did not. However, the flow of public opinion is a consideration in policy development.

The workload at the hearings has consisted of consideration of licence amendments and renewals of existing licences, as well as applications for new licences. In addition to these functions, some of the hearings concentrated on specific policies. The June hearing devoted considerable time to presentations relating to the future development of FM broadcasting. The October, November and February hearings concentrated on CATV. The February 1970 hearing also considered presentations relating to the proposed CRTC Rules of Procedure.

The increased volume of applications and policy proposals this year necessitated a corresponding increase in pre-hearing as well as post-hearing documentation and announcements, and limited staff increases.

This also affected the Commission's legal Counsel which question witnesses at Public Hearings. Special Counsel was retained to assist the Commission at the Ottawa hearing (April 1969), as well as the Montreal, Vancouver and Toronto hearings in June 1969, October 1969 and February 1970 respective1y.

In addition, the volume of licence applications (particularly CATV) on the agenda for the February 1970 hearing was so concentrated that the hearing was divided into two sections which sat concurrently in two separate auditoriums. The division of the agenda was selective.

## II Licensing

The scheduling of hearings must be consistent with the broadcasting year and the workload before the Commission. The Secretariat of the CRTC is responsible for the complete processing of licence applications from the time of their submission to the Commission until a decision of denial or approval is taken.

The Secretariat, in close liaison with other CRTC departments, studies all aspects of each application and maintains a system of control over the status of the application (i.e. whether it is, at a specific date, pending a Public Hearing, pending decision etc.) and this information is available to the Executive Committee on request at any given time. The system of applications control was developed this year to provide instant information on applications on hand at any given time. The information is collected by region - Western, Ontario, Quebec and Atlantic.

During its second year of operation from Apri1 1, 1969 to March 31, 1970 the Commission received applications and announced decisions on AM Radio broadcasting, FM Radio broadcasting, Television, CATV, securities and network applications. In total the Commission considered 849 applications. These applications included 10 network applications and 96 securities.

The Commission processed and took decisions on 16 applications for new AM Radio licences, 36 amendments to AM Radio licences, and 129 AM Radio licence renewals.

In the area of FM Radio, the Commission processed and decided upon two applications for new licences, seven applications for licence amendments and 48 licence renewals.

There were more television applications processed and decided upon than there were radio applications. These included 44 applications for new licences, 10 applications for licence amendments and 184 applications for licence renewals.

Community antenna broadcasting undertakings (CATV) dominated the licensing activity. A total of 267 CATV applications were processed and decided upon. These included 249 new licences and 18 licence amendments. (2)

At the end of the fiscal year, March 31, 1970 there were 1066 applications on hand. It is projected that in 1970-1971 the Commission will process 1666 licence applications. (3)

## III Personnel Administration

This magnitude of activity and the establishment of new priorities clarified certain staff requirements. During the first half of the year, there was little progress made in the classification of new positions. However, during the latter half temporary help was made available to the Commission by the Treasury Board. This help made it possible to complete the classification work on 44 positions. The staffing of many of these new positions took place in the last quarter of the year.

To further develop the job analysis and job classification a new continuity unit of three positions was established in January 1970.

By March 31, 1970, five employees had attended language courses and three
(1) For example of this see Status of Applications Map.
(2) For a regional breakdown of decisions announced see Table VIII.
(3) For regional summaries of applications received during 1969-1970, and those on hand March 31, 1970 see Tables IX and X.
had attended data processing courses.
The total staff strength at the end of the fiscal year was 190.

## Annual Financial Returns

Until this year, all broadcasting licencees, excluding CATV licencees, have been required to submit annual financial returns covering the reporting period of September lst to August 31st. This year, CATV broadcasting undertakings are also required to submit annual returns for the first time.

Broadcasters are required to submit information regarding operating revenue, expenses and securities held. The major items of information are: Ownership structure giving each class of securities with a description of the category of business, as well as voting rights, costs of installation, upkeep of service, salaries, wages, employees, number of subscribers, receipts for CATV services.

The Commission will now have data on the whole broadcasting industry. This will be used in evaluating the performance of existing and proposed stations and CATV systems. Reliable data will be a valuable asset to all members of the industry, and should greatly assist in planning and growth.

## V CRTC Rules of Procedure - Proposals

Since the enactment of the Broadcasting Act April 1, 1968, the Commission has been developing Rules of Procedure which deal specifically with the CRTC's responsibility. The proposed new procedural regulations were announced on January 10, 1970. If adopted, they will replace the Board of Broadcast Governors' Regulations dating back to June 19, 1961 (with revisions in May 1967) which have been adhered to by the Commission pending ratification of the new regulations.

The new proposals include definition of terms such as: "Intervener" or "Intervention"; "Party" or "Parties"; and "Security". They also include specifications for such operations as the completion of application forms; deadlines for publication in the Canada Gazette; notice of application; notice of opposition or complaint; notice of reply and service; confidential information; declaration under oath; verification; suspension of proceedings; "Ex Parte" orders; notice of appearance before a hearing; the definition of issues through "conference", and documentation.

In matters relating directly to the Public Hearings, the proposals outline in detail the procedure to be followed, as well as the regulations governing evidence under oath, written submissions, mutually exclusive application, order of appearance and amendments. There is also a general regulation for ownership and control.
i) Notice of Application - Canada Gazette Deadline.

The deadline for publishing a notice of application in the Canada Gazette by the Secretary of the Commission is changed from 20 days before the hearing to 35 days before the hearing. In addition, the Commission is required to publish a copy of the notice in one or more newspapers of general circulation within the relevant area. Also, an applicant who has a licence for the relevant area sha11, at his own expense, publish over his facilities a notice of the hearing, setting out the rights of persons interested in the application.
ii) Public Complaints or Representations.

Anyone wishing to lodge a complaint or to make a representation concerning an application may do so. The Commission reserves the right of deciding whether or not a hearing shall be held to consider the complaint or representation.

If a person wishes to intervene he must submit a written representation explaining his support for the application, or a lucid statement of his grounds for aoposition, or suggested modification. He may also submit accompanying documentation in support of his representation. This written representation must be submitted to the Secretary of the Commission as well as to the applicant(s) concerned.
iii) Confidential Information.

An applicant can designate certain information as "confidential" if it falls under any of the following categories:
a) financial statement of an applicant who has a licence;
b) evidence of the financial capacity of any person participating in an application;
c) the names of prospective employees of an applicant.

The new rules were discussed at the February hearing. Modifications and minor changes are being made in the light of information made available from various sources during the hearing.

## VI Research and Policy Development.

Throughout the year, internal study groups and advisory groups were established to examine questions relating to policy development. While some of the studies have involved detailed analysis of policy proposals, others have been investigatory, relating specifically to potential development of the broadcasting system, and they vary from short-term projects to long-term studies.

Policy development and licensing decisions described throughout the preceeding sections of this report result from coordinated research and planning by the Commission in consultation with many sectors of the general public, broadcasters and selected individual possessing a degree of expertise relevant to sectors of analysis. The Commission has been considering questions such as program
policy, educational television, political broadcasting, and the penetration of U.S. stations into Canada.

The Commission also has a responsibility concerning program standards. In this connection the Broadcast Programs Branch has been monitoring and evaluating the performance of selected radio and television stations, as well as some network operations, to ensure adherence to regulations. An overall evaluation of station performance is also acquired through analysis of production techniques, advertising practice, and quality of programming. In selected cases, the analysis of programming has concentrated on specific elements such as local programming, community involvement, and political broadcasting.

In preparation for hearings, the Commission reviews program standards of applications by broadcasting undertakings whose licences are coming up for renewal. This applies to both network and non-network undertakings. This year the Commission monitored broadcasting by the CTV network and the CBC English and French networks whose licences came up for renewal.

Although quality of programming and program balance were major areas of concern, the Commission also monitored for Canadian Content (daily schedule as well as prime-time), and advertising content (where advertisements were inserted in the clock hour etc.).

A significant volume of statistical data relating to programming is acquired from the daily program logs.

Broadcasting stations report their programming and advertising activities to the Commission on continuing basis by submitting a weekly program log.

The sources and categories of programs broadcast by all stations are analysed on a sample basis and regulations, such as those concerning Canadian content in television, Arts, Letters and Sciences programming in FM and commercial content in all media, are enforced through study of the program logs. Monitoring is done directly off-air or from tape recordings obtained from the stations, or prepared through the cooperation of the Department of Communications.

Other areas of examination have included an analysis of the merits of various classes and types of ownership structure, closed circuit broadcasting, copyright, and the development of CATV. A sub-committee on CATV was established to study the development of broadcasting receiving undertakings as a system and CATV as part of the overall broadcasting system.

More generalized areas of research have included a continuing examination of the function and growth of the industry and the consequent problems of adaptation. The Commission recognizes the relationship between the development of the broadcasting system and the socio-economic and socio-cultural Canadian environment. Studies have been initiated to promote, to the extent that it is possible, an integration of the development of the broadcasting system with the development of the Canadian "fabric".

While there is a degree of concentration on internal research, the Commission has also engaged research from outside the Commission. Section 18 of the Broadcasting Act says the "Executive Committee may undertake, sponsor, promote or assist in research relating to any aspect of broadcasting". In accordance with
this section, the Commission sponsored a research study for publication entitled "The Law and the Administration of Broadcasting in Canada".

The subjects examined in the study are designed to provide a fundamental background to the structure and economics of the broadcasting industry in Canada. It provides a comprehensive amount of information on the structure of the Canadian broadcasting industry, broadcasting and international law, the Radio Act and the Department of Communications, the Broadcasting Act and the CRTC. This book will provide valuable information for non-broadcasters and non-lawyers who desire information relating to the regulatory aspects of broadcasting, CRTC hearings and legislation affecting the operation of broadcasting undertakings and penalties and appeals.
TABLE VIII
SUMMARY OF DECISIONS ANNOUNCED DURING FISCAL YEAR 1969-70

| ATLANTIC <br> REGION | CANADA <br> TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 |  |
| 8 | 16 |
| $\frac{19}{29}$ |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

TABLE IX

| TABLE IX |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SUMMARY OF APPLICATIONS AND LICENCE RENEWALS WHICH WERE RECEIVED DURING FISCAL YEAR 1969-70 |  |  |  |  |  |
| APPLICATION TYPE | WESTERN REGION | ONTARIO REGION | QUEBEC REGION | ATLANTIC REGION | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CNADA A } \\ & \text { TOTAL } \end{aligned}$ |
| AM - NEW <br> - AMENDMENTS <br> - Renewals <br> - total | $\begin{array}{r} 13 \\ 19 \\ 89 \\ \hline 121 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ 10 \\ \frac{48}{63} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 7 \\ \frac{36}{44} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ 6 \\ 53 \\ \hline 64 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24 \\ 42 \\ 226 \\ \hline 292 \end{array}$ |
| FM - NEW <br> - AMENDMENTS <br> - RENEWALS <br> - TOTAL | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 5 \\ \frac{16}{22} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ 4 \\ \frac{24}{30} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 2 \\ 13 \\ \hline 16 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ -\quad-4 \\ \hline 6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6 \\ 11 \\ \frac{57}{74} \end{array}$ |
| TV - NEW <br> - AMENDMENTS <br> - Renewals <br> - total | $\begin{array}{r} 17 \\ 15 \\ \frac{105}{137} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7 \\ 3 \\ 34 \\ \hline 44 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ -1 \\ \frac{41}{42} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \\ 7 \\ \frac{30}{47} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35 \\ 25 \\ \frac{210}{270} \end{array}$ |
| CATV - NEW <br> - AMENDMENTS <br> - RENEWALS <br> - total | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 30 \\ & \frac{12}{62} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 74 \\ 59 \\ \hline- \\ \hline 133 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \\ 13 \\ \hline-- \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 37 \\ 5 \\ \frac{10}{52} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 140 \\ 107 \\ \frac{22}{269} \end{array}$ |
| SECURIties | 69 | 62 | 49 | 21 | 201 |
| NETwork | 1 | 5 | 7 | -- | 13 |
| all applications | 412 | 337 | 180 | 190 | *1119 |
| * New Applications - 604 <br> * Applications for licence renewals - 515 |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE $X$
SUMMARY OF APPLICATIONS AND LICENCE RENEWALS ON HAND AS OF MARCH 31, 1970
WESTERN ONTARIO QUEBEC ATLANTIC
$\frac{\text { REGION }}{4}$


| oim |
| :-- |



## CANADIAN RADIO-TELEVISION COMMISSION

1969-70

FINANCIAL STATEMENT

| Salaries and Wages | $\$ 1,460,200$. |
| :--- | ---: |
| Other Personnel | $35,100$. |
| Transportation and Communications | $184,700$. |
| Information | $88,050$. |
| Professional and Special Services | $696,300$. |
| Rentals | $67,200$. |
| Purchased Repair and Upkeep | $2,600$. |
| Utilities, Materials and Supplies | $74,800$. |
| Construction and Acquisition of Machinery, |  |
| Equipment and Furnishings |  |
| Contributions toward research under Section |  |
| 18 of the Broadcasting Act | $164,800$. |
| All Other Expenditures | $5,000$. |
|  | $5,350$. |

a) Index - List of Decisions for Fiscal Year, 1969-70
(By Province and Town with references to CRTC decision number
b) CRTC Decisions for Fiscal Year 1969-70
1969
NEWFOUNDLAND

| Englee, Nfld. | Television "off-the-air" pickup (CBC) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Irishtown, Nfld. | Television (CBC) |
| St.Anthony, Nf1d. | Television (CBC) |
| St.John's, Nfld. <br> Corner Brook, Nf1d. Bonavista, Nfld. Brooks, Alta. Whitecourt, Alta. Chilliwack, B.C. | Television <br> Station CJON-TV <br> Station CJON-TV-1 <br> Station CJON-TV-11 <br> Station CFCN-TV-3 <br> Station CFRN-TV-3 <br> Station CHAN-TV-1 |
| 1970 |  |
| Bonne Bay, Nf1d. | Television (CBC) |
| Cape Broyle, Nfld. | Television <br> (The Cape Broyle Television Improvement Association) |
| Cape Broyle, Nfld. | Television <br> (The Cape Broyle Television Improvement Association) |
| Churchill Falls, Nfld. | Television (CBC) |
| Deer Lake, Nfld. | Television <br> (Newfoundland Broadcasting Co. Ltd.) |
| Stephenville, Nfld. | Radio-AM <br> (Humber Valley Broadcasting Co. Ltd.) |
| St.John's, Nf1d. | Television <br> (Newfoundland Broadcasting Co. Ltd.) |
| Trepassey, Nfld. | Television |


$\infty$
$\infty$
$\vdots$
$\vdots$

[^1]$\underline{1970}$
Sydney, N.S.
$\underline{1970}$
Sydney, N.S.
Charlottetown, P.E.I.

> Hallfax, N.S.
> Hallfax, N.S.

Halifax, N.S.
New Glasgow, N.S.
New Glasgow, N.S.
Yarmouth, N.S.
Yarmouth, N.S.
Yarmouth, N.S.

1970
$58 \varepsilon-69$
$\angle L Z-69$
$08 โ-69$
$68 Z-69$
$58 โ-69$
$78 โ-69$

NEW BRUNSWICK


Television
(Moncton Bro
Television
(New Brunswick Broadcasting Co. Ltd.)
Television
(Moncton Broadcasting Limited) Shortwave Application
(CBC)

$\overline{696 \tau}$
Monct
Moncton, N.B. (St. John)

## Moncton, N.B. <br> Newcastle, N.B. <br>  <br> Sackville, N.B. <br>  <br> Sackville, N.B. <br> Sackville, N.B.

익
Newcastle, N.B.
Sackville, N. B.
St.Stephen, N.B.

$69-271$
$69-131$
$69-364$
$69-391$
$69-161$
$87-0<$
$67-0<$
$78-0 L$

| $\stackrel{\infty}{1}$ |
| :---: |
| $\stackrel{1}{1}$ |
|  |

69-161

QUEBEC


Radio-AM
(Radio Maria-Chapdeleine Inc.)
CATV
(Transvision (Coaticook) Inc.)
(Transvision (Coaticook) Inc.)
(Coleraine Video Inc.)
(Transvision Cookshire Inc.)
(Transvision de Danville Inc.)
catv
(Transvision Disraeli Inc.)
星
(TV Drummondville Inc.)
$\xrightarrow[\text { Radio-FM }]{\text { (Radio Drummond Limitée) }}$
CATV
(Transvision (East Angus) Inc.)
CATV
CATV
(Roger Ouellette)
CATV
(Jules Matteau Television Inc.)
(Cablevision de 1'Est Inc.)


Chibougamau, Que.
Coaticook, Que.
Coleraine, Que.
Cookshire, Que.
Danville, Que.
Disraeli, Que.
Drummondville, Que. Drummondville, Que.
East Angus, Que.
Ferme Neuve, Que.
Grand'Mere, Que.
Ferme Neuve, Que.
Grand'Mere, Que.


QUEBEC
CATV
(Trans-Vision Magog Inc.)
CATV
(Video Cable Services Ltd.)
CATV
(La Guadeloupe Television Inc.)
CATV
(Gilles Godard)
Radio-AM
Radio-AM
(Radio La Sarre Inc.)
$\stackrel{\text { CATV }}{\text { (Elect }}$
(Electro-Vision $\mathbb{L}$ a Tuque) Inc.)
Low Power Relay Transmitter
(CBC)
(Transvision Magog Inc.) CATV
(Roland Hamel)
(Maniwaki Television Ltée)
CATV
(Club TV de Matagami)
CATV
(Denis Filion)
Radio-AM (English)
(Montreal Baseball Cl
(Montreal Baseball Club Ltd.)
Radio AM and FM
(Maisonneuve Broa
(Maisonneuve Broadcasting Corporation) Television
(Tele-Metropole Corporation)
(CatV
$\underset{(\text { National Cablevisiton Limited) }}{\text { Cate }}$


Ile-Jesus (Part of) and North Shore, La Guadeloupe, nue.
L'Annonciation, Que.
La Sarre, Que.
La Tuque, Que.
Lebel-sur-Quevillon, Que.

## Magog, Que.

Malartic, Que.
Maniwaki, Que.
Matagami (Club TV de)
Mont-Tremblant, Que.
Montreal, Ottawa,
(AM English Language
Radio Network)
Montreal, Que.
Montreal, Que.
Montreal, Que.
Montreal, Que.

$$
5
$$


QUEBEC
CATV
(John N. Daperis)
CATV
(North Hatley Transvision Inc.)
CATV
(Gagnon TV Ltée)
CATV
(Claire-Vue Inc.)
CATV
(Normand Mercier)
CATV
(Edouard Benoit)
CATV
(Réal St-Laurent)
CATV
(Border Community TV Inc.)
Radio-AM
(Northern Radio - Radio Nord Inc.)
CATV
(Paul Télévision Service Ltée)
CATV
(Sorel-O-Vision Inc.)
Radio-AM
(Radio Richelieu Ltée)
CatV
(Cable de TV Mont-Bruno Inc. - Mont-Bruno Cable Inc.) CATV
(Richard Coutu)

[^2]St-Georges West, Beauce Co. Que.

| - | N | N | 6 | $\checkmark$ |  | - |  | N | - | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | - | -n |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 융 | $\stackrel{-1}{\square}$ | 앙 | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{ }$ | 융 | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\cdots$ | $\stackrel{-1}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{+}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{-1}{n}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | ¢ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\square}$ | $\xrightarrow{-1}$ | $\cdots$ | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 7 | $\uparrow$ | 1 |
| 80 | 8 | 9 | 80 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | \% | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 8 |


| 1969 cont'd... | Q U E B, E C |
| :---: | :---: |
| St-Grégoire, Que. | CATV <br> (Thēo Rouleau) |
| St-Honoré, Que. | CATV <br> (Jean-Guy Fortier) |
| St-Hyacinthe, Que. | CATV <br> (RAdio St-Hyacinthe Ltée) |
| St-Jérôme, Sorel, Joliette, Drummondville, Valleyfield, Granby, <br> Montreal, Que. (AM French Language Radio Network). | Radio-AM (French) <br> (Montreal Baseball Club Ltd.) |
| St-Martin, Que. | CATV <br> (Beauce Video Ltée) |
| St-Pacome, Que. | CATV <br> (Chouinard Télêvision Ltẻe) |
| St-Pamphile, Que. | CATV <br> (Guy Chouinard) |
| St-Pascal, Que. | CATV <br> (Louis Georges Paradis) |
| St-Tite, Que. | CATV <br> (J. Bergeron \& Frère Ltée) |
| St-Zénon, Que. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATV } \\ & \text { (Fernand Rondeau) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Ste-Angèle-de-Laval, Que. | CATV <br> (Venant Deshaies) |
| Ste-Justine, Que. | CATV <br> (Justin Tanguay) |
| Ste-Marie, Que. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATV } \\ & \text { (Léo-Paul Côtē) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Ste-Thècle, Que. | CATV <br> (Reynald St-Amand) |
| Valley Junction, Que. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATV } \\ & \text { (Léo-Paul Côtē) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Valleyfield, Que. | CATV <br> (Valleyfleld Transvision Inc.) |
| Victoriaville - Arthabaska, Que. | CATV <br> (Transvision (Eastern Townships) Limited, Limitée) |

$0 \tau-0 L$
$0 \tau-0 \angle$
$\angle E-0<$
$6 I-0<$
$8 I-0<$
$\begin{array}{lll}0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & \underset{1}{1} \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ & \end{array}$ $70-81$
$70-82$ 70-24



$\frac{1970}{}$ cont'd...
Montreal, Que.
Quebec, Que.
Notre-Dame-des-Anges, Que. Port Alfred, Que.
Quebec, Que. Port Alfred, Que.
Quebec, Que.

Quebec, Que. Quebec, Que.
Sept-Iles, Que. Sept-Iles, Que. Sept-Iles, Que.

Sherbrooke, Que. Rimouski, Que.
Caus apscal, Que.
Montreal, Que.
Hull, Que.
Sherbrooke, Que.
Rimouski, Que.
Sherbrooke, Que.
Rimouski, Que.
Edmundston, N.B.
(La Compagnie de Télévision de Sept-Iles, Ltd.)
Radio AM
(Radio Sept-Iles Inc.)
CATV
(Rediffusion Inc.)
Privately-owned AM stations

Privately-owned FM stations
Privately-owned TV stations
CATV
(Kennebec Video Enrg.)
Privately-owned AM station
(CKJL-AM, St-Jérôme, Que.)
CATV
(Kennebec Video Enrg.)
Privately-owned AM station
(CKJL-AM, St-Jérôme, Que.)
CATV
(Kennebec Video Enrg.)
Privately-owned AM station
(CKJL-AM, St-Jérôme, Que.)
(St-Prosper Television Enrg.)
(SATV - CHLT-TV

- CJBR-TV
- CJBR-TV-1 - CHLT
- CJBR
- CJBM
- CKAC
- CKCH
- CHLT-
- CJBR-F
 - CHLT-FM
- CJBR-FM $\qquad$
- 

(

Radio-AM
(CKCV, Quebec, Que.)
Privately-owned AM station
(CFOM-AM, Quebec, Que.)
Radio-AM
(CKCV, Quebec, Que.)
Privately-owned AM station
(CFOM-AM, Quebec, Que.)
CATV
(La Compagnie de Télévision de Sept-Iles, Ltd.)
Privately-owned FM station
(CFQR-FM, Montreal, Que.)
Privately-owned TV station
Privately-owned TV stations
(CFCM-TV, Quebec, Que.)
(CFMI-TV, Quebec, Que.)
(CKMI-
(Paquin and Martel TV Enrg.)
CATV
(Video
(Video Déry Ltée)
Radio-AM
QUEBEC


> ONTARIO
> CATV
(Société-Télévision Ste-Marthe Enrg.)
CATV
(Transvision Windsor Inc.)

> | 0 |
| :--- | Radio-AM

(Radio CHOO Limited)
CATV
(William T. Lelliott)
CATV
(East Elgin Cable TV Ltd.)
CATV
(Barrie Cable TV Limited)
CATV
(Mr. Stanley Lloyd Hosken)
Low Power Relay Transmitter
(CBC)
CATV
(Cablevue (Belleville) Limited)
CATV
(Albion Cable TV Limited)
CATV
(Gravenhurst Cable System Limited)
Radio
(CHIC Radio Limited)
CATV
(Rogers Cable TV Limited)
Radio
(CHIC Radio Limited)
CATV
(Bramalea Telecable Ltd.)

CATV
(Jarmain Cable TV Limited)
CATV
(Metro Cable TV Limited)
CATV
(Charles Beverley Redden)
CATV
(Company to be incorporated by John A. Gedney)
CATV
(George E. Young, M.D.)
CATV
(Chatham Cable TV Ltd.)
Radio and Television
(Great Lakes Broadcasting System Limited)
CATV
(William T. Le1liott)
CATV
(Mid-Ontario Cable TV Ltd.)
Low Power Relay Transmitter
(CBC)
Low Power Relay Transmitter
(CBC)
CATV
(Dubreuil Brothers Ltd.)
CATV
(Hamilton TV and Appliance Services Co. Ltd.)
Television (VHF)
(CBC)
CATV
(Gravenhurst Cable System Limited)
(Fergus -Elora Cable TV Limited)
CATV
(Georgetown Cable TV Limited)
Cornwall Cablevision 1961 Ltd.)
(
1969 cont'd...
Brantford, Ont.
Brantford, Ont.
Burlington, part of Township of
East Flamborough, Ont.
Campbellford, Ont.
Cardiff, ont.
Chapleau, Ont.
Chatham, Ont.
Chatham - Kit
Chatham - Kitchener, Ont.
Cobourg, Ont.
Cobourg and Port Hope, Ont.

> Dundas, Ancaster and West Hamilton, Ont. Ear Falls, Ont. Fergus-Elora, Ont.
Georgetown, Ont.
Gravenhurst, Ont.
Gravenhurst, Ont.
$69-249$
$69-181$
$69-182$
$69-187$
$69-189$
$69-190$
$69-191$
$69-342$
$69-242$
$69-188$
$69-247$
$69-195$
$69-267$
69

1969
Guelph,
Guelph, Ont.
Hamilton, Ont.
Hamilton, Ont.
Hamilton, Ont.
Hamilton, Ont.
Hamilton, Ont.
Hamilton, Ont.
Hamil ton, Ont.

## Hamilton (Part of), Ont.

Hamilton, Ancaster and part of Stoney Creek, Dundas and
West Hamilton, Ont. Hanover-Walkerton, Ont.
Leamington-Kingsville, Ont.
Lindsay, Ont.
London, Ont.
London, Ont.
cont'd...

(Community Television Limited)

# (Peterborough Cable Television Limited) 

(South Aberdeen Cable TV Limited)
catv
(Geroy Radio and Television Ltd.)
CATV
(Penin
(Peninsula Utilities Ltd.)
Catv
CATV
(Metro Cable TV Limited)
CATV
(Terra
CATV
(Maclean-Hunter Cable TV Limited) Radio-AM
(Kirkland Lake Broadcasting)
(Jarmain Cable TV Limited)
CATV
(Oakville Cablevision Limited)
CATV
(Metro Cable TV Ltd.)
CATV
(Noram Cable Construction Limited) CATV
(Orangeville Cable-Vu Limited)
CATV
(Orillia Cable TV Limited)
CATV
(Oshaw
(Oshawa Cable TV Ltd.)
Radio-FM
(Lakeland Broadcasting Co Ltd.)
宸岕


1969

## London, Ont.

Peterborough, Ont. Hamilton, Ont. Manitouwadge, Ont.

> Marathon, Ont.

Mississauga, Ont.
Mississauga (Clarkson), Ont.
Missisauga-Streetsville-Pickering-
Ajax, Ont.
Ajax, Ont.
New Liskeard, Ont.
New Liskeard, Ont.
Newmarket, Ont.
Oakville, Ont.
Oakville, Bronte, Ont.
Orangeville, Ont.
Orangeville, Ont.
Orillia, Ont.
Oshawa, Ont.
Oshawa, Ont.
Peterborough, Ont.
$69-255$
$69-256$
$69-363$
$69-298$
$69-132$
$69-214$
$69-252$
$69-246$
$69-251$
$69-238$
$69-237$
$69-186$
$69-250$
$69-1239$
$69-196$
69

| 1969 cont'd... | ONTARIO |
| :---: | :---: |
| Pickering Township and part of Whitby Township, Ont. | CATV <br> (Clear Colour Cable Services) |
| Pickering (Part of Townships of) Vaughan, Markham, Gore, Ont. | CATV (Company to be incorporated represented by Barry G. Nicholls) |
| Picton, Ont. | CATV <br> (Quinte Cablevision Limited) |
| Port Arthur - Fort william, Ont. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATV } \\ & \text { (Lakehead Videon Ltd.) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Richmond, Ont. | CATV <br> (TV Cable (Richmond) Inc.) |
| Richmond Hill, Kleinburg, Woodbridge, Markham, Stouffuille, Ont. | CATV <br> (Subarban York Sales Limited) |
| Sarnia, Ont. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATV } \\ & \text { (Huron Cable TV Ltd.) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Simcoe, Ont. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATV } \\ & \text { (Clearview Cable TV Ltd.) } \end{aligned}$ |
| St.Catherines, Ont. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATV } \\ & \text { (Maclean-Hunter Cable TV Limited) } \end{aligned}$ |
| St.Mary's, Ont. | CATV (Adelaide Radio and Television) |
| St. Thomas, Ont. | CATV (Allview Cable Service Limited) |
| Stoney Creek, Ont. | CATV <br> (Niagara Co-Axial Limited) |
| Stratford, New Hamburg, Baden, Kitchener, Waterloo, Preston, and Galt, Ont. | CATV (Grand River Cable TV Ltd.) |
| Strathroy, Ont. | CATV ${ }^{\text {(Airland Communication Services) }}$ |
| Tillsonburg, Ont. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATV } \\ & \text { (T111-Cable TV Limited) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Toronto, Ont. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATV } \\ & \text { (Maclean-Hunter Cable TV Limited) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Toronto, Ont. | CATV (Rogers Cable TV Limited) |


cont'd...
$\stackrel{\circ}{-}$
Toronto, Ont.
CATV
(Co-Axial Colourview Limited)
CATV
(John E. Feltmate)
CATV
(Willowdowns Cablevision Limited)
CATV
(York Cablevision Limited)
CATV
(Hosick Television Co. Ltd.)
CATV
(Metro Cable TV Ltd.)
CATV
(Thomas A. Hollinshead)
ONTARIO
(Clear Colour Cable Services)
(Compa
(Company to be incorporated represented by Barry G. Nicholls)
Radio-AM
(CFRB Limi
(CFRB Limited)
Radio
(Radio 1540 Limited)
Radio-FM
(Radio CHIN-FM Limited)
응
CATV
(John Albulet)
(Rogers Cable TV
(Rogers Cable TV Limited)
CATV
(Metro Cable TV Ltd.)
CATV
(York Cable Limited)
(Rogers Cable TV Limited)
Toronto, Ont.
Toronto, Ont.
Toronto, Ont.
Toronto, Ont.
Toronto, Ont.
Toronto, Ont.
Toronto, Ont.
Toronto, Ont.
Toronto, ont.
Toronto, Ont.
Toronto, Ont.
Toronto, Ont.
Toronto, Ont.
Toronto, Ont.
Toronto, Ont.
Toronto, Ont.
Toronto, Ont.
Toronto, Ont.
Toronto, Ont.
Uxbridge, Ont.

## Uxbridge, Ont.

Vaughan and part of Markham Townships, Ont.
Vaughan and part of
Markham Township.
Vaughan and Markham, Toronto.

0 NTARIO

CATV
(Richmond Hill Cable TV Ltd.)
CATV
(Huro
(Huron Cable TV Limited)
(Rediffusion (Lake Superior) Limited)
(Rediffusion (Lake Superior) Limited)
Radio-AM
(We11port Broadcasting Limited)
CATV
(Huron Cable TV Limited)
Television and Radio
(Western Ontario Broadcasting Co. Ltd.)
Radio-AM
Radio-AM
(Radio Station CKNX Ltd.)
CATV and TV (Famous Players)
a) Télévision de Québec (Canada) Ltée b) Central Ontario Television Limited d) London TV Cable Service Limited
e) Cable TV Limited

Metro Videon Limited
h) Jarmain Cable TV Limited
i) Oshawa Cable TV Limited
j) Chatham Cable TV Limited
k) Cornwall Cable Vision 196

1) Metro Cable TV Limited
m) Hamilton Co-Axial (1958) Limited
n) Grand River Cable TV Limited
o) Barrie Cable TV Limited
p) Orillia Cable TV Limited Limited
r) Cablevision Lethbridge Limited
s) Cablevision Medicine Hat Limited
t) Powell River Television Company Limited

CATV
(Western Cable TV Limited)

WI-MTXO pue
MI-MTVO suofaeas
Windsor, Ont.
Windsor, Ont.
Wingham, Ont.

| n |
| :---: |
| $\substack{1 \\ \vdots \\ \hline}$ |



| 1970 cont'd... | ONTARIO |
| :---: | :---: |
| Brantford, Ont. | ```CATV (Jarmain Cable TV Ltd.)``` |
| Chatham, Ont. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATV } \\ & \text { (Chatham Cable TV Ltd.) } \end{aligned}$ |
| London, Ont. | CATV <br> (London TV Cable Service Ltd.) |
| Oshawa, Ont. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATV } \\ & \text { (Oshawa Cable TV Ltd.) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Burlington, Ont. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATV } \\ & \text { (Metro Cable TV Ltd.) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Camp Borden, Alliston and Angus, Ont. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATV } \\ & \text { (Borden Cable Television Ltd.) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Gore Bay, Ont. | CATV <br> (Harold A. Ednie and MacQuarrie Motors Ltd.) |
| Grimsby, Ont. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATV } \\ & \text { (Grimsby Cable TV Ltd.) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Haliburton, Ont. | ```Television (Ralph Snelgrove Television Limited)``` |
| Hamilton, Ont. | ```CATV (Wentworth Radio Broadcasting Co. Ltd.)``` |
| Hamilton, Ont. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATV } \\ & \text { (South Aberdeen Cable TV Ltd.) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Hamilton, Ont. | Television <br> (CHCH-TV, Hamilton, Ont.) |
| Hanover, Walkerton, Ont. | (Noram Cable Construction Ltd.) |
| Hornepayne, Ont. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATV } \\ & \text { (Television Hornepayne, Ltd.) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Kenora, Ont. | (KatV ${ }_{\text {(Kara }}$ Cable Vision Limited) |
| Kingston, Ont. | Radio-FM <br> (CFRC-FM, Kingston, Ont.) |
| Lindsay, Ont. | Radio <br> (Greg-May Broadcasting Limited) |

ONTARIO

| $\underline{1970}$ cont'd... | ONTARIO |
| :---: | :---: |
| Northern Ontario, Sudbury, Timmins, Sault-Ste-Marie, North Bay, Thunder Bay, Ont. | Television <br> (See Appendix III) |
| Sudbury, Ont. | Television <br> (J. Conrad Lavigne Ltd.) |
| Sudbury, Ont. | Television <br> (Hyland Radio-Television Ltd.) |
| Sudbury, Ont. | Television <br> (North Star Broadcasting Ltd.) |
| Sudbury, Ont. | Television (CBC) |
| Ottawa, Ont. and Montreal, Que. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Radio-AM } \\ & \text { (CKOY Limited) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Ottawa, Ont. | Radio-AM <br> (Radio Station CKPM, Ottawa, Ont.) |
| Owen Sound, Ont. | Radio (Grey and Bruce Broadcasting Co. Ltd.) |
| Pemb roke-Petawawa, Ont. | CATV <br> (Pembroke Cablevision Limited) |
| Rockland, Ont. | (SyTV ${ }^{\text {(Satock Cablevision Limited) }}$ |
| Sarnia, Ont. | Radio <br> (Sarnia Broadcasting (1964) Limited) |
| Sudbury, Ont. <br> - CKSO-TV <br> Elliot Lake, Ont. - CKSO-TV-1 | Privately-owned TV stations |
| Toronto, Ont. | Television (ETV) (CBC) |
| Toronto, Hamilton, Sarnia, Ottawa, London, Ont. | Radio-AM <br> (Foster Hewitt Broadcasting Limited) |
| Toronto, Ont. | Radio <br> (Rogers Broadcasting Ltd.) |
| Toronto (Part of Metro), Ont. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATV } \\ & \text { (Metro Cable TV Ltd.) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Toronto (Part of Metro), Ont. | (YatV Cablevision Ltd.) |

(York Cablevision Ltd.)



cont'd...

Toronto, Ont.
Toronto, Ont.
Windsor, Ont.
-7uo 'xosputm

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ONTARIO } \\
& \text { Radio-AM } \\
& \text { (Radio Station CHIN, Toronto, Ont.) } \\
& \text { Radio-FM } \\
& \text { (CJRT-FM, Toronto, Ont.) } \\
& \text { Television } \\
& \text { (Western Ontario Broadcasting Company Limited) }
\end{aligned}
$$

## MANITOBA

CATV
(Metro Videon Limited)
CATV
(The Fouillard Implement Exchange Ltd.)
CATV
(Metro Videon Limited)
CATV
(Greater Winnipeg Cablevision Ltd.)
Radio
(Radio Winnipeg Ltd.)
CATV
(CESM-TV Ltd.)
Radio-AM
(CJOR Limited)
Radio-FM
(GOR Limited)
SASKATCHEWAN
(Co-Ax Television (1962) Limited)
98z－69
$N$
$J$
-1
$o$
モऽz－69
-7
$\underset{0}{n}$
$\vdots$
69－346
69－224
$69-124$
$69-146$
69－325
69－388
-7
$\vdots$
1
0
0
69－262
69－283
69－284
69－349
$\underset{N}{1}$
$\vdots$
SASKATCHEWAN
Television
（CBC）
Television
（Monarch Broadcasting Co．Ltd．）
Television
（CHAB Limited）
Television
（CTV Television Network Ltd．）

| Radio－FM |
| :--- |
| （Metropolitan Broadcasters Ltd．） |
| CATV |
| （Co－Ax Television（1962）Limited） |

CATV
（Superior Communication Systems Ltd．）
Television
（Monarch Broadcasting Co．Ltd．）
Television＂off－air－pickup＂
（CFCN Television Limited）
Radio－AM
（Bow Valley Broadcasting Co．Ltd．）
CATV
（Superior Communication Systems Ltd．）
Television
（Monarch Broadcasting Co．Ltd．）
Television＂off－air－pickup＂
（CFCN Television Limited）
Radio－AM
（Bow Valley Broadcasting Co．Ltd．）
CATV
（Superior Communication Systems Ltd．）
Television
（Monarch Broadcasting Co．Ltd．）
Television＂off－air－pickup＂
（CFCN Television Limited）
Radio－AM
（Bow Valley Broadcasting Co．Ltd．）
CATV
（Superior Communication Systems Ltd．）
Television
（Monarch Broadcasting Co．Ltd．）
Television＂off－air－pickup＂
（CFCN Television Limited）
Radio－AM
（Bow Valley Broadcasting Co．Ltd．）
CATV
（Superior Communication Systems Ltd．）
Television
（Monarch Broadcasting Co．Ltd．）
Television＂off－air－pickup＂
（CFCN Television Limited）
Radio－AM
（Bow Valley Broadcasting Co．Ltd．）
CATV
（Superior Communication Systems Ltd．）
Television
（Monarch Broadcasting Co．Ltd．）
Television＂off－air－pickup＂
（CFCN Television Limited）
Radio－AM
（Bow Valley Broadcasting Co．Ltd．）
CATV
（Superior Communication Systems Ltd．）
Television
（Monarch Broadcasting Co．Ltd．）
Television＂off－air－pickup＂
（CFCN Television Limited）
Radio－AM
（Bow Valley Broadcasting Co．Ltd．）
ALBERTA
Radio－FM
（CHFM－FM（Calgary，Alta．）－Quality FM Limited） Television（ETV and French） （CBC）
Television
（CBC）
Television
（Sunwapta Broadcasting Limited）
Radio－AM
（Yellowhead Broadcasting Limited）
会咨
（Cablevision Lethbridge Limited）
L969 cont＇d．．．
La Ronge，Sask．
Maple Creek，Sask．
Moose Jaw－Regina，Sask．
Regina，Sask．
Regina，Sask．
Weyburn，Sask．
1969
Athabaska，Alta．
Bow Island，Alta．
Burmis，Alta．
Calgary，Alta．
Calgary，Alta．
Edmonton，Alta．
Fort MacMurray，Alta．
Grande Prairie，Alta．
Jasper，Alta．
Lethbridge，Alta．
CATV
(Cablevision Medicine Hat Limited)
Televisíon (VHF)
(Oyen and District Television Association)
Television
(Sunwapta Broadcasting Limited)
CATV
(Community Video (Red Deer) Limited)
CATV
(Community Antenna Systems Limited)
Radio-AM
(Bentley Broadcasting Co. Ltd.)
Radio-AM
(CHQR, Calgary, Alta.)
Radio-FM
(CHFM-FM, Calgary, Alta.)
Radio-FM
(CKUA-FM, Edmonton, Alta.)
Television
(Alberta Broadcasting Corp. Ltd.)
Television
(Alberta Broadcasting Corp. Ltd.)
CATV
(Alberta Broadcasting Corp. Ltd.)
CATV
(D. Rae Sutherland)
CATV
(Brian Lewis Iverson)
(By
1969
Medicine Hat, Redcliffe, Alta.
Near Oyen, Alta.
Peace River, Alta.
Red Deer, Alta.
St-Paul, Alta.
1970
Calgary, Alta.
Calgary, Alta.
Calgary, Alta.
Edmonton, Alta.
Tain Island, Alta.
Wainwright, Alta.
Rotnbow Lake, High Level, Alta. Battle River, Paddle
Prairie, Basset Lake,
Boyer River, Alta.
Prairie, Basset Lake,

1969
BRITISH COLUMBIA

CATV
(South Okanagan Television Distributers Limited)
Television
(The West Quatsino Radio and Television Society)
Television
(Skeena Broadcasters Ltd.)
Radio-AM
(Company to be incorporated represented by John Skelly)
Television
(British Columbia Television Broadcasting System Ltd.)
CATV
(Kaslo Television Ltd.)
CATV
(Kenneth Harry Barlow)
CATV
(Black Knight Television Company Limited)
Radio-FM
(Okanagan FM Broadcasters Ltd.)
Radio-FM
(Okanagan FM Broadcasters Ltd.)
CATV
(Kootenay Enterprises Limited)
CATV
(Creston Cabled-video Ltd.)
Television
(Kitsault Community Club)
Radio
(City and County Radio Ltd.)
(Company to be incorporated represented by John Skelly)
Television
(CKPG Television Limited)
CATV
(National Cablevision Ltd.)
(Madion Limited)
(


$69-173$
$69-177$
$69-108$
$69-170$
$69-319$
$69-318$
$69-116$
$69-111$
$69-105$
$69-383$
69
$69-288$
$69-110$
$69-296$
$69-121$
69
BRITISH COLUMBIA

(Ucluelet Video Services Ltd.)

[^3]Revelstoke, B.C.
Riondel, B.C.
Robson, Castelgar, Kinnaird, B.C.

- Keg yeo '子Iemfnbsi 'yofuess
Saanich - Sydney, B.C
Salmo, B.C.
Seche1t, B.C
Shalalth - Seton - Portage, B. C B.C., Wabowden, Man.
Rolphton, Ont. Smithers, B.C
Sooke, B.C.
South Slocan, B.C.
Surrey, B.C.
Sydney, B.C.
Tofino, B.C.
Ucluelet - Port Albion, B.C.
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## 1 $\stackrel{1}{2}$

(licence renewals)
(1icence renewals)


Privately-owned AM Broadcasting Undertakings


$\begin{array}{lll}\substack{n \\ 1} & \text { n } & n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ & \end{array}$


YUKON TERRI TORY

1969
E1sa, Yukon Territory
$\underline{1970}$
Whitehorse, Y.T.

Television
(CBC)
CATV
(Northern Television Systems Ltd.)


$\begin{array}{ccccc}\text { CRTC } & \text { LOCATION AND } & \text { DATE OF } & & \text { APPLICATION } \\ \text { DECISION } & \text { DATE OF } & \text { PUBLIC } & \text { BROADCASTING }\end{array}$

| DECISION NUMBER | DATE OF HEARING | PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT | BROADCASTING OUTLET | LOCATION | 1. NAME OF APPLICANT <br> 2. NATURE OF APPLICATION | DECISION | REASONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69-99 | London, Ont. March 4,5,6, 1969. | July 3, 1969 | Radio - AM | New <br> Liskeard, <br> Ont. | 1. Kirkland Lake Broadcasting Limited, (licensee of stations GJT, New Liskeard, Ont. and CJKL, Kırkland Lake, Ont.). <br> 2. Request for authority to establish a local broadcasting studio at New Liskeard, Ont. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission, the proposed studio will contribute to the service of the station. |
| 69-100 | London, Cnt. March 4,5,6, 1969. | July 3, 1969 | Television (VHF) | Ear Falls, Ont. | 1. Canadian Broadcasting Corporation. <br> 2. Request to carry on a new TV broadcasting undertaking at Ear Falls, Ont. on Channel 13 with a power of 5 watts, EHAAT $223 \mathrm{ft}$. , directional antenna. | Approved | Proposed new TV broadcasting undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Approval subject to conditions to be specified in the license. <br> Conditional: upon the station being operated as a part of a network operated by the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation. |
| 69-101 | London, Ont. March 4,5,6, 1969. | July 3, 1969 | Low Power <br> Relay Transmitter <br> (LPRT) (new) |  | 1. Canadian Broadcasting Corporation. <br> 2. Request to carry on low power relay transmitter undertaking in the following cities: <br> Language Frequency Power | Approved | Proposed low power relay transmitters will satisfactorlly provide the national service of the CBC to their coverage areas. <br> Approval subject to conditions which will be specified in the licences. <br> Approval conditional: upon the stations being operated as part of a network operated by the Canadian Broadcasting |
|  |  |  |  | Arichat, N.S. <br> Pomquet, N.S. <br> Fort Vermilion, Alta. High Level, Alta. | French $610(\mathrm{KHz})$  40 <br> French 1340 " $40^{\prime \prime}$ <br>     <br> English 1460 " $40 "$ <br> Eng1ish 1230 $40 "$  |  | Corporation. |

application
APME APPLICANT

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CRTC } \\ & \text { DECISION } \\ & \text { NUMBER } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LOCATION AND } \\ & \text { DATE OF } \\ & \text { HEARING } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | DATE OF PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT | BROADCASTING outlet | LOCATION | Application <br> 1. NAME OF APPLICANT <br> 2. NATURE OF APPLICATION | DECISION | REASONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69-102 | London, Ont. <br> March 4,5,6, <br> 1969 | July 3, 1969 | Low Power <br> Relay <br> Transmitter <br> (amendment) | Tofino, B.C. | 1. Canadian Broadcasting Corporation. <br> 2. Request to amend its broadcasting licence for LPRT - CBXZ, Tofino, B.C. as follows: | Approved | The proposed change in site will provide a satisfactory service to listeners in the area. |
| 69.-103 | London, Ont. March 4,5,6, 1969 | July 3, 1969 | CATV* | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Penticton, } \\ & \text { B.C. } \end{aligned}$ | 1. South Okanagan <br> Television Distributers <br> Limited. <br> 2. Request to carry on a CATV undertaking to serve Penticton, adjacent areas of West Bench U.L.A. Development, Naramata, Okanagan Falls, Kaleden, and Summerland, B.C. | Approved | The broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted: 2-year period, subject to conditions to be specified in the licence. <br> *As a matter of policy, the Commission's approval of applications for licences to carry on CATV broadcasting undertakings is for a period of two years. Licensing conditions will be based on the Commission's CATV policy which was announced on May 13/69. |
| 69-104 | London, Ont. <br> March 4,5,6, <br> 1969 | July 3, 1969 | CATV | Hedley, B.C. | 1. South Okanagan <br> Television Distributors <br> Inited, <br> 2. Request to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Hedley, B.C. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission, the broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two years subject to the conditions to be specified in the licence. |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { CRTC } \\ \text { DECISION } \\ \text { NUMBER } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | LOCATION AND DATE OF HEARING | DATE OF PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT | BROADCAS TING OUTLET | LOCATION | APPLICATION <br> 1. NAME OF APPLICANT <br> 2. NATURE OF APPLICATION | DECISION | REASONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69-110 | London, Ont. March 4,5,6, 1969 | July 3, 1969 | CATV | Sooke, B.C. | 1. Langford Sooke Cablevision Limited. <br> 2. Request to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Sooke, B.C. |  | In the opinion of the Commission the broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two years subject to conditions to be specified in the licence. |
| 69-111 | London, Ont. March 4,5,6, 1969 | July 3, 1969 | CATV | Salmo, B.C. | 1. Salmo Cabled Programmes Limited. <br> 2. Request to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Salmo, B.C. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission the broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two years subject to conditions to be specified in the licence. |
| 69-112 | London, Ont. March 4,5,6, 1969 | July 3, 1969 | CATV | $\begin{aligned} & \text { G111ies } \\ & \text { Bay, B. C. } \end{aligned}$ | 1. Arnold M. Walker. <br> 2. Request to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Gillies Bay, B.C. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission the broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two years subject to conditions to be specified in the licence. |
| 69-113 | London, Ont. March 4,5,6, 1969 | July 3, 1969 | CATV | Britannia <br> Beach, B.C. | 1. Geoffrey Charles Pickard. <br> 2. Request to carry on a broadcasting receiving undertaking to serve Britannia Beach, B.C. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission the broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two years subject to conditions to be specified in the licence. |
| 69-114 | London, Ont. March 4,5,6, 1969 | July 3, 1969 | CATV | Youbou, B.C. | 1. Youbou Television Limited. <br> 2. Request to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Youbou, B.C. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission the broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two years subject to conditions to be specified in the licence. |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { CRTC } \\ \text { DECISION } \\ \text { NUMBER } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LOCATION AND } \\ & \text { DATE OF } \\ & \text { HEARING } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | DATE OF PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT | BROADCASTING OUTLET | LOCATION | APPLICATION <br> 1. NAME OF APPLICANT <br> 2. NATURE OF APPLICATION | DECISION | REASONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69-115 | London, Ont. <br> March 4,5,6, <br> 1969 | July 3, 1969 | CATV | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nanaimo, } \\ & \text { B.C. } \end{aligned}$ | 1. Community Video (Nanaimo) Limited. <br> 2. Request to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Nanaimo, B.C. and surrounding area. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission, the broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two years subject to the conditions to be specified in the licence. |
| 69-116 | London, Ont. <br> March 4,5,6, <br> 1969 | July 3, 1969 | CATV | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Saanich- } \\ & \text { Sydney, } \\ & \text { B.c. } \end{aligned}$ | 1. Saanich Cablevision Limited. <br> 2. Request to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Central, North Saanich, Sydney, B.C. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission, the broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two years subject to the conditions to be specified in the licence. |
| 69-117 | London, Ont. <br> March 4,5,6, <br> 1969 | July 3, 1969 | CATV | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cranb rook, } \\ & \text { B.C. } \end{aligned}$ | 1. Cranbrook Television Limited. <br> 2. Request to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve the city of Cranbrook. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission, the broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two years subject to the conditions to be specified in the licence. |
| 69-118 | London, Ont. <br> March 4,5,6, 1969 | July 3, 1969 | CATV | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Princeton, } \\ & \text { B.C. } \end{aligned}$ | 1. Princeton Television Limited. <br> 2. Request to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Princeton, B.C. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission, the broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two years subject to the conditions to be specified in the licence. |
| 69-119 | London, Ont. <br> March 4,5,6, <br> 1969 | July 3, 1969 | CATV | Franklin <br> River <br> Logging <br> Camp, B.C. | 1. Walter H.J. Green. <br> 2. To carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Franklin River Logging Camp, B.C. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission, the broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two years subject to the conditions to be specified in the licence. |


| $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LOCATION AND } \\ & \text { DATE OF } \\ & \text { HEARING } \end{aligned}$ | DATE OF PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT | BROADCASTING OUTLET | LOCATION | application <br> 1. NAME OF APPLICANT <br> 2. NATURE OF APPLICATION | DECISION | REASONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69-120 | London, cat. <br> March 4,5,6, <br> 1969 | July 3, 1969 | CATV | Greenwood, Anaconda, B.C. | 1. Greenwood Video Ltd. <br> 2. Request to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Greenwood and Anaconda, B.C. | Approved | In the opinion of the Cormission the broadcasting receiving umdertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two years subject to conditions to be specified in the licence. |
| 69-121 | London, Ont. <br> March 4,5,6, <br> 1969 | July 3, 1969 | CATV | Ucluelet Port Albion, B.C. | 1.Ucluelet Video Services Ltd. <br> 2. Request to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Ucuelet Port Albion and surrounding area. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission the broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two years subject to conditions to be specified in the licence. |
| 69-122 | London, Cnt. <br> March 4,5,6, <br> 1969 | July 3, 1969 | CATV | Kaslo, B.C. | 1. Kaslo Television Ltd. <br> 2. Request to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Kaslo, B.C. only. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission the broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two years subject to conditions to be specified in the 1icence. |
| 69-123 | London, Ont. <br> March 4,5,6, 1969 | July 3, 1969 | CATV | $\begin{aligned} & \text { K1mberley, } \\ & \text { B.C. } \end{aligned}$ | 1. Kootenay Enterprises Limited. <br> 2. Request to carry on a CATV undertaking to serve Kimberley, Chapman Camp, Marysville and Meadowbrook, B.C. and surrounding area. | App roved | In the opinion of the Commission the broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two years subject to conditions to be specified in the licence. |
| 69-124 | London, Ont. <br> March 4,5,6, <br> 1969 | July 3, 1969 | CATV | Athabaska, Alta. | 1. Superior Communication Systems Limited. <br> 2. Request to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Athabaska, Alta. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission the broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two years subject to conditions to be specified in the licence. |

69－125 | London，Ont． |
| :--- |
| March 4，5，6， |
|  |
| 1969 |

DATE OF ANNOUNCEMENT
69－125 London，Ont．July 3， 1969

69


1969

APPLICATION
1．NAME OF APPLICANT
2．NATURE OF APPLICATION DECISION
In the opinion of the Commission the
broadcasting receiving undertaking will
provide a satisfactory service to viewers
in the area．
Licence granted for two years subject to
conditions to be specified in the licence．
In the opinion of the Commission the
broadcasting receiving undertaking will
provide a satisfactory service to viewers
in the area．
Licence granted for two years subject to
conditions to be specified in the licence．
In the opinion of the Commission the
broadcasting receiving undertaking will
provide a satisfactory service to viewers
in the area．
Licence granted for two years subject to
conditions to be specified in the licence．

REASONS
In the opinion of the Commission the
broadcasting receiving undertaking will
provide a satisfactory service to viewers
in the area．
Licence granted for two years subject to
conditions to be specified in the licence．

BROADCASTING z


1．Community Video（Red Approved
Deer）Limited．
2．Request to carry on a
CATV broadcasting under－
taking to serve Red Deer，
Alta．

ta．

Red Deer，
Alta．
运

CATV
CATV
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { St．Paul，} & \text { 1．Communtity Antenna } \\ \text { Alta．} & \text { Systems Limited．} \\ & \text { 2．Request to carry on a } \\ & \text { CATV broadcasting under－} \\ & \text { taking to serve St．Paul，} \\ & \text { Alta．}\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { St．Paul，} & \begin{array}{l}\text { 1．Comnunity Antenna } \\ \text { Alta．}\end{array} \\ \\ & \text { 2．Request to carry on a } \\ & \text { CATV broadcasting under－} \\ & \text { taking to serve St．Paul，} \\ & \text { Alta．}\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { St．Paul，} & \begin{array}{l}\text { 1．Comnunity Antenna } \\ \text { Alta．}\end{array} \\ \\ & \text { 2．Request to carry on a } \\ & \text { CATV broadcasting under－} \\ & \text { taking to serve St．Paul，} \\ & \text { Alta．}\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { St．Paul，} & \begin{array}{l}\text { 1．Comnunity Antenna } \\ \text { Alta．}\end{array} \\ \\ & \text { 2．Request to carry on a } \\ & \text { CATV broadcasting under－} \\ & \text { taking to serve St．Paul，} \\ & \text { Alta．}\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { St．Pau1，} & \text { 1．Comnunity Antenna } \\ \text { Alta．} & \text { Systems Limited．} \\ & \text { 2．Request to carry on a } \\ & \text { CATV broadcasting under－} \\ & \text { taking to serve St．Paul，} \\ & \text { Alta．}\end{array}$
Approved
Approved
1．Community TV Ltd．
2．Request to carry on a CATV broadcasting under－ taking to serve Prince－

Albert，Sask．
Approved

－әวuәวft ayz uf pəfffoəds aq of suofatpuos




Licence granted for two years subject to conditions to be specified in the licence．

Approved

1．Fergus，Elora Cable
2．Application for a
licence to carry on
a CATV broadcasting
undertaking to serve
Fergus，Elora and
Salem，Ont． Fergus－
范。
TH11son－
burg，Ont．
Approved

 in the area．

Licence granted for two years subject to conditions to be specified in the licence．

[^4]catv
CATV
CATV
July 3， 1969
69－126 London，Ont．
9
July 3， 1969
July 3， 1969
July 3， 1969
（2）
69－127 London，Ont．
69－128 London，Ont．
69－129 London，Ont．

| $\begin{gathered} \text { CRTC } \\ \text { DECISION } \\ \text { NUMBER } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LOCATIOH AND } \\ & \text { DATE OF } \\ & \text { HEARIIIG } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | DATE OF PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT | BROADCASTING OUTLET | LOCATION | APPLICATION <br> 1. NAME OF APPLICANT <br> 2. NATURE OF APPLICATION | DECISION | REASONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69-130 | London, Ont. <br> March 4,5,6, <br> 1969 | July 3, 1969 | CATV | Grand'Mêre Que. | 1. Jules Matteau Television Inc. <br> 2. Request for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Grand'Mère and Ste-Flore, Que. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission, the broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two years subject to the conditions to be specified in the licence. |
| 69-131 | London, Ont. <br> March 4,5,6, <br> 1969 | July 3, 1969 | CATV | Asbestos, Que. | 1. Cablovision Inc. <br> 2. Request for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Asbestos, Que. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission, the broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two years subject to the conditions to be specified in the licence. |


| 69-132 | London, Ont. <br> March 4,5,6, <br> 1969 | July 3, 1969 | CATV | Richmond, Que. | 1. TV Cable (Richmond) <br> Inc. <br> 2. Request for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Richmond and Melbourne, Que. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission, the broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two years subject to the conditions to be specified in the licence. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69-133 | London, Ont. <br> March 4,5,6, <br> 1969 | July 3, 1969 | CATV | Robertsonville, Que. | 1. Réal St-Laurent. <br> 2. Request for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Robertsonville, Que. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission, the broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two years subject to the conditions to be specified in the licence. |
| 69-134 | London, Ont. <br> March 4,5,6, 1969 | July 3, 1969 | CATV | Rivière-àPlerre, Que. | 1. Edouard Benoit. <br> 2. Request for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Rdvière-à-Pierre, Portneuf County, Que. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission, the broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two years subject to the conditions to be specified in the licence. |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { CRTC } \\ \text { DECISION } \\ \text { NUMBER } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LOCATION AND } \\ & \text { DATE OF } \\ & \text { HEARING } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | DATE OF PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT | BROADCASTING OUTLET | LOCATION | APPLICATION <br> 1. NAME OF APPLICANT <br> 2. NATURE OF APPLICATION | DECISION | REASONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69-135 | London, Ont. <br> March 4,5,6, <br> 1969 | July 3, 1969 | CATV | Ste-Marie, Que. | 1. Léo-Paul Côté. <br> 2. Request for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Ste-Marie, Beauce County, Que. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission, the broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two years subject to the conditions to be specified in the licence. |
| 69-136 | London, Ont. <br> March 4,5,6, 1969 | July 3, 1969 | CATV | Valley Junction, Que. | 1. Léo-Paul Côté. <br> 2. Request for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Valley Junction, Beauce County, Que. | Approved | In the opinion of the Conmission, the broadcasting recetving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two years subject to the conditions to be specified in the licence. |
| 69-137 | London, Ont. <br> March 4,5,6, 1969 | July 3, 1969 | CATV | Sorel, Que. | 1. Sorel-0-Vision Inc. <br> 2. Request for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Sorel and Tracey, Que. | App roved | In the opinion of the Commission, the broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two years subject to the conditions to be specified in the licence. |
| 69-138 | London, Ont. March 4,5,6, 1969 | July 3, 1969 | CATV | Coaticook, Que. | 1. Transvision (Coaticook) Inc. <br> 2. Request for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Coaticook, Quebec, area. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission, the broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two years subject to the conditions to be specified in the licence. |
| 69-139 | London, Ont. March 4,5,6, 1969 | July 3, 1969 | CATV | St-Gédéon, Que. | 1. Beauce Video Limitée. <br> 2. Request for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve St-Gédéon, Beauce County, Quebec. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission, the broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two years subject to the conditions to be specified in the licence. |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { CRTC } \\ \text { DECISION } \\ \text { NUMBER } \end{gathered}$ | LOCATION AND date of HEARING | DATE OF PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT | OUTLET <br> BROADCASTING | LOCATION | APPLICATION <br> 1. NAME OF APPLICANT <br> 2. NATURE OF APPLICATION | DECISION | REASONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69-140 | London, Ont. <br> March 4,5,6, <br> 1969 | July 3, 1969 | CATV | Club TV de Matagami. | 1. Club TV de Matagami. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Matagami, Que. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission, the broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two years subject to the conditions to be specified in the licence. |
| 69-141 | London, Cnt. March 4,5,6, 1969 | July 3, 1969 | CATV | Princeville, Que. | 1. Normand Mercier. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Princeville, Arthabaska County, Que. | App roved | In the opinion of the Commission, the broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two years subject to the conditions to be specified in the licence. |
| 69-142 | London, Ont. <br> March 4,5,6, <br> 1969 | July 3, 1969 | CATV | MontTremblant, Que. | 1. Denis Filion. <br> 2. Application for a lifence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve MontTremblant, Terrebonne County, Que. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission, the broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two years subject to the conditions to be specified in the licence. |
| 69-143 | London, Ont. <br> March 4,5,6, <br> 1969 | July 3, 1969 | Radia (Ownership and Control) | Chilliwack Abbotsford, B.C. | 1. Fraser Valley Broadcasters Ltd. licensee of stations CHWK, Chilliwack, and CFVR, Abbotsford, B.C. <br> 2. Application for permission to transfer 227 common shares of capital stock from present shareholders to Dennis C. Barkman and a subsequent transfer of 105 common shares from Dennis C. Barkman to five new shareholders. | Approved | The Commission is satisfied the new owners will maintain the service being given to the listeners by the stations. |

DATE OF

1. NAME OF APPLICANT
2. NATURE OF APPLICAT

| $\begin{gathered} \text { CRTC } \\ \text { DECISION } \\ \text { NUMBER } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LOCATION AND } \\ & \text { DATE OF } \\ & \text { HEARING } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | DATE OF PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT | BROADCASTING OUTLET | LOCATION | APPLICATION <br> 1. NAME OF APPLICANT <br> 2. NATURE OF APPLICATION DECISION | REASONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69-144 | London, Ont. <br> March 4,5,6, <br> 1969 | July 3, 1969 | Radio-AM | Wingham, Ont. | 1. Radio Station CKNX Ltd. Approved licensee of CKNX and CKNX-TV, Wingham, Ont. <br> 2. For permission to transfer 12,600 preferred shares of capital stock in Wingham Investments Ltd., (a shareholder in Radio Station CKNX Ltd.) from W.T. Cruickshank to G.W. Cruickshank. | The Commission is satisfled the new owners will maintain the service being given to listeners by the stations. <br> Condition: It is a condition of each licence that the licensee company shall comply on or before Sept. 1, 1970, with the direction of His Excellency, the Governor-General-inCouncil regarding ownership and control. (SOR/69-140). |
| 69-145 | London, Ont. <br> March 4,5,6, <br> 1969 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { April 17, } \\ & 1969 \end{aligned}$ | CATV and TV <br> (Famous <br> Players) | Canada - wide. | 1.a) Télévision de Quêbec Denied (Canada) Ltée <br> b) Central Ontario <br> c) Television Limited British Columbia Television Broadcasting System Ltd. <br> d) London TV Cable Service Limited <br> e) Cab1e TV Limited <br> f) Lakehead Videon Ltd. <br> g) Metro Videon Ltd. <br> h) Jarmain Cable TV Ltd. <br> 1) Oshawa Cable TV Ltd. <br> f) Chatham Cable TV Ltd. <br> k) Cornwall Cable Vision 1961 Ltd. <br> 1) Metro Cable TV Ltd. <br> m) Hamilton Co-axial (1958) Limited <br> n) Grand River Cable TV Ltd. <br> o) Barrie Cable TV Ltd. <br> p) Orillia Cable TV Ltd. <br> q) Co-ax Television (1962) Limited <br> r) Cablevision Lethbridge Limited <br> s) Cablevision Medicine Hat Limited <br> t) Powe11 River Television Company Ltd. | Dec. 31, 1968: The Commission issued the following statement concerning the abovementioned proposal: <br> "...The Comission...will study the implications of the proposed corporate and financial structure from the social, cultural, economic and legal points of view, specifically in the light of the purposes of the Broadcasting Act and the directive from the Government to the Commission dated Sept. 20, 1968." <br> Final Decision: <br> The Commission is of the opinion that under this proposal the effective ownership by Famous Players Canadian Corporation Ltd. of the individual broadcasting companies included in TELTRON (Famous Communications) would remain essentially the same as it is at the moment, namely approx. $55 \%$ of the total equity of TELTRON and the wholly-owned subsidiaries. <br> Moreover: <br> The owners of TELTRON failed to demonstrate satisfactorily to the Commission policies which would contribute significantly - through such a large and important segment of the Canadian broadcasting system - "to safeguard, enrich and strengthen the cultural, political, social and economic fabric of Canada." |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2. Application for permission to transfer shares in all aforementioned companies to Teltron Communications Limited. | The Commission also urged the companies included in this proposal to "rearrange their holdings in order to conform with Order-1n-Council 1969-630 before the 1st of September 1970 . |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CRTC } \\ & \text { DECISION } \\ & \text { NUMBER } \end{aligned}$ | LOCATION AND DATE OF HEARII'G | DATE OF PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT | $\begin{gathered} \text { BROADCASTING } \\ \text { OUTLET } \end{gathered}$ | LOCATION | APPLICATION <br> 1. NAME OF APPLICANT <br> 2. NATURE OF APPLICATION | DECISION | REASONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69-146 | Ottawa, Ont. Apri1 15, 16, 1969 | July 3, 1969 | TV | Bow <br> Is land, Alta. | 1. Monarch Broadcasting Co. Ltd. <br> 2. Application to carry on a new TV broadcasting undertaking at Bow Island, Alta., <br> - to receive programs by "off-the-air" pickup from station CHAT-TV, Medicine Hat, Alta. and <br> - to retransmit those programs on Channel 10 plus, with a transmitter power of 5 watts, directional antenna. | Approved | Proposed new TV broadcasting undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Approval subject to conditions to be specified in the licence. <br> Approval conditional on station being operated as part of a network operated by the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation. |
| 69-147 | Ottawa, Ont. April 15, 16, 1969 | July 3, 1969 | TV | Maple <br> Creek, <br> Sask. | 1. Monarch Broadcasting Company Limited. <br> 2. For a licence to carry on a new TV broadcasting undertaking at Maple Creek, Sask., to recelve programs by "off-the-air" pickup from station CHAT-TV-I, Pivot, Alta. <br> and <br> to retransmit those programs on Channel 10 plus, with a transmitter power of 5 watts, directional antenna. | Approved | Proposed new TV broadcasting undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Approval subject to conditions to be specified in the licence. <br> Approval conditional on station being operated as part of a network operated by the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation. |
| 69-148 | Ottawa, Ont. April 15, 16, 1969 | July 3, 1969 | TV | Irishtown, Nf1d. | 1. Canadian Broadcasting Corporation. <br> 2. An application for a licence to carry on a new TV broadcasting undertaking at Irishtown, Nfld., to receive programs by "off-the-air" pickup from station CBYT, Corner Brook, Nf1d. and <br> to retransmit those programs on Channel 7 plus, with a transmitter power | Approved | Proposed new TV broadcasting undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Approval subject to conditions to be specified in the licence. <br> Approval conditional on station being operated as part of a network operated by the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation. |

APPLICATION

The proposed change of site will provide the area. provide a sat
in the area.
The Commission grants a licence for a
two-year period subject to the conditions two-year period subject to the conditions
which will be specified in the licence.
In the opinion of the Commission, the provide a satisfactory service to viewers
Approved

1. Cablevue (Belleville)
Limited.
2. For a licence to carry
on a CATV broadcasting un-
dertaking to serve Belle-
ville and Trenton, Ont.
and surrounding area.
CATV

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\Sigma}
\end{aligned}
$$


LOCATION
Deep
River,
$\stackrel{ே}{\Xi}$
July 3, 1969 Low Power
Relay
Transmi
2. Application by the CBC to amend its broadcasting licence for LPRT, CBLI,
Deep RIver, Ont. as
follows:

## Present - Proposed

$\begin{array}{cc}540 \mathrm{KHz} & 540 \mathrm{KHz} \\ 40 \text { watts } & 40 \text { watts }\end{array}$
at a new
antenna
site.
Cablevue (Belleville) Policy: As a
matter of
July 3, 1969
69-152 Ottawa, Ont.
g

| I <br> DECISION NUMBER | LOCATION AND DATE OF HEARING | DATE OF PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT | BROADCASTING OUTLET | LOCATION | APPLICATION <br> 1. NAME OF APPLICANT <br> 2. NATURE OF APPLICATION | DECISION | REASONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69-153 | Ottawa, Ont. April 15, 16, 1969 | July 3, 1969 | CATV | Lindsay, Ont. | 1. Lindsay CATV System Limited. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Lindsay, Ont. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission, the broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two years subject to conditions to be specified in the licence. |
| 69-154 | Ottawa, Ont. April 15, 16, 1969 | July 3, 1969 | CATV | Barrie, Ont. | 1. Barrie Cable TV Ifmited. <br> 2. Application to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Barrie, Ont. and the surrounding area. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission, the broadcasting. receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two years subject to conditions to be specified in the licence. |
| 69-155 | Ottawa, Ont. April 15, 16, 1969 | July 3, 1969 | CATV | Huntsville, Ont. | 1. Maclean-Hunter Cable TV Limited. <br> 2. Application to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Huntsville, Ont, and part of the adjacent municipalities. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission, the broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two years subject to conditions to be specified in the 1icence. |
| 69-156 | Ottawa, Ont. April 15, 16, 1969 | July 3, 1969 | CATV | Peterborough, Ont. | 1. Peterborough Cable Television Limited. <br> 2. Application to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve the city of Peterborough, Ont. and a portion of the surrounding area. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission, the broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two years subject to conditions to be specified in the licence. |
| 69-157 | Ottawa, Ont. April 15, 16, 1969 | July 3, 1969 | CATV | Cornwall, Ont. | 1. Cornwall Cablevision 1961 Limited. <br> 2. Application to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Cornwall, Ont. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission, the broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two years subject to conditions to be specified in the licence. |

APPLICATION

1. NAME OF APPLICANT
2. NATURE OF APPLICATION DECISION

| DECISION NUMBER | DATE OF HEARING | PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT | BROADCASTING OUTLET | LOCATION | 1. NAME OF APPLICANT <br> 2. NATURE OF APPLICATION | DECISION | REASONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69-163 | Ottawa, Ont. April 15,16, 1969 | July 3, 1969 | CATV | Warwick, Que. | 1. Marcel Beaudet. <br> 2. Application for a lifence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Warwick, Que. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission, the broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two years subject to conditions to be specified in the licence. |
| 69-164 | Ottawa, Ont. April 15,16, 1969 | July 3, 1969 | CATV | Plessisville, Que. | 1. Claire-vue Inc. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Plessisville, Que. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commssion, the broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two years subject to conditions to be specified in the licence. |
| 69-165 | Ottawa, Ont. April 15,16, 1969 | July 3, 1969 | CATV | Ste. Thecle, Que. | 1. Reynald St-Amand. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Ste. Thecle, Que. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission, the broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two years subject to conditions to be specified in the licence. |
| 69-166 | Ottawa, Ont. April 15, 16 , 1969 | July 3, 1969 | CATV | Victoriaville Art habaska, Que. | 1. Transvision (Eastern Townships) Limited, Transvision (Cantons de 1'Est) Limitée. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Victoriaville - Athabaska, Que. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission, the broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two years subject to conditions to be specified in the licence. |
| 69-167 | Ottawa, Ont. April 15, 16, 1969 | July 3, 1969 | CATV | St-Donat, <br> Que. | 1. Richard Coutu. <br> 2. Application for a licence by Richard Coutu to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve St-Donat, Que. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission, the broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two years subject to conditions to be specified in the licence. |

In the opinion of the Commession, the provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area.
Licence granted for two years subject to conditions to be specified in the 11cence. In the opinion of the Commission, the siamajn ol aspuas provide a satisfactory service to viewers
in the area.
Licence granted for two years subject to conditions to be specified in the licence.
In the opinion of the Commission, the broadcasting receiving undertaking will
provide a satisfactory service to viewers provide a satisfactory service to viewers
in the area.
Licence granted for two years subject to conditions to be specified in the licence.
 provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area.
Licence granted for two years subject to
conditions to be specified in the licence.

| CRTC DECISION NUMBER | LOCATION AND date of HEARING | date of PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT | BROADCASTING OUTLET | LOCATION | APPLICATLON <br> 1. NAME OF APPLICANT <br> 2. NATURE OF APPLICATION | DECISION | REASONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69-168 | Ottawa, Ont. <br> April 15, 16, 1969 | July 3, 1969 | CATV | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Kelsey Bay, } \\ & \text { B.C. } \end{aligned}$ | 1. Kenneth Harry Barlow. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Kelsey Bay and Sayward, B. C. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission, the broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two years subject to conditions to be specified in the licence. |
| 69-169 | Ottawa, Ont. April 15, 16, 1969 | July 3, 1969 | CATV | Montrose - <br> Fruitvale, <br> B.C. | 1. Community Video (Montrose - Fruitvale) Ltd. <br> 2. Application to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Montrose and Fruitvale, B.C. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission, the broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two years subject to conditions to be specified in the licence. |
| 69-170 | Ottawa, Ont. April 15, 16, 1969 | July 3, 1969 | CATV | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rossland - } \\ & \text { Trail, B. C. } \end{aligned}$ | 1. Community Video Limited. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Ross1and -Trail, B.C. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission, the broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two years subject to conditions to be specified in the licence. |
| 69-171 | Ottawa, Ont. <br> April 15, 16, <br> 1969 | July 3, 1969 | catv | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Kelowna, } \\ & \text { B.C. } \end{aligned}$ | 1. B1ack Knight Television Company Limited. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Kelowna, B.C. and surrounding area. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission, the broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two years subject to conditions to be specified in the licence. |
| 69-172 | Ottawa, Ont. Apri1 15, 16, 1969 | July 3, 1969 | CATV | Berison Lake, B.C. | 1. Benson Lake Recreation Club. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Benson Lake, B.C. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission, the broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two years subject to conditions to be specified in the licence. |

APPLICATION

1. NAME OF APPLICANT
2. NATURE OF APPLICATION DECISION

Approved | In the opinion of the Commission, the |
| :--- |
| broadcasting receiving undertaking will |
| provide a satisfactory service to viewers |
| in the area. |

| Licence granted for two years subject to |
| :--- |
| conditions to be specified in the licence. |

Approved $\quad$| In the opinion of the Commission, the |
| :--- |
| broadcasting receiving undertaking will |
| provide a satisfactory service to viewers |
| in the area. |

| Licence granted for two years subject to |
| :--- |
| conditions to be specified in the licence. |

In the opinion of the Commission, the
broadcasting receiving undertaking will
provide a satisfactory service to viewers
in the area.
Licence granted for two years subject to
conditions to be specified in the licence.
Approved $\quad \begin{aligned} & \text { In the opinion of the Commission, the } \\ & \text { broadcasting receiving undertaking will } \\ & \text { provide a satisfactory service to viewers } \\ & \text { in the area. }\end{aligned}$
Licence granted for two years subject to
conditions to be specified in the licence.

1. Fernie Television
Limited.
2. Application for a
licence to carry on a
CATV broadcasting un-
Natal, Michel, Spar-
wood and Elk Valley,
B.C.
3. Fernie Television
Limited.
4. Application for a
1icence to carry on a
CATv broadcasting un-
dertaking to serve
Fernie, B.C.
BROADCASTING LOCATION
DATE OF PUBLIC BROADCASTING
5. Central TV System
Limited.
6. Application for a
licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Revelstoke, B.C. and

| g |
| :---: |
| g |
| n |
|  |

1. Golden Television
Limited.
2. Application for a
licence to carry on a
CATV broadcasting unGolden, B.C. and dis-
trict.
Golden,

Fernie,
B.C.
CATV
July 3, 1969
July 3, 1969
July 3, 1969

| $\begin{gathered} \text { CRTC } \\ \text { DECISION } \\ \text { NUMBER } \end{gathered}$ | LOCATION AND DATE OF HEARINC |
| :---: | :---: |
| 69-173 | Ottawa, cnt. April 15, 16, 1969 |

69-174 $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ottawa, Ont. } \\ & \text { Apri1 15, 16, } \\ & 1969\end{aligned}$
69-175 Ottawa, Ont.
July 3, 1969

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
69-176 & \begin{array}{l}
\text { Ottawa, Ont. } \\
\text { Apri1 15, 16, } \\
1969
\end{array}
\end{array}
$$

CATV

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CRTC } \\ & \text { DECISION } \\ & \text { NUMBER } \end{aligned}$ | LOCATION AND DATE OF HEARING | DATE OF PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT | BROADCASTING OUTLET | LOCATION | APPLICATION <br> 1. NAME OF APPLICANT <br> 2. NATURE OF APPLICATION | DECISION | REASONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69-177 | Ottawa, Ont. <br> April 15, 16, 1969 | July 3, 1969 | CATV | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Riondel, } \\ & \text { B.C. } \end{aligned}$ | 1. Creston CabledVideo Ltd. <br> 2. Application to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Riondel, B.C. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission, the broadcasting recefving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two years subject to conditions to be specified in the licence. |
| 69-178 | Ottawa, Ont. April 15, 16, 1969 | July 3, 1969 | CATV | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Kingsgate, } \\ & \text { B.C. } \end{aligned}$ | 1. Creston CabledVideo Ltd. <br> 2. Application to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Kingsgate, B.C. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission, the broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers In the area. <br> Licence granted for two years subject to conditions to be specified in the licence. |
| 69-179 | Ottawa, Ont. <br> April 15, 16, 1969 | July 3, 1969 | CATV | Yahk, B.C. | 1. Creston CabledVideo Ltd. <br> 2.Application to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Yahk, B.C. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission, the broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two years subject to conditions to be specified in the licence. |
| 69-180 | Ottawa, Ont. April 15, 16, 1969 | July 3, 19.69 | Shortwave Application | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sackville, } \\ & \text { N.B. } \end{aligned}$ | 1. Canadian Broadcasting Corporation. <br> 2. Application to amend its broadcasting licence for its shortwave broadcasting transmitters at Sackville, N.B. to include authority to operate with a power of 250,000 watts on the assigned frequencies. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission, the broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two years subject to conditions to be specified in the licence. |

APPLICATION

1. NAME OF APPLICANT
2. NATURE OF APPLICATION DECISION

Approved

Hamilton, 1. Wentworth Radio
Broadcasting Company

2. Application by above
station CKOC, Hamilton,
Ont. for permission to
$\frac{\text { transfer } 5,000 \text { common }}{\text { shares of capital stock }}$
from present shareholders, except Phoenix Management Limited, to Phoenix Mana-
gement Ltd.
ont.

Radio
BROADCASTING DATE OF
PUBLIC PUBOUNCEMENT

July 3, 1969
$69-181$

Ottawa, Ont.
April 15,16,

CRTC
DECISION

| DECISION |
| :--- |
| NUMBER |

Approved The Commission is satisfied that the
 to listeners by the station.

1. Niagara Television
 milton, Ont.
2. Application for permission to transfer 3,750
common shares of capital $\frac{\text { common shares of capital }}{\text { stock from Wentworth Radio }}$ Broadcasting Company Limi-
ted to Phoenix Management Limited and a subsequent
transfer of 2,234 common transfer from Phoenix Ltd. to Selkirk Holdings Limi-
ted and 1,516 common
from Phoenix Management
Ltd. to Southam Press Ltd.
shares of capital stock
Ltd. to Southam Press
3. CHIC Radio Limited licensee of stations
CHIC and CHIC-FM, CHIC and CHIC-FM,
Brampton, Ont.
4. Application for permi-
ssion to transfer the
beneficial ownership of
the four issued common shares of capital stock
( $100 \%$ of voting shares) in Hemisphere Investments

Limited (the majority

Approved The Commission is satisfied that the licensee under the proposed being given will maintain the servion.

POLICY: It is a condition of each licence that the licensee company shall comply on or before Sept. 1, 1970 with the direction
 (SOR/69-140).
-••p, 7400
' UO 7 Ifueh
号
Radio Brampton,
Ont.

| द1 |
| :--- |
| 0 |
| -1 |
| 9 |
| $\vdots$ |
| 0 |
| -1 |
| -1 |

July 3, 1969



July 3, 1969

| CRTC DECISION NUMBER | LOCATION AND DATE OF HEARING | DATE OF PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT | BROADCASTING OUTLET | LOCATION | APPLICATION <br> 1. NAME OF APPLICANT <br> 2. NATURE OF APPLICATION | DECISION | REASONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69-181 | Ottawa, Ont. April 15, 16, 1969 | July 3, 1969 | Radio | Hamilton, Ont. | 1. Wentworth Radio <br> Broadcasting Company <br> Limited. <br> 2. Application by above company licensee of station CKOC, Hamilton, Ont. for permission to transfer 5,000 common shares of capital stock from present shareholders, except Phoenix Management Limited, to Phoenix Management Ltd. | Approved | The Commission is satisfied that the licensee under the proposed ownership will maintain the service being given to listeners by the station. |
| 69-182 | Ottawa, Ont. April 15, 16, 1969 | July 3, 1969 | Television | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Hamilton, } \\ & \text { Ont. } \end{aligned}$ | 1. Niagara Television Limited licensee of Station CHCH-TV, $\mathrm{Ha}-$ milton, Ont. <br> 2. Application for permission to transfer 3,750 common shares of capital stock from Wentworth Radio Broadcasting Company Limited to Phoenix Management Limited and a subsequent transfer of 2,234 common shares from Phoenix Ltd. to Selkirk Holdings Limited and 1,516 common shares of capital stock from Phoenix Management Itd. to Southam Press Ltd. | Approved | The Commission is satisfied that the licensee under the proposed ownership will maintain the service being given to listeners by the station. |
| 69-183 | Ottawa, Ont. April 15, 16, 1969 | July 3, 1969 | Radio | Brampton, Ont. | 1. CHIC Radio Limited licensee of stations CHIC and $\mathrm{CHIC}-\mathrm{FM}$, Brampton, Ont. <br> 2. Application for permission to transfer the beneficial ownership of the four issued common shares of capital stock ( $100 \%$ of voting shares) in Hemisphere Investments Limited (the majority | Approved | The Commission is satisfied that the licensee under the proposed ownership will maintain the service being given listeners by the station. <br> POLICY: It is a condition of each licence that the licensee company shall comply on or before Sept. 1, 1970 with the direction of His Excellency, the Governor-General-in-Council, regarding ownership and control. (SOR/69-140). |

APPLICATION
APPLICATION

1. NATURE OF APPLICATION DECISION
REASONS

| 69-183 | cont'd... |  |  |  | shareholder in CHIC Radio Ltd.) from Mr. Leslie A. Allen to his brother Mr. Harry J. Allen, Jr. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69-184 | Ottawa, Ont. April 15, 16, 1969 | May 14, 1969 | Television | Moncton, N.B. <br> (St.John) | 1. Moncton Broadcasting Limited. <br> 2. Application for $a$ licence to carry on a new English-language TV broadcasting undertaking at St.John, N.B. on Channel 94, with an effective radiated power of 160,000 watts (video), 32,000 watts (audio), directional antenna. The proposed station will rebroadcast the programs of station CKCW-TV, Moncton, N. B. via microwave. | In the opinion of the Commission, the proposed new TV broadcasting undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to listeners in the area. <br> This approval is conditional upon the station carrying the full program schedule of CKCW-TV Moncton which will be affiliated with the CTV television network. <br> Approval also conditional upon specifications of the licence. |
| 69-185 | Ottawa, Ont. April 15,16, 1969 | May 14, 1969 | Television | Moncton, N. B . | 1. New Brunswick Broadcasting Co. Ltd. <br> 2. Application for a Ifcence to carry on a new English-language TV broadcasting undertaking at Moncton, N.B., on Channel 7. <br> Effective radiated power: <br> 182,000 watts (video) <br> 36,400 watts (audio). <br> Antenna: directional. <br> Proposed station to be affiliated with the CBC will receive its programs in their entirety from station CHSJ-TV, St. John, N.B. via microwave. | In the opinion of the Commission the proposed new TV broadcasting undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to listeners in the area. <br> Approval conditional upon the station carrying the full program schedule of CHSJ-TV, Saint John, which will be affiliated with the CBC television network, and is subject also to the condition that no person with an ownershfp interest in New Brunswick Broadcasting Co. Ltd. may have direct or indirect ownership or control of any shares of capital stock in Moncton Broadcasting Ltd. <br> Approval will require $C B C$ to change the channel of its rebroadcasting station at New Glas gow, N.S. before Sept. 1, 1969. <br> Approval also subject to other conditions which will be spectfied in the licence. |

$$
\text { July 4, } 1969
$$

APPLICATION

1. NAME OF APPLICANT
2. NATURE OF APPLICATION DECISION

In the opinion of the Commission, the CATV In the opinion of
factory service to viewers in the area.
Conditions specified in licence. Licence granted for two years.

The Boundaries:
From a point north of the intersection of Nash Road and Van Wagners Beach Road near Lake Ontario, southerly on Nash Road (east
side) to King Street, easterly along King side) to King Street, easterly along King
Street (north side) to No. 20 Highway, southerly along No. 20 Highway (both sides) to the intersection of Green Mountain Road,
easterly along Green Mountain Road to the

along Ridge Road to Fifth Road East, then
northerly by direct line to Fruitland Road, and northerly along Fruitland Road to Lake Ontario.

Approved

Stoney Creek, $1 . \frac{\text { Niagara Co-Axial }}{\text { Ont. }} \begin{aligned} & \text { Limited. }\end{aligned}$
2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting under-
taking to serve Stoney Creek, and part of $\mathrm{Ha}-$ milton, Ont.

LOCATION milton,

BROADCASTING
BROADCASTING
OUTLET
CATV
CATV

July 4, 1969
Approved

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\begin{array}{l}
\text { Hamilton, } \\
\text { Ont. }
\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}
\text { 1. Hamilton Co-Axial } \\
58) \text { Limited. }
\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { 2. Application to carry on } \\
\text { a CATV undertaking to } \\
\text { serve Hamilton, Ancaster, } \\
\\
\\
\\
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { and Dundas, Ont. }
\end{array}
\end{array}
$$

In the opinion of the Commission the CATV broadcasting undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in Conditions specified in the licence.
Licence granted for two years.

## Boundaries:

(with exception of area licensed to General
Co-Axial Services Limited).
From a point north of the intersection of Nash Road and Van Wagners Beach Road near
Lake Ontario, southerly along Nash Road (west Lake Ontario, southerly along Nash Road (west
side) to King Street, then westerly along side) to King Street, then westerly along
King Street (north side), then along Red Hill Creek (west side) to Greenhill Avenue follows the escarpment (north side) to Upper

 on Fennell Avenue (north side) to Upper
Wellington Street, northerly along Upper Wellington St. (east side), to Jolly Cut,

69-186 Ottawa, Ont.

## REASONS

 then northerly on
岁 ANNOUNCEMENT
then northerly on John Street (east side) to Strachan Street (south side), easterly on
Strachan Street to Wellington (east side) then northerly on Wellington to the harbour. In addition, the licence authorizes Hamilton Co-axial (1958) Limited to serve Wentworth
Arms Hote1, the Hamilton Club, the Janus Arms Hote1, the Hamilton Club, the Janus Apartments and a school on Greenhill Avenue.

> In the opinion of the Commission the CATV broadcasting undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. Conditions specified in licence. Granted for two-year period.

## Boundaries:




[^5]$\cdots$. ${ }^{2}$, 7uos

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CRTC } \\ & \text { DECISION } \\ & \text { NUMBER } \end{aligned}$ | LOCATION AND DATE OF HEARING | $\begin{gathered} \text { DATE OF } \\ \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { ANNOUNCEMENT } \end{gathered}$ | BROADCASTING OUTLET | LOCATION | APPLICATION <br> 1. NAME OF APPLICANT <br> 2. NATURE OF APPLICATION | DECISION | REASONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69-189 | cont'd... |  |  |  |  |  | Avenue to Red Hill Creek, (east side) along Red Hill Creek to King Street, along King Street to Highway 20 (Webster Road), southerly on Webster Road (west side) to the Hamilton City limits southwesterly along the Hamilton city limits, (north side) to Mud Street (north side), southwesterly on Mud Street to Mountain Brow Blvd. (east side), then northerly to Limeridge Road, then westerly on Limeridge Road (north side), by direct line to Upper Horning Road, northwesterly along Upper Horning Road (east side to the escarpment). |
| 69-190 |  | July 4, 1969 | CATV | Hamilton, Ont. | 1. Northgate Cable TV Limited. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Hamilton, Ont., parts of Dundas area, and Pleasant View Survey, Ont. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission the proposed CATV broadcasting undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. Conditions specified in licence. Granted for two-year period. <br> Boundaries: <br> From the Harbour at Wellington Street (west side) southerly to Strachan Street, westerly on Strachan Street (north side) to John Street, southerly on John Street (west side) to the intersection of John Street and King Street, westerly on King Street (north side) to Highway 403, northerly on Highway 403 to the intersection of Highways 403 and 6 (east side) and southeasterly to Lake Ontario. |
| 69-191 |  | July 4, 1969 | CATV | Hamilton, Ont. | 1. South Aberdeen Cable TV Limited. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Hamilton, Ont. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission the proposed CATV undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. Conditions specified in licence. Two-year licence. <br> Boundaries: <br> From the foot of the escarpment near the intersection of the western limits (Upper Horning Road) of the city of Hamilton and Scenic Drive along the escarpment (north side) to John Street along John Street (west side) to King Street westerly along King Street (south side) to Highway 403, southwesterly along Highway 403 (east side) to a point nearest to Upper Horning Road. |

## $\begin{array}{cc}\text { CRTC } & \text { LOCATION AND } \\ \text { DECISION } & \text { DATE OF }\end{array}$

| CRTC | LOCATION AND | DATE OF |  |  | APPLICATION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DECISION | DATE OF | PUBLIC | BROADCASTING |  | 1. NAME OF APPLICANT |
| NUMBER | HEARING | ANNOUNCEMENT | OUTLET | LOCATION | 2. NATURE OF APPLICAT |

Approved In the opinion of the Commission the CATV
 Licence granted for two years. Subject to conditions specified in the licence.
From the intersection of York and King Streets, easterly along King Street (north tersection of York Road, westerly on York Road (south side) to York Street, northerly on York Street then northerly to East Moreland
Drive, northerly on East Moreland Drive, then northerly to intersection of Second Concession Road and Sydenham Road, southerly on Syden-
 on Helen Street to Willet Street, easterly on Willet Street to York Street, southerly on
York Street to the intersection of York and King Streets.
Approved In the opinion of the Commission CatV
broadcasting undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the
area. Licence granted for two years. Conditions specified in licence.

## Boundaries:

(With exception of area licenced to Hamilton
TV and Appliances Services Co. Ltd.)
From intersection of Highways 403 and 6 (west side) southerly on Highway 403 (west
side) to Upper Horning Road, southerly on Upper Horning Road (west side) to Golf Links Road, westerly on Golf Links Road to Spring-
brook Avenue, south along Springbrook Avenue (west side) to No. 53 Highway, westerly on Highway 53 (north side) to the intersection of Highway 53 and Shaver Side Road, northerly
on Shaver Side Road (east side) to Jerseyville Road, east on Jerseyville Road (south side) to Martin's Road, northerly on Martin's Road
(east side) to the intersection of Mineral (east side) to the intersection of Mineral

 section of Hwy 6, then east on Hwy 6 to Hwy 403.

Dundas,

## 1. Hamilton TV and Appliance Services Co. Ltd.

2. Application for a licence to carry on a
CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Dundas, Ancaster and West Hamilton, Ont.
 $\stackrel{\Delta}{\text { L }}$
$k$
3
 Stoney Dundas and


## 0 0 -3 -3 -3 -2

$\underset{\sim}{\sim}$

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CRTC } \\ & \text { DECISION } \\ & \text { NUMBER } \end{aligned}$ | LOCATION AND DATE OF HEARING | DATE OF PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT | BROADCASTING OUTLET | LOCATION | APPLICATION <br> 1. NAME OF APPLICANT <br> 2. NATURE OF APPLICATION | DECISION | REASONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69-194 |  | July 4, 1969 | CATV | Burlington, part of Township of East Flamborough, Ont. | 1. Metro Cable TV Limited. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Burlington, part of Township of East Flamborough, ont. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission, the CATV broadcasting undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. Licence granted for two years. Conditions to be specified in licence. <br> Boundaries: <br> From the intersection of Pioneer Road and the railway tracks in a northerly direction to meet Middle Road, west along Middle Road to Guelph line, northerly along Guelph Line to Highway 5, westerly along Highway 5 (south side) to Kerns Road, southerly along Kerns Road to the North Service Road, easterly along the North Service Road to the railway tracks, east along the rallway tracks to Pioneer Road. <br> * Note: <br> It is a condition of licences granted in Decisions (CRTC 69-186 to 69-194) that each 1icensee company shall comply on or before Sept. 1, 1970, with the direction of H1s Excellency, the Governor-General-in-Council, regarding ownership and control (SOR/69-140) (wherever asterisk is seen, this policy applies). |
| 69-195 |  | June 24, 1969 | Radio-AM | Quebec, Que. | 1. Radio Station CFOM. <br> 2. Application for licence renewal. | Approved | Licence renewed to March 31, 1970, subject to the conditions presently in force in its existing licence. |
| 69-196 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July 10, } 1969 \\ & \text { (Metro } \\ & \text { Toronto) } \end{aligned}$ | CATV | Toronto, Ont. | 1. Maclean-Hunter Cable TV Limited. <br> 2. Application for licence to carry on CATV broadcasting to serve all parts of Metro Toronto. | Approve 1 | Licence granted for two years subject to conditions specified in licence. Conditions based on Commission's CATV policy announced May 13, 1969. <br> * Boundaries: <br> With the exception of areas licensed to Metro Cable TV Ltd., Maclean-Hunter Cable TV Ltd.'s licence incorporates the areas within the following boundaries: |

$\begin{array}{ccccc}\text { CRTC } & \text { LOCATION AND } & \text { DATE OF } & & \\ \text { DECISION } & \text { DATE OF } & \text { PUBLIC } & \text { BROADCASTING } & \\ \text { NUMBER } & \text { HEARING } & \text { ANNOUNCEMENT } & \text { OUTLET } & \text { LOCATION }\end{array}$ Elizabeth Way (south side) to the junction of Lake Ontario and Humber River.
 (south side) to Parkside Drive, southerly along Parkside Drive (east side) to Lake Ontario.
2. Application for a
licence to operate a catv broadcasting undertaking to serve
Toronto area.

## Conditional Approval (Owne rship Concentration)

## L6T <br> 69











 Elizabeth Way (south side) to the junction of Lake Ontario and Humber along

CRTC
DATE OF
BROADCASTING
APPLICATION
APPLICATION
NAME OF APPLICANT
With the exception of the area licensed to John E. Feltmate within the following boundaries:



 Humber River (west side) to the intersection of Highway 401

## Boundaries:

LOCATION AN
DATE OF
HFARING
cont'd...
$69-197$

| DECISION |
| :--- |
| NUMBER |


 the intersection of Nursewood Blvd., southerly along Nursewood Blvd. (west side) to Lake Ontario.

CATV
Toronto,
Ont.

July 10, 1969

1. Coaxial Colour-
view Limited.
2. For a licence to
operate a CATV broad
casting undertaking to
serve the Toronto area. Coxial Colourview Limited.







 Avenue, westerly along Steele's Avenue (south side) to the intersection of Steele's Avenue and Leslie Street.

LOCATION AND DATE OF
APPLICATION

1. NAME OF APPLICANT
2. NATURE OF APPLICATION DECISION

| $\begin{gathered} \text { DATE OF } \\ \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { ANNOUNCEMENT } \end{gathered}$ | BROADCASTING OUTLET | LOCATION | application <br> 1. NAME OF APPLICANT <br> 2. NATURE OF APPLICATION | DECISION | REASONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| July 10, 1969 | CATV | Toronto, Ont. | 1. John E. Feltmate. <br> 2. Application for a licence to operate a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve the Toronto area. | Approved | Licence granted for two years. Conditions specified in licence. |
| lbeach Road and Delsing Drive, northerly along Delsing Drive (west side) to Hazkedon Crescent, westerly and northerly along west sides) then northerly to West Humber Blvd., westerly along West Humber Blvd. (south side) to Martin Grove Road, Road (east side) toapoint opposite the northwest corner of Guiness Ave., then by a direct line to the northwest corner of along Guiness Ave. (east side) to Holbeach Rd., then easterly along Holbeach Rd. (north side) to the intersection of Holbeach |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 10, 1969 | CATV | Toronto, Ont. | 1. Willowdorns Cable Vision Limited. <br> 2. Application for a licence for a CATV broadcasting undertaking to cover the area of Toronto described under 'boundaries'. | Approved | Licence granted for two-year period. Subject to conditions specified in licence. | Rd. and Delsing Drive.

69-200

## Boundaries: <br> 69-199

| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { DECISION } & \text { DATE OF } \\ \text { NUMBER } & \text { HEARING }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :--- |

## 69-201 cont'd...

 Road and McCowans Road.
f to the intersection of Bathurst Street and


 westerly along Bond Avenue (south side) to the intersection of Bond Avenue and Leslie Street.

1. Hosick Television
Co. Ltd.
2. Application for a

CATV broadcasting un-
dertaking to cover
the area described
under 'boundaries'.

Toronto,
Ont.

CATV

July 10, 1969
July 10, 1969
CATV

1. Metro Cable TV Ltd.

> 2. Application for a licence to operate a CATV broadcasting undertaking within the boundaries described under 'boundaries'.

## ZOZ

$\stackrel{M}{\text { N }}$
69

[^6]Licence granted for two year period.
Subject to conditions specified in licence.

| July 10, 1969 | carv | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Toronto, } \\ & \text { Ont. } \end{aligned}$ | ${ }^{1 .}$. $\begin{gathered}\text { Hosick Television } \\ \text { co } \\ \text { Ltcd }\end{gathered}$ <br> 2. Application for a <br> licence to operate a CATV broadcasting un under 'boundaries' the area described | Approved | Licence granted for two-year period. Subject to conditions specified in licence <br> Boundaries <br> From Lake Ontario northerly on Parkside Drive (west side) to Bloor Street then northerly on Keele Street (west side) Eglinton Avenue, westerly on Eglinton Avenue (south side) to the Humber River southerly along the Humber River (east side) to Lake Ontario |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| July 10, 1969 | catv | Toronto, Ont. | 1. Metro Cable TV Ltd 2. Application for a licence to operate a CATV broadcasting un dertaking within the under 'boundaries' | Approved | Litence granted for two year peritod Subject to condititons specif ted in itcence |

Approved Licence granted for two-year period.
From Lake Ontario northerly on Parkside Drive (west side) to Bloor Street then
Eglinton Avenue, westerly on Eglinton
Avenue (south side) to the Humber River,
southerly along the Humber River (east side) to Lake Ontario. puetan





 cont'd...

| CRTC LOCATION AND <br> DECISION DATE OF <br> NUMBER HEARING | DATE OF PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT | BROADCASTING OUTLET LOCATION | APPLICATION <br> 1. NAME OF APPLICANT <br> 2. NATURE OF APPLICATION | DECISION | REASONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69-203 cont'd |  |  |  |  |  |
| b) An area in Lloyd Manor Park, enclosed within the following boundaries: From the intersection of Kipling Avenue and Richview along Richview Side Road (south side) to Martin Grove Road, southerly on Martin Grove Road (east side) to a point in line with the Brynston Road, then easterly by direct line to Brynston Road, along Brynston Road (north side) and by direct line to Kipling Ave Kipling Avenue (west side) to intersection of Kipling Avenue and Richview Side Road. |  |  |  |  |  |
| c) From the intersection of Kipling Avenue and Richview Side Road, easterly along Richview Side Road (north side) to Islington along Islington Avenue (west side) to The Westway, westerly along The Westway (south side) to Shadwick Drive, southerly along Sh side) then by direct line from the end of Shadwick Drive to the intersection of Gracey Blvd. and Kipling Avenue, southerly on Kip side) to Richview Side Road. |  |  |  |  |  |
| d) From the intersection of Richview Side Road and Royal York Road, southerly along Royal York Road (west side) to Edenvale Cre northerly along Edenvale Crescent (east side) and by direct line to Richview Side Road, easterly along Richvies Side Road (south Road. |  |  |  |  |  |
| e) From the intersection of Dufferin Street and Wilson Heights Blvd., southerly along Dufferin Street and Downsview Airport, Duff side) to Highway 401, easterly along Highway 401 (north side) to Bathurst Street, southerly along Bathurst Street (east side) to westerly along Briar Hill Avenue (south side) to Lyon Avenue, southerly along Lyon Avenue (east side) to Eglinton Avenue, easter |  |  |  |  |  |
| Branch Don River (west along Leslie Street (we to Sheppard Avenue, west westerly along Steele's Avenue (north side) to the intersection of wils Street and W1lson Heigh | ) to the nort side) to Highw y along Shepp nue (south si iew Avenue, s Heights Blvd. | hern boundary of the Rose ay 401, easterly along H1 ard Avenue (south side) de) to Bathurst Street, outherly along Bayview Av and Sheppard Avenue, nor | Golf Club, then by dire ay 401 (north side) to Don eslie Street, northerly al herly along Bathurst Stree (east side) to Sheppard rly along Wilson Heights | line to Mills Road g Leslie (east sid venue, wes d. (west | marc Drive and Leslie Street, northerly northerly along Don Mills Road (west side) reet (west side) to Steele's Avenue, to Finch Avenue, easterly along Finch rly along Sheppard Avenue (south side) to de) to the intersection of Dufferin |
| 69-204 | July 10, 1969 | CATV Toronto, Ont. | 1. Thomas A. Hollinshead. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Toronto, Ont. | Denied | In the opinion of the Commission, the applicant did not demonstrate sufficient resources to provide a satisfactory service to the area. <br> Denial of this application is not intended to preclude applicants from applying for licences to serve areas for which no licences have been granted. |
| 69-205 | July 10, 1969 | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { CATV Toronto, } \\ & \text { Ont. } \end{array}$ | 1. Clear Colour Cable Services. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Toronto, Ont. | Denied | In the opinion of the Commission, the applicant did not demonstrate sufficient resources to provide a satisfactory CATV service to the area. <br> Denial of this application is not intended to preclude applicants from applying for licences to serve areas for which no 1icences have been granted. |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { CRTC } \\ \text { DECIS ION } \\ \text { NUMBER } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | LOCATIO: AND date of HEARING | DATE OF PUBLIC A NOLNCEMENT | BROADCASTING OUTLET | LOCATION | APPLICATION <br> 1. NAME OF APPLICANT <br> 2. NATURE OF APPLICATION | DECISION | REASONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69-206 |  | July 10, 1969 | CATV | Toronto, Ont. | 1. Company to be incorporated represented by Barry G. Nicholls. <br> 2. App1ication for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Toronto, Ont. | Denied | In the opinion of the Commission, the application did not demonstrate sufficient resources to provide a satisfactory CATV service to the area. <br> Denial of this application is not intended to preclude applicants from applying for licences to serve areas for which no licences have been granted. |
| 69-207 |  | July 11, 1969 | catv | Oakville, Ont. | 1. Oakville Cablevision Limited. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking. | Approved | The Comission granted licence for two years, subject to conditions specified in licence. |

Boundaries:
From the shore of Lake Ontario at the Oakville/Mississauga town line northwesterly along the Oakville/Mississauga town line (west side) to the Queen Elizabeth Way, then southwesterly along Queen Elizabeth Way (south side) to the intersection of Queen Elizabeth Way and First Concession Road south of Dundas Street, then southwesterly along First Concession Road south of Dundas Street (south side) and by a direct line (south side) to the Oakville/ Burlington town line, then southeasterly along the Oakville/Burlington town line (east side) to the shore of Lake Ontario.

| 69-208 | July 11, 1969 | CATV | Mlssissauga, (Clarkson), Ont. | 1. Terra Communications Ltd. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking. | Approved | Licence granted for two-year period, subject to conditians specified in licence. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Boundaries: |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Boundaries:

[^7]aNY
DATE OF
PUBLIC
BROADCASTING
APPLICATION

1. NAME OF APPLICANT
2. NATURE OF APPLICAT
DECISION

| $\qquad$ | LOCATION AND DATE OF HEARING | DATE OF <br> PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BROADCASTING } \\ & \text { OUTLET } \end{aligned}$ | LOCATION | APPLICATION <br> 1. NAME OF APPLICANT <br> 2. NATURE OF APPLICATION | DECISION | REASONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69-209 |  | July 11, 1969 | CATV | Georgetown, Ont. | 1. Georgetown Cable TV Limited. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking. | Approved | Licence granted for two-year period, subject to conditions specified in the licence, to serve the following boundaries: |

dertaking.

## Bound aries:


serve the area described.
Boundaries:

Approved Licence granted for two-year period.
Ontario Department of Highways map "The County of Peel", revised February 1969.
3) Within the corporate limits of Pickering as shown on Ontario Department of Highways map "The County of Ontario, South Portion", revised February 1969.

| 69-212 | July 11, 1969 | CATV | Bolton, Ont. | 1. Albion Cable TV Ifmited. <br> 2. Application for a lifence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking. | Approved | Licence granted for two-year period, subject to conditions to be specified in the licence. <br> Boundaries: <br> Area within the corporate limits of Bolton, Ontario Department of Highways map "The County of Peel" revised Feb ruary 1969. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69-213 | July 11, 1969 | CATV | Mississauga, Ont. | 1. Metro Cable TV Limited. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking. | Approved | Licence granted for two-year period, subject to conditions to be specified in licence. |

DATE OF
APPLICATION

1. NAME OF APPLICANT
2. NATURE OF APPLICATION
DECISION
SNOSVIY


dertaking.


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CRTC } \\ & \text { DECISION } \\ & \text { NUMBER } \end{aligned}$ | LOCATION AND DATE OF HEARII'G | DATE OF PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT | BROADCASTING OUTLET | LOCATION | application <br> 1. NAME OF APPLICANT <br> 2. NATURE OF APPLICATION | DECISION | REASONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69-221 |  | July 18, 1969 | CATV | Lethbridge, Alta. | 1. Cablevision Lethbridge Limited. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking. | Approved | Licence granted for two years, subject to conditions specified in licence. <br> Boundaries: <br> To serve Lethbridge area. |
| 69-222 |  | July 18, 1969 | CATV | Medicine Hat, and Redcliffe, Alta. | 1. Cablevision Medicine Hat Limited. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking. | Approved | Licence granted for two years, subject to conditions specified in licence. <br> Boundaries: <br> To serve Medicine Hat and Redc1iffe, Alta. |
| 69-223 |  | July 18, 1969 | CATV | Estevan, Sask., and adjacent trailer courts and suburbs. | 1. Co-Ax Television (1962) Limited. <br> 2. Application for a lifence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking. | Approved | Licence granted for two years, subject to conditions specified in licence. <br> Boundaries: <br> To serve Estevan, Sask., and adjacent trailer courts and suburbs. |
| 69-224 |  | July 18, 1969 | CATV | Weyburn, Sask. | 1. Co-Ax Television (1962) Limited. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking. | Approved | Licence granted for two years, subject to conditions specified in licence. <br> Boundaries: <br> To serve Weyburn, Sask. |
| 69-225 |  | July 10, 1969 | 9 CATV | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Coquitlam, } \\ & \text { B.C. } \end{aligned}$ | 1. National Cablevision Limited. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking. | Approved | Licence granted for two years subject to conditions specified in licence. |

$\begin{array}{ccccc}\text { CRTC } & \text { LOCATION AND } & \text { DATE OF } & & \\ \text { DECISION } & \text { DATE OF } & \text { PUBLIC } & \text { APPLICATION } \\ \text { BROADCASTING } & \text { 1. NAME OF APPLIC }\end{array}$
ANNOUNCEMENT OUTLET LOCATION 1 2. NATURE OF APPLICATION DECISION


## Boundaries:

69-225 cont'd...
Boundaries:
From Latitude $49^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 1$
North Road, southerly a
Chapman Road, easterly
North Road (east side)
side) to the Fraser Rive
to Latitude $49^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime}$
69-225 cont'd...
Boundaries:
From Latitude $49^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 1$
North Road, southerly a
Chapman Road, easterly
North Road (east side)
side) to the Fraser Riv
to Latitude $49^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime}$
69-226
From a point on the Fraser River opposite to and in direct line with Townline Road, easterly along Townline Road (north side) to Scott Road, then southerly along Scott Road and continuing in a direct line (east side) to Mud Bay, then easterly and southerlyaround the East side of Mud Bay to the (north side) to King George Highway Interchange, southeasterly ( 0 eastern limits of Langley District Municipality, northerly along the Langley District Municipality (west side) to the Fraser River, then westerly along the south shore of the Fraser River to a point opposite to and in direct line with Townline Road.

## Source:

Department of Energy, Mines and Resources. Maps "New Westminster", compiled 1960, edition 3 "Sumas", compiled 1961, edition 2.

## Ownership Conditions:

Conditional upon National Cablevision Limited disposing of its 5,000 voting shares of capital stock and any financial interests in the company to a person acceptable to the C.R.T.C.
Columbia Broadcasting System Inc. and Sydney w. Welsh have important ownership and financial interests in National Cablevision Limited and other companies which have CATV broadcasting undertaking in Victoria, Vancouver, Toronto, Montreal, Quebec City.
In the opinion of the Commission, there is excessive concentration of ownership of these parties which would be reduced by National Cablevision
Limited disposing of its interests in Surrey Cablevision Limited.

CRTC LOCATION AND
Application
REASONS

| DECISION NUMBER | DATE OF HEARING | PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT | BROADCASTING OUTLET | LOCATION | 1. NAME OF APPLICANT <br> 2. NATURE OF APPLICATION | DECISION | REASONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69-227 |  | July 10, 1969 | CATV | Fraser River Delta, B. C | 1. Delta Cable Television Ltd. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking. | Approved | Licence granted for two years subject to conditions specified in licence. |

dertaking.
North System: From a point at the intersection of McDonald and River Roads in a direct line southeasterly to Matthews Road Interchange (east side), then in a direct line to the intersection of Irwin Road, Embree Road, and Boundary Bay (east side), then easterly around Boundary Bay and Mud Bay to a point in a direct line south of Scott Road, then northerly along Scott Road (west side) to Townline Road, then westerly and side) and in a direct line to the Fraser River, then northerly to Annacis Channel, including Annacis Island, southwester in of McDonald and River Roads.

South System: From the intersection of Corbould Road and the Canada/United States border, westerly along the Canada/United States border to Roberts Bank, then northerly along the eastern shore of Roberts Bank then around Westham Island, easterly along the fraser River, including Kirkanderchange
 easterly around Boundary Bay to Corbould Road.

```
CATV
```

696I '8L KLne
Abbotsford, 1. M.S.A. Cablevision
Ltd.
2. Application to carry and Hunting-
undertaking.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { The Commission had previously granted a } \\
& \text { licence to M.S.A. Cablevision Ltd. } \\
& \text { Decision: Describe service area. }
\end{aligned}
$$

rom western limits of the Matsqui District Municipality at the Fraser River southerly along the Matsqui District Municipality limits (east side) to the Canada/United States border then easterly along the Canada/U.S. border (north side) to the eastern limits of the (himalong Sumas River to the innortherly along the Sumas District Municipality (west side) to the Sumas Rraser River to western limits of the Matsqui District Municipality. tersection of the Fraser and Sumas Rivers, westerly along south shore of Fraser River to western limits of the Matsqui

Municipality boundaries. Department of Energy, Mines and Resources. Map "East \& West Sumas" compiled 1361, edition 2.

CRTC LOCATION AND
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { DECISION DATE OF } \\ \text { NUMBER } & \text { HEARINC }\end{array}$

| CRTC DECISION NUMBER | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LOCATION AND } \\ & \text { DATE OF } \\ & \text { HEARINC } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | DATE OF PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT | OUTLET <br> BRoADCASTING | LOCATION | APPLICATION <br> 1. NAME OF APPLICANT <br> 2. NATURE OF APPLICATION | DECISION | REASONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69-229 | - | July 18, 1969 | CATV | White <br> Rock, B.C. | 1. White Rock Cablevision Limited. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking. | Approve: 1 | Licence granted for two-year period. Conditions specified in licence. |
| Boundaries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From a point on the Canada/United States border and Highway 499, northerly and north westerly along Highway 499 (west side) to the Highway Interchange, then westerly along Kensington-Mud Bay Road (south side) to the intersection of the extension of Nichol 140 Kensington-Mud Bay Road and southerly by direct line to the south shore of the Nicomekl River, westerly along the south shore of United States border to Highway 499. to Mud Bay, then southerly and easterly around Boundary Bay and Semiahmoo Bay to the Canada/United States border and easterly alo |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 69-230 |  | July 11, 1969 | CATV | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Burnaby, } \\ & \text { B.C. } \end{aligned}$ | 1. West Coast Cablevision Ltd. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking. | Approved | Period: two years. <br> Condftions: specified in licence. |
| Boundaries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Boundaries: <br> From a poin

From a point at Burrard Inlet and th
Beta Avenue and Dundas, then continu



 then northerly along North Ro then northerly along North Road (west side)
Park.

## 69-231 <br> 69

July 11, 1969
CATV
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { North } & \text { 1. Express Cable Tele- } \\ \text { Vancouver, } & \text { vision Ltd. }\end{array}$
B.C.
2. Application to carry
on a CATV broadcasting
undertaking.
 .

Licence for Express Cable Television Limited is conditional upon Columbia Broadcasting Syste



APPLICATION
BROADCASTING $\quad$ 1. NAME OF APPLICANT

DATE OF
PUBLIC
ANNOUNCEME
LOCATION $\quad$ 1. NAME OF APPLICANT $\quad$ 2. NATURE OF APPLICATION DECTSION n Express Cable Television Ltd. ( Columbia Broadcasting

cont'd... | CRTC |
| :--- |
| DECISION |
| NUMBER |

| LOCATION AND |
| :--- |
| DATE OF |
| HEARING |

## 69-231 <br> 69 In

System, Inc. and Welsh Cable Vision Ltd. disposing of
Boundaries:
From Burrard Inlft and Mosquito Creek northerly along the east side of Mosquito Creek to Bewicke Avenue, northerly on Bewicke Avenue (east side) to
 Street, northerly on Wolfe Street (east side) to Larson Road, then northeasterly on Larson Road (east side) to ${ }^{\circ} \mathbf{2 n}^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ Longitude $123^{\circ} 04^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$, then Street West (north side) to Mosquito Creek, northerly on Mosquito Creek (east side) to a point at Latitude 49 22 N Longitude 123 (hest side) to Burrard Inlet, then westerly along the north shore of easterly along Latitude $49 \quad 22$
Burrard Inlet to Mosquito Creek.

$$
\text { July 11, } 1969 \quad \text { CATV }
$$

> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATv broadcasting undertaking.
Approved
edition 3.

| CATV | Vancouver, B.C. | 1. Canadian Wirevision Ltd. | Approved | Period: two years. <br> Conditions: specified in licence. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking. |  |  |

Boundaries: $\quad$ limits of New Westminster,
Boundaries:
July 11, 1969
69-232
July 11, 1969 CATV
Burrard Inlet to Mosquito Creek.
$69-232 \square$
Boundaries
From a point at Chatham Street and Sturgeon Bank, easterly along Dyke Road to the intersection of Dyke Road and the corporate limits of New Westminster, following the corporate limits of New estminser the tersection of Gaglardi Way and Lougheed Highway, westerly on Lougheed Highway (south side) to Sprata Avenue (west side) to the intersection of Beta to Hastings Street, then westerly on Hastings Street (south side) to Beta Avenue, northerk (west side) to Burrard Inlet, then westerly along the south Avenue and Dundas, then northerly by direct line along the east side of Confederation Paeon Bay to the intersection of the western limits of Point Grey shore of Burrard Inlet and English Bay, then southerly acong the eastand to Sea Island to a point where Shannon Road and the North Arm Fraser River intersect, then southerly along the west side of Sea Island to a point on the south shore of Sea Island that is then westerly and southerly along the Island, southerly across the Middle Arm Fraser River $\begin{aligned} & \text { west side of Lulu Island to Chatham Street and Sturgeon Bay. }\end{aligned}$

| CRTC DECISION NUMBER | LOCATION AND dATE OF HEARING | DATE OF PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT | BROADCASTINC OUTLET | LOCATION | APPLICATION <br> 1. Name of applicant <br> 2. NATURE OF APPLICATION | DECISIO | REASONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69-234 |  | July 11, 1969 | CATV | Municipalities of West Vancouver and North Vancouver, B.C. | 1. Northwest Community Video Ltd. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking. | Approved | Period: two years. <br> Conditions: specified in licence. |
| Boundaries: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| With exception of area licensed to Express Cable Vision Limited, within the following boundaries: <br> From Latitude $49^{\circ} 22^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, Longitude $123^{\circ} 16^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$, northerly to intersection of Keith Road and Horseshoe Bay (west side) following the and southerly on the east side of Queen Charlotte Channel, then easterly along the north shore of Burrard Inlet through the firs Narrows to Roche Point, then northerly along the west shore of Indian Arm to North Woodlands, then northerly to a point at Latit Longitude $122^{\circ} 55^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$, then westerly along Latitude $49^{\circ} 22^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ to Longitude $123^{\circ} 16^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 69-235 |  | July 10, 1969 | CATV | Vaughan and part of Markham Township. | 1. Metro Cable TV Ltd. <br> 2. Application for a licence to operate a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Vaughan and part of Markham Townships. | Denied | CATV policy May 13, 1969. <br> Governor-in-Council Direction on Ownership SOR/69-140. |
|  |  |  |  | Vaughan and part of Markham Township. | 1. York Cable Limited. <br> 2. Application for a licence to operate a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Vaughan and part of Markham Townships. | Denied | CATV policy May 13, 1969. <br> Governor-in-Council Direction on Ownership, SOR/69-140. |
|  |  |  |  | Vaughan and Markham, Toronto. | 1. Rogers Cable TV Limited. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Vaughan and Markham, Toronto Gore, Chinguacousy, and part of Whitchurch Townships. | Denied | CATV Policy May 15, 1969. <br> Governor-in-Council Direction on Ownership, SOR/69-140. |



| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CRTC } \\ & \text { DECISION } \\ & \text { NUMBER } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LOCATION AND } \\ & \text { DATE OF } \\ & \text { HEARING } \end{aligned}$ | $\qquad$ | BROADCASTING OUTLET | LOCATION | application <br> 1. NAME OF APPLICANT <br> 2. NATURE OF APPLICATION | DECISION | REASONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69-239 |  | July 10, 1969 | CATV | Strathroy, Ont. | 1. Airland Communication Services Limited. <br> 2. App1ication for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Strathroy, Ont. | Approved | Period: two years. <br> Conditions: specified tn licence. <br> Boundaries: <br> To serve the area within the corporate limits of Strathroy, Ont., as shown on Ontario Department of Highways map "County of Middlesex", West Portion, revised February 1969. |
| 69-240 |  | July 10, 1969 | CATV | Leamington Kingsville, Ont. | 1. Essex Cable TV <br> Limited. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Leamington-Kingsville, Ont., and a surrounding area. | Approved | Period: two years. <br> Conditions: specified in licence. <br> Boundaries: <br> To serve the corporate limits of Leamington and Kingsville, Ont. as shown on Ontario Department of Highway map "County of Essex" revised January 1969. |
| 69-241 |  | July 10, 1969 | CATV | Woods tock, Beachville, Ingersoll, Ont. | 1. Western Cable TV Limited. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Woodstock, Beachville and Ingersoll, Ont. | Approved | Period: two years. <br> Conditions: specified in licence. <br> Boundaries: <br> To serve the area within the corporate limits of Woodstock, Beachville, Ingersoll, Ont., as shown on Ontario Department of Highways map "County of Oxford", revised February 1969. |
| 69-242 |  | July 10, 1969 | 9 CATV | London, Ont. | 1. London TV Cable Service Limited. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve London, Ont. area. | Approved | Period: two years. <br> Conditions: specified in licence. |

Grand Avenue (north side) between High Street (west side) and Gerrard Avenue (not including Gerrard Avenue);
Grand Avenue (north side) between Carfrae Crescent (west side) and Ridout Street South (east side);
Ridout Street South (east side) between Grand Avenue (north side) and Beechwood Place (north side);
Beechwood Place between Ridout Street South (east side) and Carfrae Crescent (west side);
Woodland Place between Carfrae Crescent (west side) and Ridout Street South (east side);
Carfrae Crescent (west side) between Grand Avenue (north side) and the Thames River (south side);
westerly from Wellington Street, along Thames River (north side) to the first railway bridge, westerly along the bridge to Wortley Road, southerly along Wortley Road to Victor Street, then southerly along Wortley Road (both sides) to Bruce Street, westerly along Bruce Street (north side) to Cathcart Street, southerly along Cathcart Street (west side) to Emery Street, westerly along Emery Street (north side) to Edward Street, southerly Commissioners Road, easterly along Commissioners Road (north side) to Wortley Road, retracing westerly along Commissioners Road (north side) to Wharncliffe Road, northerly along Wharncliffe Road (east side) to the Canadian National Railway tracks, northwester 16.

[^8]













 Townships.
Ontario Department of Highways map "County of Middlesex", East Portion, revised February 1969.
Ontario Department of Highways map "County of Elgin", West Portion, revised January 1969.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CRTC } \\ & \text { DECISION } \\ & \text { NUMBER } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LOCATION AND } \\ & \text { DATE OF } \\ & \text { HEARTNC } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | DATE OF PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT | BROADCASTING OUTLET | LOCATION | APPLICATION <br> 1. NAME OF APPLICANT <br> 2. Nature of application | DECISION | REASONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69-244 |  | July 10, 1969 | CATV | Wallaceburg, Ont. | 1. Huron Cable TV Limited. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting unertaking to serve Wallaceburg, Ont. and portions of the adjacent municipalities. | Approved | Period: two years. <br> Conditions: specified in licence. <br> Boundaries: <br> From a point where the Sydenham River joins with Chenal Ecarté easterly by direct line (north side) to Chatham Concession Road 14 , by direct line (west side) northerly to the boundary between Lambton and Kent Counties, westerly along the Lambton and Kent Counties' boundary (south side) to a point dividing lots 8 and 9 of Gore of Chatham, southerly by direct line (east side) to the junction of the Sydenham River and Chenal Ecarté. |
| 69-245 |  | July 10, 1969 | CATV | Chatham, Ont. | 1. Chatham Cable TV Ltd. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Chatham, Ont. | Approved | Period: two years. <br> Conditions: specified in licence. <br> Boundaries: <br> To serve area with corporate limits of Chatham, Ont and both sides of the following streets between the corporate limfts of Chatham and English Road: <br> as shown on Ontario Department of Highways map "County of Kent", North Portion, revised February 1969. |
| 69-246 |  | July 11, 1969 | CATV | Simcoe, Ont. | 1. Clearview Cable TV Ltd. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Simcoe, Ont. | Approved | Period: two years. <br> Conditions: specified in licence. <br> Boundaries: <br> Corporate limits of Simcoe, Ont. as shown on Ontario Department of Highways map "County of Norfolk", revised February 1969. |


| CRTC DECISION NUMBER | $\qquad$ | DATE OF PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT | BROADCASTING OUTLET | LOCATION | APPLICATION <br> 1. NAME OF APPLICANT <br> 2. NATURE OF APPLICATION | DECISION | REASONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69-247 |  | July 10, 1969 | CATV | HanoverWalkerton, Ont. | 1. Noram Cable Construction Limited. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Hanover-Walkerton, Ont. | Approved | Period: two years. <br> Conditions: specified in licence. <br> Boundaries: <br> To serve the areas within the corporate limits of Hanover and Walkerton, Ont., as shown on Ontario Department of Highways map "County of Bruce" South Portion, revised February 1969. |
| 69-248 |  | July 10, 1969 | CATV | Aylmer, Ont. | 1. East Elgin Cable TV Ltd. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Ay1mer, Ont. | Approved | Period: two years. <br> Conditions: specified in 1icence. <br> Boundaries: <br> To serve the areas within the corporate limits of Aylmer, Ont. as shown on Ontario Department of Highways map "County of Elgin" East Portion, revised January 1969. |
| 69-249 |  | July 10, 1969 | CATV | Gue1ph, Ont. | 1. Mac1ean-Hunter Cable TV Limited. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Guelph, Ont. and portions of adjacent municipalities. | Approved | Period: two years. <br> Conditions: specified in 1icence. <br> Boundaries: <br> To serve area within the boundaries of the township of Guelph, Ont. and corporate limits of Guelph, Ont. as shown on Ontario Department of Highways map "County of Wellington" Southeast Portion, revised February 1969. |
| 69-250 |  | July 10, 1969 | CATV | Stratford, New <br> Hamburg, <br> Baden, Kitchener, Waterloo, Preston and Galt, Ont. | 1. Grand River Cable <br> TV Ltd. <br> 2. Application for a Heence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Stratford, New Hamburg, Baden, Kitchener, Waterloo, Preston, Galt, Ont. and adjacent municipalities. | Approved | Period: two years. Conditions: specified in licence. |


From a point where Moore Township Concession Road 6 meets the St.Clair River, easterly along Moore Township Concession Road 6 (north side) to a point direct line (west side) through the intersection of Lambton County Road 4 and Lambton County Suburban Road 4, continuing by direct line (west side) di Lake Huro, Ontario Department of Highways map "County of Lambton", North and South Portions, revised February 1969.


DECISION
NUMBER

| 69-254 | cont'd... |  |  |  |  |  | Order-in-Council P.C. 1969-630, effective March 27/69 replaced P.C. 1968-1809. <br> Applications from March and June Public Hearings reviewed, re: 1969-630. <br> P.A. of March $28 / 69$ confirmed petition for exemption denied. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 69-255 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } 10-13 \text {, } \\ & 1969 \\ & \text { (Montreal) } \end{aligned}$ | July 10, 1969 | CATV | Pickering Township and part of Whitby Township. | 1. Clear Colour Cable Services. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Pickering Township and part of Whitby Township, Ont. | Denied | Application did not demonstrate "sufficient resources" for satisfactory CATV service. |
| 69-256 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 10-13, } \\ & 1969 \\ & \text { (Montreal) } \end{aligned}$ | July 10, 1969 | CATV | Part of townships of Pickering Vaughan, Markham, Toronto Gore, Ont. | 1. Company to be incorporated represented by , Barry G. Nicholls. <br> 2. Application to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve part of townships of Vaughan, Markham, Toronto Gore, and Pickering, ont. | Denied | Application did not demonstrate "sufficient resources" for satisfactory CATV service. |
| 69-257 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 10-13, } \\ & 1969 \\ & \text { (Montreal) } \end{aligned}$ | July 10, 1969 | CATV | Oakville <br> and <br> Bronte, Ont. | 1. Metro Cable TV Limited. <br> 2. Application to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Oakville and Bronte, Ont. | Denied | Application by Oakville Cablevision Limited to serve Oakville and Bronte, Ont. is approved. |

APPLICATION
APPLICATION
1．NAME OF APPLICANT
2．NATURE OF APPLICAT
REASONS
If granted，application would be made to
sell shares of capital stock of company．
In opinion of Commission efforts and finan－
cial resources invested by the licensee
company in implementing the licence granted
by the Department of Transport have been so
minimal that approval of this application
would be equivalent to authorizing the sale
of a licence．

Denied

1．Mid－Ontario Cable TV
$\stackrel{\square}{\square}$
2．Application for a
licence to carry on a
CATV broadcasting un－
dertaking to serve Co－
bourg and Port Hope，
Ont．
Cobourg

0
0
0
0
0
号
范
Ont．
ONILSYOCYOYタ
DATE OF
PUBLIC
ANNOLNCEMENT
忐

July 10， 1969
July 10， 1969
CATV
Uxbridge，
Ont．
Uxbridge，
号
2．Application for a
licence to carry on a
CATV broadcasting un－
dertaking to serve
Uxbridge，Ont．
1．Rogers Cable TV
Limited．
2．Application for a
licence to carry on a
CATV broadcasting un－
dertaking to serve
Uxbridge，Ont．
1．Rogers Cable TV
Limited．
2．Application for a
licence to carry on a
CATV broadcasting un－
dertaking to serve
Brampton，Ont．
1．Metro Cable TV

2．Application for a
licence to carry on a
CATV broadcasting un－
dertaking to serve
Brampton，Ont．

Brampton，
Ont．
Brampton，
Denied
Denied
Application by Bramalea Telecable Limited
to serve Brampton，Ont．is approved．
1．John Albulet．
2．Application for a
licence to carry on a
CATV broadcasting un－
dertaking to serve
Uxbridge，Ont．
1．Rogers Cable TV
Limited．

CATV

July 10， 1969

AND

| CRTC | LOCATION |
| :---: | :---: |
| DECISION | DATE OF |
| NUMBER | HEARING |

69－258 June 10－13，
69－259 June 10－13，
$\begin{aligned} 69-260 & \text { June 10－13，} \\ & 1969 \\ & \text {（Montreal）}\end{aligned}$
APPLICATION

1. NAME OF APPLICANT
REASONS

| $69-261$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 10-13, } \\ & 1969 \\ & \text { (Montreal) } \end{aligned}$ | July 10, 1969 | CATV | Windsor, Ont. | 1. Huron Cable TV Limited. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Windsor, Ont. and portions of adjacent municipalities. | Denied | In opinion of Commission efforts and financial resources invested by the licensee in implementing the licence granted by the Department of Transport, have been minimal. <br> Commission wishes to hear all interested applicants. <br> Huron application denied to give interested parties including Huron Cable TV Limited an opportunity to apply. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69-262 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } 10-13 \text {, } \\ & 1969 \\ & \text { (Montreal) } \end{aligned}$ | August 1, 1969 | Television (ETV and French) | Edmonton, Alta. | 1. Canadian Broadcasting Corporation. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a new television broadcasting undertaking to serve Edmonton, Alta, with French language CBC programs and educational television programs, on Channel 11-, with an effective radiated power of 90,000 watts (video), 9,000 watts (audio). | Approved | Conditions: programing and other conditions to be announced publicly and specified in licence. <br> Representations at June hearing from: <br> Canadian Broadcasting Corporation, Department of Education of Alberta, Metropolitan Edmonton Educational Television Association (MEETA) and <br> 1'Association Canadienne Française de 1'Alberta. <br> Agreement for three years. At end of three years, station will become an integral part of the French language television network of the CBC . |
| 69-263 |  | July 18, 1969 | CATV | Winnipeg, Man. | 1. Metro Videon Limited. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking. | Approved | Period: two years. <br> Conditions: specified in licence. |
| Boundaries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

From a point where Rivière Sale meets the Red River, northerly along the Red River (west side) to include St. Norbert to Perimeter Highway, westerly Pacific Rallway tracks near P.T.H. No. 4, northerly along the Canadian Pacific Rallway tracks (east side) to Grassmere Road, then easterly by direct Pacific Rallway tracks near P.T.H. No. 4, northerly along the (south side) to the west side of the Red River, southerly along the west side of the Red River to a point where Riviere Sale meets the Red River.

\section*{APPLICATION <br> | DATE OF |
| :---: |
| PUBLIC |
| ANNOUNCEMENT | <br> BROADCASTING

OUTLET <br> LOCATION}

## REASONS



Conditions: specified in licence
Boundaries: To serve Pinawa.

Approved

1. Metro Videon Limited.
2. Application for a licence to carry on a
CATV broadcasting undertaking.

CATV Pinawa,
空

July 18, 1969
Winnipeg,

1. Greater Winnipeg
Cablevision Limited.
2. Application for a
licence to carry on a
CATV broadcasting un-
dertaking.

July 18, 1969 CATV Winnipeg,
Man.
July 18, 1969

264
$-265$
69
From a point on the Red River (east side) near the intersection of St.Mary's Road and Perimeter Highway, easterly along Perimeter Highway (north side)
From a point directly opposite the Reservoir, then northerly by direct line (west side) to the Reservoir, then easterly by direct line (north side) to
to a point on the Seine River directly opposite the Reservoir, northerly along the Seine River (west side) to Niakwa Rd., easterly along Niakwa Rd., to the eastern limits of the Golf Course, southerly along the eastern limits of the Golf Course and to a point directly opposite Berrydale Ave., then easterly by direct line (north side) to a point directly opposite the intersection of Westmount Dr., and the Trans-Canada Highway, then northerly by Mazect line to the intersection of Westmount Dr. and the Trans-Canada Highway, easterly along the Trans-Canada Highway to Mazenod Rd., northerly along tracks, then easterly by direct line (north side) to Lorette Rd., then northerly along Lorette Rd. to Dugald Rd., easterly along Dugald Rd eastern corporate limits of the City of Transcona, then northerly and westerly along the corporate limits of the City of Transcona to Plessis Rd., then southerly along Plessis Rd. to Regent Ave., then westerly along Regent Ave. to Birds Hill Rd., then northerly along Birds Hill Rd. to Mcleod Ave., then westerly along McLeod Ave. to the Canadian Pacific Railway tracks, then northeasterly along the Canadian Pacific Railway tracks to the Canadian P.T.H. No. 59 to Hoddinot P. T.H. No. 9, then northerly along P.T.H. No. 9 to a point directly opposite Miller Road and the east side of the Red River then
 Road and Perimeter Highway.

1. Cariboo Broadcasters
Ltd.
2. Application to amend
broadcasting licence for broadcasting licence for
station CKWL, as follows:

Proposed
Operation
$920 \mathrm{Kc} / \mathrm{s}$
1000 watts
site.

Willians
Lake,
Present
Operation

| 0 |
| :--- |
|  |
|  |
| $\overbrace{7}$ |

250 watts
ND

June 10-13,
1969
(Montreal)
웅
1
8
8


| $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LOCATION AND } \\ & \text { DATE OF } \\ & \text { HEARING } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | DATE Of PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT | BROADCASTING OUTLET | LOCATION | APPLICATION <br> 1. NAME OF APPLICANT <br> 2. NATURE OF APPLICATION | DECIS ION | REASONS 182 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69-271 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 10-13, } \\ & 1969 \\ & \text { (Montreal) } \end{aligned}$ | July 25, 1969 | Radio- AM | Amos, Que. | 1. Northern Radio Radio Nord Inc. <br> 2. Application to amend broadcasting licence for station CHAD, Amos, Que., as follows: | Approved | Proposed increase in daytime power will provide a satisfactory service to listeners in the area. |
| 69-272 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 10-13, } \\ & 1969 \\ & \text { (Montreal) } \end{aligned}$ | July 25, 1969 | Radio-AM | La Sarre, Que. | 1. Radio La Sarre Inc. <br> 2. Application to amend its broadcasting licence for station CKLS, La Sarre, Que., as follows: | Approve ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Proposed increase in daytime power will provide a satisfactory service to listeners in the area. |
| 69-273 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 10-13, } \\ & 1969 \\ & \text { (Montreal) } \end{aligned}$ | July 25, 1969 | Radio-AM | Sorel, Que. | 1. Radio Richelieu Ltée. <br> 2. Application for authority to change day-time radiation pattern of station CJSO, Sorel, Que., on present frequency of 1320 KHz with present power of 10,000 watts daytime and 5,000 watts night time, DA-2. | Approved | Proposed change of pattern will provide a satisfactory service to listeners in the area. |


| CRTC <br> DECISION NUMBER | LOCATION AND DATE OF HEARIIG | DATE OF PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT | BROADCASTING, OUTLET | LOCATION | application <br> 1. NAME OF APPLICANT <br> 2. NATURE OF APPLICATION | DECISION | REASONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69-274 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 10-13, } \\ & 1969 \\ & \text { (Montreal) } \end{aligned}$ | July 25, 1969 | Radio-AM | Yarnouth, N.S. | 1. Radio CJLS, Ltd. <br> 2. Application to amend its broadcasting 11cence for station CJLS, Yarmouth, N.S., as follows: | Approved | Proposed change of power and frequency will provide a satisfactory service to listeners in the area. |
| 69-275 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 10-13, } \\ & 1969 \\ & \text { (Montrea1) } \end{aligned}$ | July 25, 1969 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Radio-AM } \\ & \text { (English) } \end{aligned}$ | Montreal, Ottawa, (AM Eng11sh Lang uage Radio Network) | 1. Montreal Baseball Club Ltd. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a new network broadcasting undertaking for the purpose of carrying the baseball games of the Montreal Expos Baseball Club consisting of stations CKGM Montreal, Que., and CKPM Ottawa, Ont. | Approved | Application was amended to comply with the direction of His Excellency, the Governor-General-in-Council i.e.: ownership and control (SOR/69-140). <br> Licence granted for two-year period, subject to conditions specified in licence. |
| 69-276 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 10-13, } \\ & 1969 \\ & \text { (Montrea1) } \end{aligned}$ | July 25, 1969 | Radio-AM <br> (French) | St-Jērôme, Sore1, Joliette, Drummondville, Valleyfield, Granby, Montreal, Quebec (AM French Language Radio Network) | 1. Montreal Baseball C1ub Ltd. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a new network broadcasting umdertaking to carry the baseball games of the Montreal Expos Baseball Club consisting of stations: | Approved | The applicant amended the application so that it complies with the direction of His Excellency, the Governor-General-inCouncil, i.e.: ownership and control (SOR/69-140). <br> Licence granted for two-year period, subject to conditions specified in licence. |

APPLICATION

| DECISION | DATE OF HEARING | PLBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT | $\qquad$ | LOCATION | 2. NATURE OF APPLICATION | DECISION | REASONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69-276 | cont'd... |  |  |  | CKJL St-Jérôme, Que. CJSO Sore1, Que. CJLM Joliette, Que. CHRD Drummondville, Que. CFLV Valleyfield, Que. CHEF Granby, Que. CKLM Montreal, Que. |  |  |
| 69-277 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 10-13, } \\ & 1969 \\ & \text { (Montrea1) } \end{aligned}$ | July 25, 1969 | Radio-AM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sackville, } \\ & \text { N.B. } \end{aligned}$ | 1. Canadian Broadcasting Corporation. <br> 2. Application for authority to operate existing transmitting equipment at Sackville, N.B. on frequency of $11,780 \mathrm{KHZ}$. | Approved |  |
| 69-278 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 10-13, } \\ & \text { 1969. } \\ & \text { (Montrea1) } \end{aligned}$ | July 25, 1969 | Television | Elsa, <br> Yukon Territory. | 1. Canadian Broadcasting Corporation. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a new broadcasting undertaking at Elsa, Yukon Territory, on Channel 9, with a transmitter power of 5 watts, directional antenna, to broadcast CBC program on a delay basis. | Approved | Satisfactory service to viewers. <br> Conditions: subject to conditions specified in licence. <br> Conditional on station being operated as part of a network operated by the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation. |
| 69-279 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 10-13, } \\ & 1969 \\ & \text { (Montreal) } \end{aligned}$ | July 25, 1969 | Television | Nine Mile <br> Mountain, <br> B.C. | 1. Skeena TV Association. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a new broadcasting undertaking at Nine Mile Mountain near New Hazelton, B.C. to receive programs by "off-the-air" pickup from station CFTK-TV-2, Smithers, B.C., and to retransmit those programs on Channel 9 with a transmitter power of 5 watts directional antenna. | Approved | Satisfactory service to viewers. <br> Subject to conditions in licence. <br> Conditional on station being operated as part of Canadian Broadcasting Corporation. |


| NUMBER | HEARING | ANNOUNCEMENT | OUTLET | LOCATION | 2. NATURE OF APPLICATION | DECISIOV | REASONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69-280 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 10-13, } \\ & 1969 \\ & \text { (Montreal) } \end{aligned}$ | July 25, 1969 | Television | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Kwinatahl, } \\ & \text { B.C. } \end{aligned}$ | 1. Kitsault Community Club. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a new TV broadcasting undertaking at Kwinatahl, B.C., to receive programs by "off-the-air" pickup from CFTK-TV Terrace, B.C. and to retransmit those programs on Channel 7, with a transmitter power of 1 watt, directional antenna. | Approved | Satisfactory service to viewers. <br> Subject to conditions in licence. <br> Conditional on station being operated as part of Canadian Broadcasting Corporation. |
| 69-281 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 10-13, } \\ & 1969 \\ & \text { (Montreal) } \end{aligned}$ | July 25, 1969 | Television | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Holberg, } \\ & \text { B.C. } \end{aligned}$ | 1. The West Quatsino Radio and Television Society. <br> 2. Application for a lifence to carry on a new TV broadcasting undertaking at Holberg, B.C. to receive programs by "off-the-air" pickup from station CFKB-TV-4, Sointula, B.C. and to retransmit those programs on Channel 4, with a transmitter power of 5 watts, directional antenna. | Approved | Satisfactory service to viewers. <br> Subject to conditions in licence. <br> Conditional on station being operated as part of Canadian Broadcasting Corporation. |
| 69-282 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 10-13, } \\ & 1969 \\ & \text { (Montreal) } \end{aligned}$ | July 25, 1969 | Television | Hudson Bay Mountain, B.C. | 1. Skeena Broadcasters Ltd. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a new TV broadcasting undertaking at Hudson Bay Mountain, B.C., to receive programs by "off-the-air" pickup from station CFTK-TV, Terrace, B.C., and to transmit those programs on Channel 7, with a transmitter power of 1 watt, directional antenna. | Approved | Satisfactory service to viewers. <br> Subject to conditions in licence. <br> Conditional on station being operated as part of Canadian Broadcasting Corporation. |

APPLICATION

1. NAME OF APPLICANT
2. NATURE OF APPLICATION DECISIOV
pproved 1. Kitsault Community Approved
Club.
3. Application for a
licence to carry on a
new TV broadcasting unnew TV broading at Kwinatahl,
B.C., to receive programs
by "off-the-air" pickup
from CFTK-TV Terrace,
from CFTK-TV Terrace,
those programs on Channel

power of 1 watt, direc-
tional antenna.
'โчедEuFM,
Television B.C.

PUBLIC BROADCASTING
OUTLET LOCATION
Satisfactory service to viewers.
Subject to conditions in licence.
Conditional on station being operated as
part of Canadian Broadcasting Corporation.

July 25, 1969
$69-280 \begin{aligned} & \text { June 10-13, } \\ & 1969\end{aligned}$
(โеวлานoW)
$\begin{array}{cc}\text { CRTC } & \text { LOCATION AN } \\ \text { DECISION } & \text { DATE OF } \\ \text { NUMBER } & \text { HEARING }\end{array}$
APPLICATION

| $\begin{gathered} \text { CRTC } \\ \text { DECISION } \\ \text { NUMBER } \end{gathered}$ | LOCATIOR AND DATE ( $F$ HEARIIG | DATE OF PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT | BROADCASTING OUTLET | LOCATION | APPLICATION <br> 1. NAME OF APPLICANT <br> 2. NATURE OF APPLICATION | DECISION | REASONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69-283 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 10-13, } \\ & 1969 \\ & \text { (Montreal) } \end{aligned}$ | July 25, 1969 | Television | Fort Mc Murray, Alta. | 1. Canadian Broadcasting Corporation. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a new TV broadcasting undertaking at Fort Murray, Alta., on Channel 9 with an effective radiated power of 33 watts (video), 3.3 watts (audio) directional antenna, to broadcast CBC programs on a delay basis. | Approved | Satisfactory service. <br> Conditions specified in licence. <br> Conditional on station being operated as part of network operated by CBC. |
| 69-284 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 10-13, } \\ & 1969 \\ & \text { (Montreal) } \end{aligned}$ | July 25, 1969 | Television | Grande <br> Prairie, Alta. | 1. Sunwapta Broadcasting Limited. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a new TV broadcasting undertaking at Grande Prairie, Alta., to receive its programs by "off-the-sir" pickup from station CFRN-TV-3, Whitecourt, Alta. and to retransmit those programs on Channel 13, with an effective radiated power of 32,000 watts (video), 6,400 watts (audio) directional antenna. | Approved | Satisfactory service to viewers in the area. Conditions to be specified in licence. |
| 69-285 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 10-13, } \\ & 1969 \\ & \text { (Montreal) } \end{aligned}$ | July 25, 1969 | Television | Peace <br> River, <br> Alta. | 1. Sunwapta Broadcasting Limited. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a new TV broadcasting undertaking to serve Peace River, Alta., to receive its programs by "off-theair" pickup from the proposed station at Grande Prairie, Alta. and to retransmit those programs on Channel 3-,with an effective radiated power of 2,400 watts (video) | Approved | Satisfactory service. <br> Conditions to be specified in licence. cont'd... |

APPLICATION

1. NAME OF APPLICANT
2. NATURE OF APPLICATION DECISION
Television La Ronge,
Television Yarmouth,
N.S.
Television Smithers,
July 25, 1969
June 10-13,
1969
(Montrea1)
$\infty$
$\infty$
$\sim$
1
1
0

| CRTC DECISION NUMBER | LOCATICN AND DATE OF HEARING | DATE OF PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT | BROADCASTING OUTLET | LOCATION | APPLICATION <br> 1. NAME OF APPLICANT <br> 2. NATURE OF APPLTCATION | DECISION | REASONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69-285 | cont'd... |  |  |  | 240 watts (audio) directional antenna. |  |  |
| 69-286 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 10-13, } \\ & 1969 \\ & \text { (Montreal) } \end{aligned}$ | July 25, 1969 | Television | La Ronge, Sask. | 1. Canadian Broadcasting Corporation. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a new TV broadcasting undertaking to serve La Ronge, Sask., on Channel 12 with a transmitter power of 5 watts, omnidirectional antenna, to broadcast CBC programs on a delay basis. | Approved | Satisfactory service. <br> Conditions specified in licence. <br> Conditional on station being operated as part of CBC network. |
| 69-287 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 10-13, } \\ & 1969 \\ & \text { (Montreal) } \end{aligned}$ | July 25, 1969 | Television | Yarmouth, N.S. | 1. Canadian Broadcasting Corporation. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a new TV broadcasting undertaking to serve Yarmouth, N.S. On Channel 3-, with an effective radiated power of 2,060 watts (video), 410 watts (audio) EHAAT 558 feet, directional antenna. <br> Proposed station will receive entire CBC French language network program service from station CBAFT Moncton, N.B. via microwave. | Approved | Satisfactory service. <br> Conditions specified in licence. <br> Conditional on station being operated as part of CBC network. |
| 69-288 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 10-13, } \\ & 1969 \\ & \text { (Montreal) } \end{aligned}$ | July 25, 1969 | Television | Smithers, B.C. | 1. Skeena Broadcasters Limited. <br> 2. Application to amend its broadcasting licence for station CFTK-TV-2 Smithers, B.C. as follows: | Approved | This change will contribute to the maintenance of a service by the station. |

APPLICATION

| 20 |
| :--- |
| 0 |
| 0 |
| $\stackrel{4}{4}$ | 2. NATURE OF APPLICATION DECISION


CRTC LOCATIOE AND DATE OF AROADCASTING APPLICATION

| CRTC DECISION NUMBER | LOCATION: AND DATE CF HEARING | DATE OF PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT | BROADCASTING OUTLET | LOCATION | APPLICATION <br> 1. NAME OF APPLICANT <br> 2. NATURE OF APPLICATION | DECISION | REASONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69-291 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 10-13, } \\ & 1969 \\ & \text { (Montreal) } \end{aligned}$ | July 25, 1969 | CATV | Sydney, B.C. | 1. Saanich Cablevision Ltd. <br> 2. App1ication for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Sydney, B.C. | Approved | Period: two years. <br> Conditions: specified in licence. <br> Satisfactory service to viewers in Sydney area. |
| 69-292 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 10-13, } \\ & 1969 \\ & \text { (Montreal) } \end{aligned}$ | Ju1y 25, 1969 | CATV | North <br> Saanich, <br> B.C. | 1. Saanich Cablevision Limited. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve North Saanich, B.C. | Approved | Satisfactory service. <br> Period: two years. <br> Conditions: specified in licence. |
| 69-293 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 10-13, } \\ & 1969 \\ & \text { (Montreal) } \end{aligned}$ | July 25, 1969 | CATV | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Creston, } \\ & \text { B.C. } \end{aligned}$ | 1. Creston CabledVideo Ltd. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Creston, B.C. | Approved | Satisfactory service. <br> Period: two years. <br> Conditions: specified in licence. |
| 69-294 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 10-13, } \\ & 1969 \\ & \text { (Montreal) } \end{aligned}$ | July 25, 1969 | CATV | South Slocan, B.C. | 1. South Slocan Television Co-operative Association. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve South Slocan, B.C. | Approved | Satisfactory service. <br> Period: two years. <br> Conditions: specified in licence. |
| 69-295 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 10-13, } \\ & 1969 \\ & \text { (Montrea1) } \end{aligned}$ | Ju1y 25, 1969 | CATV | Wawa, Ont. | 1. Rediffusion (Lake Superior) Limited. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Wawa, Ont. | Approved | Satisfactory service. <br> Period: two years. <br> Conditions: specified in licence. |


| CRTC <br> DECISION NUMBER | LOCATION AND DATE OF HEARIIG | DATE OF PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT | BROADCASTING OUTLET | LOCATION | APPLICATION <br> 1. NAME OF APPLICANT <br> 2. NATURE OF APPLICATION | DECISION | REASONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69-296 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 10-13, } \\ & 1969 \\ & \text { (Montreal) } \end{aligned}$ | July 25, 1969 | CATV | Marathon, Ont. | 1. Peninsula Utilities Limited. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Marathon, Ont. | Approved | Satisfactory service. <br> Period: two years. <br> Conditions: specified in licence. |
| 69-297 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } 10-13 \text {, } \\ & 1969 \\ & \text { (Montreal) } \end{aligned}$ | July 25, 1969 | CATV | Campbel1- <br> ford, Ont. | 1. Charles Beverley Redden. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Campbellford, Ont. | Approved | Satisfactory service. <br> Period: two years. <br> Conditions: specified in licence. |
| 69-298 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 10-13, } \\ & 1969 \\ & \text { (Montrea1) } \end{aligned}$ | July 25, 1969 | CATV | Port <br> Arthur - <br> Fort <br> William, <br> Ont. | 1. Lakehead Videon <br> Limited. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Port Arthur - Fort William, Ont. | Approved | Satisfactory service. <br> Period: two years. <br> Conditions: specified in licence. |
| 69-299 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 10-13, } \\ & 1969 \\ & \text { (Montreal) } \end{aligned}$ | July 25, 1969 | CATV | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Orillía, } \\ & \text { Ont. } \end{aligned}$ | 1. Orillia Cable TV Limited. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Orillia, Ont. | Approved | Satisfactory service. <br> Period: two years. <br> Conditions: specified in licence. |
| 69-300 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 10-13, } \\ & 1969 \\ & \text { (Montreal) } \end{aligned}$ | July 25, 1969 | CATV | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cardiff, } \\ & \text { Ont. } \end{aligned}$ | 1. Company to be incorporated by John A. Gedney. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Cardiff, Ont. | Approved | Satisfactory service. <br> Period: two years. <br> Conditions: specified in licence. |

APPLICATION
BROADCASTING 1. NAME OF APPLICANT
DATE OF
pUBLIC

| CRTC | LOCATION AND |
| :---: | :---: |
| DECISION | DATE OF |
| NUMBER | HEARING |


| 69-301 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 10-13, } \\ & 1969 \\ & \text { (Montreal) } \end{aligned}$ | July 25, 1969 | CATV | St-Grégoire, 1. Théo Rouleau. Que. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve StGrégoire, Que. | Approved | Satisfactory service. <br> Period: two years. <br> Conditions: specified in licence. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69-302 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 10-13, } \\ & \text { l969 } \\ & \text { (Montreal) } \end{aligned}$ | July 25, 1969 | CATV | Ferme Neuve, 1. Roger Ouellette. Que. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Ferme Neuve, Que. | Approved | Satisfactory service. <br> Period: two years. <br> Conditions: spectfied in licence. |
| 69-303 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 10-13, } \\ & 1969 \\ & \text { (Montreal) } \end{aligned}$ | July 25, 1969 | CATV | Dubreuil- 1. Dubreuil Brothers ville, Ont. Limited. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Dubreuilville, Ont. | Approved | Satisfactory service. <br> Period: two years. <br> Conditions: specified in licence. |
| 69-304 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 10-13, } \\ & \text { 1969 } \\ & \text { (Montrea1) } \end{aligned}$ | July 25, 1969 | CATV | St-Martin, 1. Beauce Video Ltée. Que. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve StMartin, Beauce County, Que. | Approved | Satisfactory service. <br> Period: two years. <br> Conditions: specified in licence. |
| 69-305 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 10-13, } \\ & 1969 \\ & \text { (Montreal) } \end{aligned}$ | July 25, 1969 | CATV | L'Annoncia- 1. Gilles Godard. tion, Que. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve L'Annonciation, Que. | Approved | Satisfactory service. <br> Period: two years. <br> Conditions: specified in licence. |


| $\qquad$ | LOCATION AND date of HEARING | DATE OF PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT | BROADCASTING OUTLET | LOCATION | APPLICATION <br> 1. NAME OF APPLICANT <br> 2. NATURE OF APPLICATION | DECISION | REASONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69-306 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 10-13, } \\ & 1969 \\ & \text { (Montreal) } \end{aligned}$ | July 25, 1969 | CATV | La Guadeloupe, Que. | 1. La Guadeloupe Television Inc. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve La Guadeloupe and StEvariste, Frontenac County, Que. | Approved | Satisfactory service. <br> Period: two years. <br> Conditions: specified in licence. |
| 69-307 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 10-13, } \\ & 1969 \\ & \text { (Montreal) } \end{aligned}$ | July 25, 1969 | CATV | St-Hyacinthe, Que. | 1. Radio St-Hyacinthe Ltée. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve St Hyacinthe, Que. | Approved | Satisfactory service. <br> Period: two years. <br> Conditions: specified in licence. |
| 69-308 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 10-13, } \\ & 1969 \\ & \text { (Montreal) } \end{aligned}$ | July 25, 1969 | CATV | Ste-Justine, Que. | 1. Justin Tanguay. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve SteJustine, Dorchester County, Que. | Approved | Satisfactory service. <br> Period: two years. <br> Conditions: specified in licence. |
| 69-309 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 10-13, } \\ & 1969 \\ & \text { (Montreal) } \end{aligned}$ | July 25, 1969 | catv | Drummondville, Que. | 1. TV Drummondville Inc. <br> 2. Application for a 1icence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Drummondville South, part of St-Nicéphore, Grantham West and StCharles de Drummond, Que. | Approved | Satisfactory service. <br> Period: two years. <br> Conditions: specified in 1icence. |

NOILVOITddV
REASONS
Satisfactory service.
Period: two years.
Conditions: specified in licence.
Conditions: specified in licence.
$\begin{aligned} \text { Approved } & \text { Satisfactory service. } \\ & \text { Period: two years. } \\ & \text { Conditions: specified in licence. }\end{aligned}$

| CATV | Coleraine, Que. | 1. Coleraine Video Inc. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Coleraine, Que. | Approved | Satisfactory service. <br> Period: two years. <br> Conditions: specified in licence. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Satisfactory service.
Period: two years.
Conditions: specified in licence.
Approved

1. Coratel Services Ltd.
2. Application for a
1icence to carry on a
CATV broadcasting un-
dertaking to serve
Hotel-Dieu, Notre-Dame
de Beauce Hosptal, St.
Georges West, Beauce
Co., Que.

$\stackrel{i}{c}$ dertaking to serve St-
Pascal, Que.
Approved
Satisfactory service.
Period: two years. .
 .
.
,
Coleraine, Que.
CATV $\begin{aligned} & \text { St-Tite, } \\ & \text { Que. }\end{aligned}$
3. J. Bergeron \& Frère
Ltée.
4. Application for a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve StTHte, Que.
dertaking to serve Saint-
Zênon, Berthier County,
Que.
licence to carry on a
CATV broadcasting un-
dertaking to serve $\mathrm{St}^{-}$
5. Fernand Rondeau.
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July 25, 1969
July 25, 1969
July 25, 1969
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| CRTC | LOCATION AND |
| :---: | :---: |
| DECISION | DATE OF |
| NUMBER | HEARING |

69 - $310 \begin{aligned} & \text { June 10-13, } \\ & 1969\end{aligned}$
(Montreal)
69-311 June 10-13,
69-312 June 10-13,
69-313 June 10-13,
69-314 June 10-13,

| $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LOCATION AND } \\ & \text { DATE OF } \\ & \text { HEARING } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DATE OF } \\ \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { ANNOUNCEMENT } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | BROADCASTING OUTLET | LOCATION | APPLICATION <br> 1. NAME OF APPLICANT <br> 2. NATURE OF APPLICATION | DECISION | REASONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69-315 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 10-13, } \\ & 1969 \\ & \text { (Montreal) } \end{aligned}$ | July 25, 1969 | CATV | St.Georges, Beauce Co., Que. | 1. Beauce Video Limitée. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve St. Georges East, St. Georges West, Jersey Mills, Albert-Gallion and Lacroix, Que. | Approved | Satisfactory service. <br> Period: two years. <br> Conditions: specified in licence. |
| 69-316 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 10-13, } \\ & 1969 \\ & \text { (Montreal) } \end{aligned}$ | July 25, 1969 | LPRT <br> (Low Power <br> Relay Transmitter) |  | 1. Canadian Broadcasting Corporation. <br> 2. Application to amend their broadcasting licences for: <br> Present Proposed | Approved | Satisfactory service to 1 isteners in respective areas. |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { CBMD }}{\text { Chapais, Que. }} \\ & \frac{\text { CBME }}{\text { La Tuque, Que. }} \\ & \text { CBXJ } \\ & \text { Jasper, } \\ & \text { A1ta. } \end{aligned}$ | 920 KHz  <br> 40 watts 1340 KHz <br> 40 watts <br> 1140 KHz 990 KHz <br> 40 watts 40 watts <br> 860 KHz 860 KHz <br> 40 watts 40 watts <br> at new <br> antenna site |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { CBDB }}{\text { Watson Lake, }} \\ & \text { Y.T. } \end{aligned}$ | 990 KHz 990 KHz <br> 40 watts 40 watts <br> at new <br> antenna site |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CBKA } \\ & \text { Stewart, } \\ & \text { B.C. } \end{aligned}$ | 1450 KHz 1450 KHz <br> 40 watts 40 watts <br>  at new <br> antenna site  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { CBXW }}{\text { Edgewood, }} \\ & \text { B.C. } \\ & \text { CBLY } \end{aligned}$ | 860 KHz  <br> 40 watts 860 KHz <br> 40 watts <br> at new <br> antenna site |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Haliburton, Ont. | 40 watts <br> 40 watts <br> at new <br> antenna site |  |  |
| 69-317 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 10-13, } \\ & 1969 \\ & \text { (Montreal) } \end{aligned}$ | July 25, 1969 | CATV <br> (Ownership and Control) | Wawa, Ont. | 1. Rediffusion (Lake Superior) Limited operator of a CATV system at Wawa. | Approved | Commission is satisfied that the new owners of CATV system will provide a satisfactory service to subscribers. |


$\stackrel{\text { ² }}{1}$

\[

\]

APPLICATION

1. NAME OF APPLICANT
2. NATURE OF APPLICATI
REASONS
Licensee, under proposed ownership will
maintain the service being given to
listeners by the station.
Decision taken in consideration of BBG policy
March 4, 1966 concerning the CTV Network and
reiterated by the Comndssion in its announce-
ment June 13, 1968: The policy was that no
proposed share transfer would be allowed
which would result in one person holding
shares directly or indirectly in more than
one company 11 censed tooperate an affiliated
station allo the Commission would not approve
an arrangement whereby any person may in any
other way, participate in the control or
management of more than one company licensed
to operate an affiliated station.
October 1969, a review of the BBG policy will
be undertaken and the Commission decided to
defer its decision on the disposal of shares
owned by Canastel Broadcasting Corporation
Limited in CJCH Ltd.
Limited in CJCH Ltd.
Proposed station will provide significantly different service for listeners in the area.
The Commission grants a licence for a twoCorp. Limited from Assocasting Corporation
Limited from Associated
3. Application for permission to transfer
20,905 common shares


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{0} \\
& 0 \\
& 0 \\
& 0 \\
& 0 \\
& 0 \\
& 0
\end{aligned}
$$

broadcasting
OUTLET
Television
Televis
LOCATION year period, subject to the programming and other conditions which will be announced publicly and specified in the licence.

[^9] casting Company Limited. Ltd. 655 comimon shares of
Canastel Broadcasting Television Corp. Limi-
ted to Selkirk Holdings 20,905 common share
of Canastel Broad-
casting Corporation
Limited from Associ $\frac{\text { British Columbia }}{\text { vision Broadcasting }}$
Limited. B.

$696 \tau$
DATE OF
ANNOUNCEMENT
AND
LOCATION
DATE OF

| CRTC |
| :--- |
| DECISION |
| NUMBER |

69-322
B.C.
DECISION
feet omnidirectional antenna.

1. Radio Drummond Limitée.
2. Application for a $1 \mathrm{i}-$ cence to carry on a new king at Drummondville,
 and subsidiary communication broadcasting services MHZ , with an effective ra-
diated power of 50,000
watts horizontal and verti-
cal polarization EHAAT 132

Drummond-
ville,


Radio-FM
July 29, 1969
Radio-AM


Approved
$\begin{array}{cc}\text { CRTC } & \text { LOCATIOR A } \\ \text { DECISION } & \text { DATE CF } \\ \text { NUMBER } & \text { HEARING }\end{array}$
APPLICATION

1. NAME OF APPLICANT
DECISION

2. Canadian Broadcasting
Corporation.
3. Application for a new TV broadcasting un-
dertaking at Englee,
Nfld. to receive proNfld. to receive pro-
grams by "off-the-air"
pickup from station
Nfld., and to retrans-
mit those programs on
Channel 7- with a
power of 1,970 watts
(video), 400 watts
(audio). Directional
antenna EHAAT 655 feet. of proposed station at Englee. site.
Approved

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 2. Application to amend } \\
& \text { its 1icence for station } \\
& \text { CBTC-TV-I, St.Anthony, } \\
& \text { Nfld. as follows: } \\
& \text { Present } \quad \text { Proposed } \\
& \text { Channel } 12 \quad \text { Channe1 } 4 \\
& 5 \text { watts } \\
& \text { Directional } 6,320 \text { watts(video) } \\
& \text { antenna } \\
& \text { Video-tape watts (audio) } \\
& \text { programs. }
\end{aligned}
$$

In the opinion of the Commission, the proposed amendments will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area by introducing 'live' television to replace the present delay-basis service.

This application was opposed by Newfoundland Broadcasting Company Limited. Opposition's premise that Newfoundland date apply for a licence to carry on a TV broadcasting station at St.Anthony which would receive its programs from Channel 4, CJCN-TV Grand Falls. The company stated Distance from CJCN-TV Grand Falls to St.
Anthony is approximately 160 miles.

Commission is of the opinion that such signal on Channel 4 from Grand Falls will quality on a regular basis to provide a satisfactory rebroadcasting service at St . Anthony.

Commission also of opinion that there are other methods by which Newfoundland Broadcasting Co. Ltd. could bring service to
any
OCATTON A
DATE OF
HEARTNG CRTC
DECTSION
NUMBER

69-329

69-331

APPLICATION
REASONS

CRTC LOCATION AND $\begin{array}{cc}\text { DECISION } & \text { DATE OF } \\ \text { NUMBER } & \text { HEARING }\end{array}$

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LOCATION AND } \\ & \text { DATE OF } \\ & \text { HEARING } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | DATE OF PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT | BROADCASTING <br> OUTLET | LOCATION | application <br> 1. NAME OF APPLICANT <br> 2. NATURE OF APPLICATION | DECIS ION | REASONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69-332 |  | Sept. 19, 1969 | Television and Radio | Chatham Kitchener, Ont. | 1. Great 1akes Broad1icensee of stations: <br> CFCO - Chatham <br> CHYM - Kitchener <br> CHYM-FM - Kitchener <br> 2. Application for permission to transfer 50 common shares of capital stock to MacleanHunter Limited and 1 common qualifying share to each Mr. L.R. Clark and W.G. Bailey. | Approved | In approving the application, the Commission took into consideration its public announcement of August 27,1968 , with reference to safeguarding community interests. <br> However, the share transfer was approved because it appears to be the most practical solution in the interest of the station's performance at the moment. <br> The commission will be interested in the methods used by the licensee to ensure communty participation and safeguard community interest. |
| 69-333 |  | Oct. 3, 1969 | Radio-FM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Kelowna, } \\ & \text { B.C. } \end{aligned}$ | 1. Okanagan FM Broadcasters Ltd. <br> 2. Application to amend its broadcasting licence for station CJOV-FM, Kelowna, B.C., as follows: | Approved | Proposed change of site will provide a satisfactory service to listeners in the area. |
| 69-334 |  | Oct. 3, 1969 | CATV | Bernierville, Que. | 1. J. Gilles Drolet. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve St. Ferdinand d'Halifax, Bernierville, Que. | Approved | Broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Commission had previously expressed belief that it would serve the public interest better by leaving a large number of persons and companies to play a role in this new type of enterprise. |

$\begin{array}{cc}\text { CRTC } & \text { LOCATION AND } \\ \text { DECISION } & \text { DATE OF }\end{array}$ DECISION
NUMBER
69-335
en
1
1
0
69-337
69-338

| $\begin{gathered} \text { CRTC } \\ \text { DECISION } \\ \text { NUMBER } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LOCATION AND } \\ & \text { DATE OF } \\ & \text { HEARING } \end{aligned}$ | DATE OF PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT | BROADCASTING OUTLET | LOCATION | APPLICATION <br> 1. NAME OF APPLICANT <br> 2. NATURE OF APPLICATION DECISION | REASONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69-339 |  | Oct. 31, 1969 | Radio | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Winnipeg, } \\ & \text { Man. } \end{aligned}$ | 1. Radio Winnipeg Ltd., Denied licensee of stations CFRW and CFRW-FM, Winnipeg, Man. <br> 2. Application for permission to transfer 51,453 class "A" common and $1,148,272$ class " $B$ " common shares of capital stock from present shareholders to Hector Fraser Dougall (one class " B " common to be held by each of Mrs. Eliz: Christine Dougall and Scott Burton Dougall). | In the opinion of Commission, the proposal contalned in this application will not solve the present difficulties of CFRW nor be in the interest of the broadcasting undertakings in the Thunder Bay-Kenora region of Ontario, in which Mr. H.F. Dougall has ownership interests. |
| 69-340 |  | Nov. 5, 1969 | Television | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mt.Dolly, } \\ & \text { B.C. } \end{aligned}$ | 1. Stewart Community C1ub. Approved <br> 2. Application for $a$ licence to carry on a new TV broadcasting undertaking at Mt. Dolly, B.C., near Stewart, B.C. to receive programs by "off-the-air" pickup from a proposed new TV broadcasting station at East Georgie, B.C. and to retransmit those programs on Channel 11 with a transmitter power of 1 watt, directional antenna. | Proposed new TV broadcasting undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Conditions: Approval subject to conditions specifted in the licence. <br> Approval also conditional on the station being operated as part of a network by the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation. |
| 69-341 |  | Nov. 5, 1969 | Television | $\begin{aligned} & \text { East } \\ & \text { Georgie, } \\ & \text { B.C. } \end{aligned}$ | 1. Stewart Community Club. Approved <br> 2. Application for a <br> licence to carry on a new TV broadcasting undertaking at East Georgie, B.C. to receive programs by "off-the-air" pickup from a proposed new TV broadcasting station at Kwinatah1, B.C., and to retransmit those programs on Channel 9 with a transmitter power of 1 watt, directional antenna. | In the opinion of the Commission the proposed new TV rebroadcasting undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Conditions: Approval subject to conditions which will be specified in the licence. <br> Approval also conditional upon the station being operated as part of a network being operated by the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation. |



| CRTC DECISION NUMBER | LOCATION AND dATE OF HEARING | DATE OF PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT | BROADCASTING OUTLET | LOCATION | APPLICATION <br> 1. NaME OF APPLICANT <br> 2. nature of application | DECISION | REASONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69-346 |  | Nov. 5, 1969 | Radio-FM | Regina, Sask. | 1. Metropolitan Broadcasters Ltd. <br> 2. Application to change studio location of station CFMQ-FM, Regina, Sask., from the Northgate Shopping Mall to 13th Avenue and Robinson Street, Regina, Sask. | Approved | Proposed change of studio location will contribute to the maintenance of a satisfactory service by the AM broadcasting undertaking. |
| 69-347 |  | Nov. 5, 1969 | Radio | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Langley, } \\ & \text { B.C. } \end{aligned}$ | 1. City and Country Radio Ltd. <br> 2. Application for authority to establish an auxiliary studio at each of the following locations: <br> Dell Shopping Centre, White Rock Shopping Centre Guildford Town Centre, Haney, B.C. | Approved | Proposed auxiliary studios will contribute to the maintenance of a satisfactory service by the $A M$ broadcasting undertaking. |
| 69-348 |  | Nov. 5, 1969 | Radio | Brampton, Ont. | 1. CHIC Radio Ltd. <br> 2. Application for authority to change location of broadcasting studios of stations CHIC and CHIC-FM Brampton, Ont. from 2 Ellen St. to 7 George St. South, Brampton, Ont. | Approved | Proposed change of studio location will contribute to maintenance of a satisfactory service by the AM broadcasting undertaking. |
| 69-349 | Vancouver, B.C. Oct. 14,15,16, 1969 | Nov. 19, 1969 | Radio-AM | Jasper, Alta. | 1. Yellowhead Broadcasting Limited. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a new AM broadcasting undertaking at Jasper, Alta. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission the proposed new AM broadcasting undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to listeners in the area. |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{ll} 1,450 \mathrm{KHz} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text { (day-time) } \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \text { night-time, } \\ \text { N.D. } \end{array} \end{array}$ |  |  |


| CRTC DECISION NUMBER | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LOCATION AND } \\ & \text { DATE OF } \\ & \text { HEARING } \end{aligned}$ | date of PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT | BROADCASTING OUTLET | LOCATION | APPLICATION <br> 1. NAME OF APPLICANT <br> 2. NATURE OF APPLICATION | DECISION | REASONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69-350 | Vancouver,B.C. <br> Oct. 14,15,16, <br> 1969 | Nov. 19, 1969 | Radio-AM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Kamloops, } \\ & \text { B.C. } \end{aligned}$ | 1. Company to be incorporated represented by John Skelly. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a new AM broadcasting un- | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission the proposed new AM broadcasting undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to listeners in the area. |
|  |  |  |  |  | B.C. with another studio at Merritt, B.C. |  |  |
| 69-351 | Vancouver, B.C. Oct. 14,15,16, 1969 | Nov. 19, 1969 | Radio-AM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Merritt, } \\ & \text { B.C. } \end{aligned}$ | 1. Company to be incorporated represented by John Skelly. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a new AM broadcasting undertaking at Merritt, B.C., with another studio at Kamloops, B.C. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission the proposed new AM broadcasting undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to listeners in the area. |
| 69-352 | Vancouver, B.C. Oct. 14,15,16, 1969 | Nov. 19, 1969 | Radio-AM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Prince } \\ & \text { George, } \\ & \text { B.C. } \end{aligned}$ | 1. Prince George Broadcasting Ltd. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a new AM broadcasting undertaking at Prince George, B.C. <br> Frequency <br> Power | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission the proposed new AM broadcasting undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to listeners in the area. |
|  |  |  |  |  | $620 \mathrm{KHz} \quad$10,000 watts <br> (day-time) <br>  <br>  <br> night-time <br> DA-N |  |  |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { CRTC } \\ \text { DECISION } \\ \text { NUMBER } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LOCATION AND } \\ & \text { DATF OF } \\ & \text { HEAF ING } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | DATE OF PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT | BROADCASTING OUTLET | LOCATION | application <br> 1. NAME OF APPLICANT <br> 2. NATURE OF APPLICATION | DECISION | REASONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69-353 | Vancouver, B.C. Oct. 14,15,16, 1969 | Nov. 19, 1969 | Television VHF | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bonnington, } \\ & \text { B.C. } \end{aligned}$ | 1. Canadian Broadcasting Corporation. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a new TV broadcasting undertaking at Bonnington, B.C. to receive programs via microwave pickup from station CBUAT, Trail, B.C. <br> and to retransmit those programs on Channel 13 plus, with an effective radiated power of 22 watts (video), 2,2 watts (audio), directional antenna, EHAAT 1,287 ft. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission, the proposed new TV broadcasting undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Approval subject to conditions to be specified in licence. |
| 69-354 | Vancouver, B.C. Oct. $14,15,16$, 1969 | Nov. 19, 1969 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Television } \\ & \text { VHF } \end{aligned}$ | Near Oyen, Alta. | 1. Oyen and District Television Association. <br> 2. App1ication for a licence to carry on a new TV broadcasting undertaking near Oyen, Alta., to receive programs by "off-the-air" pickup from station CFCN-TV-I, Drumheller, Alta. <br> and to <br> retransmit those programs on Channel 2-, with an effective radiated power of 1,200 watts (video) and 600 watts (audio), with a directional antenna, EHAAT 365 feet. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission, the proposed new TV broadcasting undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> This approval is subject to conditions to be specified in the 1icence. |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CRTC } \\ & \text { DECISTON } \\ & \text { NUMBER } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LOCATION AND } \\ & \text { DATA OF } \\ & \text { HEASING } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | DATE OF PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT | BROADCASTING OUTLET | LOCATION | APPLICATION <br> 1. NAME OF APPLICANT <br> 2. NATURE OF APPLICATION | DECISION | REASONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69-355 | Vancouver, B.C. Oct. 14, 15, 16, 1969 | Nov. 19, 1969 | CATV | Gold River and Tahsis, B.C. | 1. Telesis Development Co. Ltd. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Gold River and Tahsis, B.C. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission the broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> The Commission grants a licence for a twoyear period. <br> Conditions specified in licence. |
| 69-356 | Vancouver, B.C. Oct. 14,15,16, 1969 | Nov. 19, 1969 | CATV | Maple Ridge and Mission, B.C. | 1. National Cablevision Ltd. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Maple Ridge and Mission, B.C. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission the broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> The Commission grants a licence for a twoyear period, subject to conditions which will be specified in the licence. |
| 69-357 | Vancouver, B.C. Oct. 14,15,16, 1969 | Nov. 19, 1969 | CATV | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Merritt, } \\ & \text { B.C. } \end{aligned}$ | 1. Merritt Cablevision Limited. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Merritt, B.C. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission the broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two-year period, subject to conditions specified in 1icence. |
| 69-358 | Vancouver, B.C. Oct. 14,15,16, 1969 | Nov. 19, 1969 | CATV | Port <br> Alberni, <br> B.C. | 1. Alberni Cable Television Limited. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Port Alberni, B.C. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission, the broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two-year period, subject to conditions specified in licence. |
| 69-359 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Vancouver, B.C. } \\ & \text { Oct.14,15,16, } \\ & 1969 \\ & \text { (ninth Public } \\ & \text { Hearing) } \end{aligned}$ | Nov. 19, 1969 | CATV | $\begin{aligned} & \text { St-Lazare, } \\ & \text { Man. } \end{aligned}$ | 1. The Fouillard Implement Exchange Limited. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve StLazare, Man. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission the broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two-year period, subject to conditions specified in licence. |

application

1. NAME OF APPLICANT
2. NATURE OF APPLICATION DECISION

Approved

1. George E. Young, M.D.
2. Application for a
licence to carry on a
dertaking to serve
the Municipality of
Chapleau, Ont.

## 'neətdey

$\stackrel{\dot{5}}{5}$
In the opinion of the Commission, the



Licence granted for two-year period,
subject to conditions specifed in licence.

In the opinion of the Commission, the
broadcasting receiving undertaking will
provide a satisfactory service to viewers
in the area.
Licence granted for two-year period,
subject to condfitions specified in licence.
Approved

1. Geroy Radio and Tele-
vision Limited.
2. Application for a
licence to carry on a
dertaking to serve Ma-
nitouwadge, Ont.
Orangeville, 1. Noram Cable Cons-
Approved
In the opinion of the Commission, the
broadcasting receiving undertaking will
provide a satisfactory service to viewers
in the area.
Licence granted for two-year period,
subject to conditions spectified in licence.
subject ta condions ecilid


| Orangeville <br> Ont. | 1. Noram Cable Cons- <br> truction Limited. |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | 2. Application for a <br> licence to carry on a |
|  | CATV broadcasting un- <br> dertaking to serve <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> roungevilie and sur- |

rounding area.
Manitouwadge
Ont.

CATV
药

Nov. 19, 1969
Vancouver, B.C. Nov. 19, 1969
Oct. $14,15,16$,
(ninth Public
Hearing)
$69-360$
broadcasting
LALINO
LYOQVOYя
CATV

69-361 Vancouver, B.C. oct. 14, 15, 16,
1969
(ninth Public
Hearing)
Nov. 19, 1969

Vancouver, B. C.
Oct. $14,15,16$,
1969
(ninth Public
Hearing)

No

| 1 |
| :--- |
| 9 |


| 69-363 | Vancouver, B.C. <br> Oct. 14,15,16, 1969 <br> (ninth Public Hearing) | Nov. 19, 1969 | CATV | Picton, Ont. | 1. Quinte Cablevision Limited. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Picton, Ont. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission, the broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two-year period, subject to conditions specified in licence. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69-364 | ```Vancouver,B.C. Oct. 14,15,16, 1969 (ninth Public Hearing)``` | Nov. 19, 1969 | CATV | Ayers Cliff, Que. | 1. Cablevision de $1^{\prime}$ Est Inc. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Ayers Cliff, Que. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission, the broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two-year period, subject to conditions specified in licence. |


| $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LOCATION AND } \\ & \text { DATE OF } \\ & \text { HEARING } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | date of PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT | BROADCASTING OUTLET | LOCATION | APPLICATION <br> 1. NAME OF APPLICANT <br> 2. NATURE OF APPLICATION | DECISION | REASONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69-365 | Vancouver, B.C. <br> Oct. 14,15,16, 1969 <br> (ninth Public Hearing) | Nov. 19, 1969 | CATV | Cookshire, Que. | 1. Transvision Cookshire Inc. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Cookshire, Que. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission, the broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two-year period, subject to conditions specified in licence. |
| 69-366 | Vancouver, B.C. <br> Oct. 14,15,16, 1969 <br> (ninth Pub1ic Hearing) | Nov. 19, 1969 | CATV | Danville, Que. | 1. Transvision de Danville Inc. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Danville, Que. and the surrounding area. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission, the broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two-year period, subject to conditions specified in licence. |
| 69-367 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Vancouver, B. C. } \\ & \text { Oct. } 14,15,16 \text {, } \\ & 1969 \\ & \text { (ninth Pub } 1 \text { ic } \\ & \text { Hearing) } \end{aligned}$ | Nov. 19, 1969 | CATV | Disraeli, Que. | 1. Transvision Disraeli Inc. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Disraeli, Que. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission, the broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two-year period, subject to conditions specified in licence. |
| 69-368 | Vancouver, B. C. <br> 0ct. 14,15,16, 1969 <br> (ninth Public Hearing) | Nov. 19, 1969 | CATV | East <br> Angus, <br> Que. | 1. Transvision (East Angus) Inc. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve East Angus, Que. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission, the broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two-year period, subject to conditions specified in licence. |
| 69-369 | ```Vancouver,B.C. Oct. 14,15,16, 1969 (ninth Public Hearing)``` | Nov. 19, 1969 | CATV | Hermitage Club (near Magog), Que. | 1. Cablevision de 1'Est Inc. <br> 2. Application to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve the Hermitage Club (near Magog), Que. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission, the broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two-year period, subject to conditions specified in licence. |


| CRTC <br> DECIS ION <br> NUMBER | LOCATION AND DATE OF HE $/$ RING | DATE OF PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT | BROADCASTING OUTLET | LOCATION | APPLICATION <br> 1. NAME OF APPLICANT <br> 2. NATURE OF APPLICATION | DECISION | REASONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69-370 | Vancouver, B.C. Oct. $14,15,16$, 1969 <br> (ninth Public Hearing) | Nov. 19, 1969 | CATV | La Tuque, Que. | 1. Electro-Vision (La Tuque) Inc. <br> 2. Application to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve La Tuque, Que. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission, the broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two-year period, subject to conditions specified in licence. |
| 69-371 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Vancouver, B. C. } \\ & \text { Oct. } 14,15,16, \\ & 1969 \\ & \text { (ninth Public } \\ & \text { Hearing) } \end{aligned}$ | Nov. 19, 1969 | CATV | Magog, Que. | 1. Transvision Magog, Inc. <br> 2. Application to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Magog, Omerville, Que. and surrounding area. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission, the broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two-year period, subject to conditions specified in licence. |
| 69-372 | Vancouver, B.C. <br> Oct. 14,15,16, 1969 <br> (ninth Public Hearing) | Nov. 19, 1969 | CATV | Malartic, Que. | 1. Roland Hamel. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Malartic, Que. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission, the broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two-year period, subject to conditions specified in licence. |
| 69-373 | Vancouver, B.C. <br> Oct. 14,15,16, 1969 <br> (ninth Public Hearing) | Nov. 19, 1969 | CATV | Maniwaki, Que. | 1. Maniwaki Television Ltée. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Maniwaki, Reserve Indienne, Municipalité Deleage \& Egan-Sud, Que. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission, the broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two-year period, subject to conditions specified in licence |
| 69-374 | Van couver, B.C. Oct. 14,15,16, 1969 <br> (ninth Public Hearing) | Nov. 19, 1969 | CATV | North Hatley, Que. | 1. North Hatley Transvision Inc. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve North Hatley, Que. | App roved | In the opinion of the Commission, the broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two-year period, subject to conditions specified in licence |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { CRTC } \\ \text { DECISION } \\ \text { NUMBER } \end{gathered}$ | LOCATION and DATE OF HEARING | DATE OF PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT | BROADCASTING OUTLET | LOCATION | APPLICATION <br> 1. NAME OF APPLICANT <br> 2. NATURE OF APPLICATION | DECISION | REASONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69-375 | ```Vancouver,B.C. Oct. 14,15,16, 1969 (ninth Public Hearing)``` | Nov. 19, 1969 | CATV | Notre-Dame-de-1a-Dorée, Que. | 1. Gagnon TV Ltée. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve No-tre-Dame-de-1a-Dorée, Que. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission, the proposed broadcasting undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two-year period, subject to conditions specified in licence. |
| 69-376 | Vancouver, B.C. <br> Oct. 14,15,16, <br> 1969 <br> (ninth Public Hearing) | Nov. 19, 1969 | CATV | Rock Is- <br> land, Que. | 1. Border Community IV Inc. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Rock Island, Stanstead and Beebe, Que. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission, the proposed broadcasting undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two-year period, subject to conditions specified in licence. |
| 69-377 | Vancouver, B.C. <br> Oct. 14,15,16, <br> 1969 <br> (ninth Public Hearing) | Nov. 19, 1969 | CATV | Rouyn- <br> Noranda, Que. | 1. Paul Television Service Ltée. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve RouynNoranda, Que. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission, the proposed broadcasting undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two-year period, subject to conditions specified in licence. |
| 69-378 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Vancouver, B. C. } \\ & \text { Oct. } 14,15,16 \text {, } \\ & \text { 1969 } \\ & \text { (ninth Public } \\ & \text { Hearing) } \end{aligned}$ | Nov. 19, 1969 | CATV | Ste-Angèle-de-Laval, Que. | 1. Venant Deshaies. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve SteAngèle, Que. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission, the proposed broadcasting undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two-year period, subject to conditions specified in licence. |
| 69-379 | Vancouver, B.C. Oct. $14,15,16$, 1969 <br> (ninth Public Hearing) | Nov. 19, 1969 | catv | St-FélicienBellevue, Que. | 1. Gagnon TV Ltée. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve St-Félicien-Bellevue, Que. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission, the proposed broadcasting undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Licence granted for two-year period, subject to conditions specified in licence. |



| CRTC DECISION NUMBER | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LOCATION AND } \\ & \text { DATE. OF } \\ & \text { HEAFING } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | DATE OF PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT | BROADCASTING OUTLET | LOCATION | APPLICATION <br> 1. NAME OF APPLICANT <br> 2. NATURE OF APPLICATION | DECISION | REASONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $69-384$ | ```Vancouver,B.C. Oct. 14,15,16, 1969 (ninth Public Hearing)``` | Nov. 19, 1969 | Low Power <br> Relay Trans- <br> mitter (LPRT) <br> (CBC) | Beardmore, Ont. | 1. Canadian Broadcasting Corporation. <br> 2. Application to amend its licence for LPRT, CBLE, Beardmore, Ont., as follows: | Approved | Proposed changes will provide a satisfactory service to listeners in the area. |

The proposed operation will provide a
satisfactory service to listeners.
The Commission is satisfied that the 1icensee,
under the proposed ownership, will maintain
the service being given to listeners by the station.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { CRTC } \\ \text { DECISION } \\ \text { NUMBER } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LOCATION AND } \\ & \text { DATE OF } \\ & \text { HEARING } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DATE OF } \\ & \text { PUBLIC } \\ & \text { ANNOUNCEMENT } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { BROADCASTING } \\ \text { OUTLET } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | LOCATION | APPLICATION <br> 1. NAME OF APPLICANT <br> 2. NATURE OF APPLICATION | DECISION | REASONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69-386 | cont'd... |  |  |  | ```and 1 Class "A" common to George E. Profitt and Donald V. Cartmell, jointly.``` |  |  |
| 69-387 | Vancouver, B.C. <br> Oct. 14,15,16, 1969 <br> (ninth Public Hearing) | Nov. 19, 1969 | Radio-AM | Prince George, B.C. | 1. Radio S.tation CKPG Limited, licensee of CKPG Prince George, B.C. <br> 2. Application for permission to transfer 17,500 common shares of capital stock from present shareholders to <br> Q Broadcasting Limited (Vancouver Broadcasting. Associates Limited), with 1 common qualifying share being registered each in the names of W.E. Bellman and J.E. Stark (Radio Station CKPG Ltd.) owns all shares of CKPG Television Ltd. licensee of CKPG-TV Prince George and two rebroadcasting stations. | Approved | Commission is satisfied that the licensee, under proposed ownership, will maintain service being given to listeners by the station. |
| 69-388 | Vancouver, B.C. <br> Oct. 14,15,16, 196s <br> (ninth Public Hearing) | Nov. 19, 1969 | Radio-AM | Calgary, Alta. | 1. Bow Valley Broadcasting Co. Ltd. <br> 2. Application to amend licence authorizing station CKXL Calgary, Alta., to show change in licensee from Bow Valley Broadcasting Company Ltd. to Moffat Broadcasting Ltd. with no effective change of ownership. | Approved | Commission is satisfied that the licensee, under the proposed ownership, will maintain service being given to listeners by the station. |

CRTC LOCATION AND DATE OF APPLICATION
APPLICATION

1. NAME OF APPLICANT
2. NATURE OF APPLICATI
3. NAME OF APPLICANT
4. NATURE OF APPLICATION DECISION

| 69-389 | Vancouver, B.C. Oct. 14,15,16, 1969 <br> (ninth Public Hearing) | Nov. 19, 1969 | Radio-AM | Afax, Ont. | 1. Radio CHOO Limited. <br> 2. Application for permission to transfer 11,199 common and 11 preferred shares of capital stock from Transonic Ltd. (2000 common and 11 preferred) and Triarch Corporation Limited (9,199 common) to Refresco Ltd. | Approved | Commission is satisfied that the licensee, under the proposed ownership, will maintain the service being given to listeners by the station. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69-390 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Vancouver, B.C. } \\ & \text { Oct. } 14,15,16 \text {, } \\ & 1969 \\ & \text { (ninth Public } \\ & \text { Hearing) } \end{aligned}$ | Nov. 19; 1969 | CATV | Orangeville, Ont. | 1. Orangeville Cable-Vu Limited. <br> 2. Application: <br> a) to acquire assets of a CATV system serving Orangeville and surrounding area, owned by Noram Cable Construction Limited and <br> b) for a licence to carry on that CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Orangeville, Ont. and the surrounding area. | Approved | Commission is satisfied that the proposed transfer of assets and the licensing of the broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. |
| 69-391 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Vancouver, B.C. } \\ & \text { Oct. 14,15,16, } \\ & 1969 \\ & \text { (ninth Pub1ic } \\ & \text { Hearing } \end{aligned}$ | Nov. 19, 1969 | CATV | Ayers Cliff, Que. | 1. Transvision Magog Inc. <br> 2. Application: <br> a) to purchase the assets of a CATV system to serve Ayers Cliff, Que., owned by Cablevision de 1'Est Inc. and <br> b) for a licence to operate that CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Ayers Cliff, Que. | Approved | Commission is of the opinion that the proposed transfer of assets and the 1icensing of broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { CRTC } \\ \text { DECISION } \\ \text { NUMBER } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | LOCATION AND DATE OF HEARING | DATE OF PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT | BROADCASTING OUTLET | LOCATION | APPLICATION <br> 1. NAME OF APPLICANT <br> 2. NATURE OF APPLICATION | DECISION | REASONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $69-392$ | Vancouver, B. C. Oct. $14,15,16$, 1969 <br> (ninth Public Hearing) | Nov. 19, 1969 | CATV | Hermitage <br> Club, <br> (near Magog), <br> Que. | 1. Transvision Magog Inc. <br> 2. Application: <br> a) to purchase assets of a CATV system serving Hermitage Club (near Magog) Que., owned by Cablevision de 1'Est Inc. and <br> b) for a licence to carry on that CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Hermitage Club (near Magog), Que. | Approved | The Commission is of the opinion that the proposed transfer of assets and the licensing of the broadcasting undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. |
| 69-394 |  | Dec. 11, 1969 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Radio } \\ A M \text { and } F M \end{gathered}$ | Montreal, Que. | 1. Maisonneuve Broadcasting Corporation Ltd. licensee of stations CKGM and CKGM-FM, Montreal, Que. <br> 2. Application for permission to transfer 1000 common and 8,930 preferred shares of capital stock from Geoffrey W. Stirling to CHUM Limited. | Denied | It was the opinion of the Commission that it would be in the public interest that this station be operated by a licensee with more direct involvement in the social, cultural and economic life of Montreal. The Commission hope that a full exploration will be made to find a purchaser for the station who corresponds to this condition. |
| 69-395 |  | Dec. 11, 1969 | Television | Montreal, Que. | 1. Tele-Metropole <br> Corporation, licensee of station CFTM-TV, Montreal. <br> 2. Application for permission to transfer 279,486 Class "A"; 617,944 Class "B" and 544 Class "A" preferred shares of capital stock ( $77.6 \%$ of the voting shares) <br> from <br> the Estate of the late J.A. De Sève to | Approved | Executors have been appointed by the will of the deceased president of Tele-Metropole Corporation. Executors will control the Board of Directors of the licensee. They, or any remaining group of executors are, according to the will, the persons who may appoint new executors. <br> By this decision the Commission is only approving the transfer of control of the company to the present group of executors. cont'd... |

$\begin{array}{ccc}\text { CRTC } & \text { LOCATION AND } & \text { DATE OF } \\ \text { DECISION } & \text { DATE OF } & \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { NUMBER } & \text { HEARING } & \text { ANNOUNCEME }\end{array}$
APPLICATION

1. NAME OF APPLICANT
2. NATURE OF APPLICAT
3. NATURE OF APPLICATION DECISION

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Messrs. Marcel Faribault, } \\
& \text { Roland Giguère, Léo La- } \\
& \text { voie, Lionel Leroux and } \\
& \text { J. Emile Maheu, testamen- } \\
& \text { tory executors and trustees. }
\end{aligned}
$$ Commission.

Transfer proposed by the will of the deceased president of licensee company should to the public interest.
An application by licensee for a licence
renewal will be dealt with in a separate announcement.
Approved CHUM already owns $50 \%$ of this company. solution under the circumstances.
CHUM already owns $50 \%$ of this company.
Approval appears to be an acceptable
solution under the circumstances.
Condition:
CRTC will maintain a close interest in how
the licensee improves community participation
and safeguards community interest. and safeguards community interes


1. Radio CJCH 920 Limi- ted,
station WCH Halifax,
N.S.
2. Application for
permission to transfer 100 common shares of capital stock ( $50 \%$ ) from
CJCH Limited to CHUM Limited.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Halifax, } \\
& \text { N.S. }
\end{aligned}
$$ Proposed new station will provide a

satisfactory service to viewers in the Conditions: 3. Twin Cities
Dec. 11, 1969
Dec. 23, 1969
Approved
Condition:
Approval conditional that any change among

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Approval conditional that any change an } \\
& \text { executors will require approval of the } \\
& \text { Commission. }
\end{aligned}
$$ area. 1. Conditions specified in licence.

2. Contract to be signed by British Columbia Television Broadcasting System Twin Cities Television Ltd. having the
approval of the Commission. approval of the Commission.
Alternative Service:
In order to provide alternative TV service rapidly to this area, the Commission agreements between these two local station is an acceptable solution under the
circumstances.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \stackrel{5}{0} \\
& \frac{1}{0} \\
& \frac{1}{2} \\
& \stackrel{1}{-1} \\
& \stackrel{\oplus}{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

| CRTC | LOCATION AND |
| :---: | :---: |
| DECISION | DATE OF |
| NUMBER | HEAFING |


| 69-400 | Dec. 23, 1969 | Television | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Caledonia, } \\ & \text { N.S. } \end{aligned}$ | 1. CJCH Ltd. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a new TV broadcasting undertaking at Caledonia, N.S. to receive its programs via microwave and to retransmit those programs on Channel 6 plus, with an effective radiated power of 51,500 watts (video) 10,300 watts (audio), directional antenna, EHAAT 633 feet. | Approved | Proposed new TV rebroadcasting undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Approval subject to conditions specified in licence. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69-401 | Dec. 23, 1969 | Radio-FM | Calgary, Alta. | 1. CHFM-FM (Calgary, <br> Alta.) - Quality FM <br> Limited. <br> 2. Application for permission to transfer assets of station CHFM-FM Calgary, Alta., from <br> Quality FM Ltd. <br> to <br> a company to be incorporated represented by Marshall Harrison and <br> the surrender of the current licence of Quality FM Ltd. and a new licence to be issued to a company to be incorporated represented by Marshall Harrison. | Approved | In the opinion of Commission is sufficient evidence in this application to guarantee that the inherent qualities of FM broadcasting will be developed by the applicant. |
| 69-402 | Dec. 23, 1969 | Television (Network CTV) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Canada - } \\ \text { wide } \end{gathered}$ | 1. CTV Network Ltd. <br> 2. Application re: ownership of stations pf CTV network. | Approved | cont'd... |

application
BROADCASTING 1. NAME OF APPLICANT
October 1969: CRTC reviewed policy after considering representation from the public and interested parties.
 Commission, circumstances surrounding each application and other factors likely to affect overall development of cTV network.

Approved $\quad \begin{aligned} & \text { Licence granted for two-year period, } \\ & \text { subject to conditions specified in ifcence. }\end{aligned}$

[^10] serve the areas "Boundaries".

any transfer of shares referred to which would result
operate an affiliated station.
DATE OF

| CRTC <br> DECISION <br> NUMBER | LOCATION AND <br> DATE OF <br> HEARING |
| :---: | :---: |
| $69-403$ | cont'd... |
|  |  |
| westerly along Route 40 (no |  |




 northwest of Gouin Blvd.

 following along the north shore of the St.Lawrence River to Vict
point on the south side of the Lachine Canal Basin at Route 10 .






 and continuing along 56th Ave. and by direct line (west side) to the north shore of Lac Saint-Louis.

- 1969


## 1. National Cable- vision Limited.

2. (a) Application to
serve areas described
under "Boundaries".
(b) Reception and distribution station WVNY-TV Burlington, Vermont, U.S.A.
Channel 22 .

Que

CATV
23, 1969
a) From the i

Lawrence Rive
Lawrence directly op directly intersect 3 둠 울

$\begin{array}{cc}\text { CRTC } & \text { LOCATION AND } \\ \text { DECISION } \\ \text { DATE OF }\end{array}$
BROADCASTING

DATE OF
APPLICATION

1. NAME OF APPLICANT
2. NATURE OF APPLICAT
3. NAME OF APPLICANT
4. NATURE OF APPLICATION DECISION

| Part of IleJesus and | 1. Video Cable Services | Approved | Licence granted for two-year period. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ltd. |  |  |
| North Shore, |  |  | Conditions specified in 1icence. |
| Que. | 2, Application for a |  |  |
|  | licence to carry on a |  | In the opinion of the Commission the |
|  | CATV broadcasting un- |  | proposed CATV broadcasting undertaking |
|  | dertaking to serve the |  | will provide a satisfactory service to |
|  | the area described under |  | viewers in the area. |
|  | "Boundaries". |  |  |

catv

## Dec. 23, 1969

Boundaries:
Starting from the CNR bridge joining Deux-Montagnes and Laval-sur-le-Lac southerly and easterly around Laval-sur-le-Lac to a point where the Laval-sur-le-Lac town limits meet Rapides Lalemant, northwesterly along the Laval-sur-le-Lac town 1imits (west side) to the southwest corner of the LavalOuest town limits, then northeasterly along the southern boundary of the Laval-Ouest town limits (west side) to Chemin St-Antoine, northeasterly along Chemin St-Antoine (both sides) to Longitude $73^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}$ Latitude $45^{\circ} 33^{\prime} 100^{\prime \prime}$, continuing northeasterly by direct line (north side) to the intersection of Route 11A and Cote St-Elzear, northerly along Route 11A (both sides) to Chemin-de-la-Petite Cote, easterly along Chemin-de-la-Petite cote Route 11A, northerly along Route 11 A (both sides) to Latitude $45^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 14^{\prime \prime}$, easterly by direct line (north side) to Latitude $45^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$ on Montée Meilleur, northerly on Montée Meilleur (both sides) to the intersection of Chemin Equerre and Montée Meilleur, northerly by direct line to the junction (west side) at Longitude $73^{\circ}{ }^{4} 46^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ Latitude $45^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime}$, westerly across Rivière-des-Mille-Iles to Rivière-aux-Chiens, northerly and westerly along the west and south banks of Rivière-aux-Chiens to the Ste-Thérèse city limits, northwesterly along the Ste-Thérèse eastern city limits (west side) to the first CPR rallway tracks, southerly along the CPR railway tracks (east side) to the junction of the two CPR railway tracks, at this junction westerly from the CPR railway tracks by direct line with and continuing along the northern limits of the city of Ste-Thérèse (south side) and continuing by direct line to the Laurentian Autoroute, southeasterly along the Laurentian Autoroute (east side) to Route 29 , southwesterly along Route 29 (both sides) to the eastern town limits of St-Eustache, northwesterly along the eastern town limits of St-Eustache to Latitude 45 34 15 , southwesterly by direct line to Latitude 45 3 52 (south side) on the western limits of the town of St-Eustache, southerly on the western limes of the town of St-Eustache (east side) to the city limits of Deux-Montagnes, westerly along the northern city 1 imits of Deux-Montagnes (south side) Deux-Montagnes to the CNR bridge.
2. Application for a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve the
area described under
"Boundaries".


| $\begin{gathered} \text { CRTC } \\ \text { DECISION } \\ \text { NUMBER } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | LOCATION AND DATE OF HEARING | DATE OF PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT | BROADCASTLNG OUTLET | LOCATION | APPLICATION <br> 1. NAME OF APPLICANT <br> 2. NATURE OF APPLICATION | DECISION | REASONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69-408 |  | Dec. 23, 1969 | CATV | Boucherville Que. | 1. Tele-Cable Boucherville Inc. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve the area described under "Boundaries". | Approved | Licence granted for two-year period. <br> Conditions specified in licence. <br> Proposed CATV broadcasting undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. |
| Boundaries: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From a point on Route 20 and the eastern bank of the St. Lawrence River, easterly along Route 20 (north side) to Boulevard de Mort along Boulevard de Mortagne (west side) to De Normandie Ave., easterly along De Normandie Ave. (north sije), northerly along De N side) to Montarville Ave., southeasterly on Montarville Ave. (north side) to De Normandie Ave., northeasterly along De Normandie side) to De Montbrun Ave., northwesterly along De Montbrun Ave. (southwest side) to Route 3, then northeasterly along Route 3 (no eastern corporate limits of Boucherville, then northwesterly along the eastern corporate limits of Boucherville (southwest side) of the St.Lawrence River, then continuing southerly along the eastern bank of the St.Lawrence River to Route 20 . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 69-409 |  | Dec. 23, 1969 | Television | Mackenzie, B.C. | 1. CKPG Television <br> Limited. <br> Application for a licence to carry on a new TV broadcasting undertaking at Mackenzie, B.C., to receive programs by "off-the-air" pickup from CKPG-TV, Prince George, B.C., and to retransmit those programs on Channel 6, with a transmitter power of .56 watt, directional antenna. | Approved | Proposed new TV broadcasting undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Approval conditional upon station being operated as part of a network operated by the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation. |
| 69-410 |  | Dec. 23, 1969 | CATV | Townships of Vaughan and Markham, Ont. | 1. Richmond Hill Cable TV Limited. <br> 2. App1ication: <br> (a) to change ownership of CATV broadcasting undertaking presently operated by Suburban York Sales Ltd. <br> (b) for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking. | Approved | Licence granted for two-year period, subject to conditions specified in licence; to serve areas described in Decision 69-214. <br> Commission is satisfied that the new owner will maintain a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. |

DATE OF
PUBLIC
APPLICATION

1. NAME OF APPLICANT
2. NATURE OF APPLICAT


CFKB-TV-1
CFKB-TV-2
CFKB-TV-3
CFKB-TV-4
Canoe Mountain
Bralorne, B.C. B.C.
Newcastle Ridge, B.C.
Port Hardy, B.C.
Sointula, B.C. takings.
Red Deer, Alta.
St-Boniface, Mant Current, Sask.
Williams Lake, B.C.
Vancouver, B.C.
Calgary, Alta.
Winnipeg, Man.
Peace River, Alta.
(b) Privately- takings.

## Saskatoon, Sask.

 Victoria, B.C. Lethbridge, Alta
Edmonton, Alta.


Kelowna, B.C.
Brandon, Man. Winnipeg, Man.

CRTC LOCATION AND DATE OF

CFOC-TV
CFQC-TV-1
CFTK-TV
CFTK-TV-1
CFTK-TV-2
CFK-TV-3
CFTK-TV-4
CFTK-TV-5
CFTK-TV-6
CFTK-TV-7
CFTK-TV-8
CFK-TV-9
CFTK-TV-10 CFWS-TV-1
CFWS-TV-2 CHAT-TV
CHAT-TV-1

 CHMS-TV-1
CHMS-TV-2
CHMS-TV-3
 N
1
1
$\vdots$
0
3
 Nm
1
1
1
0
0
0 CJLH-TV

 Saskatoon, Sask.
Stranraer, Sask.
Terrace, B.C.
Prince Rupert, B.C.
Smithers, B.C.
Burns Lake, B.C.
Kildala, B.C.
Kemano, B.C.
Nass Camp, B.C.
Juskatla, B.C.
Mount Parizeau, B.C.
Ocean Falls, B.C.
Houston, B.C.
Falkland, B.C.
Westwold, B.C.
Medicine Hat, Alta.
Pivot, B.C.
Kelowna, B.C.
Penticton, B.C.
Vernon, B.C.
Oliver, B. C.
Salmon Arm, B.C.
Enderby, B.C.
Celista, B.C.
Shaka Lake (Penticton, B.C.)
Canoe B C.
70-1 cont'd...

CKBI-TV
CKBI-TV-1 19
1
1
1
1
1
1
3
0
0号 CKBI-TV-5 CKPG-TV
CKPG-TV-1 N CKRD-TV
CKRD-TV-1
CKRD-TV-2 CKSA-TV

克 CKX-TV-2 CFEN-TV-1 CFFI-TV-1 CFSS-TV CHGP-TV-1 CHID-TV-1 CHKC-TV-1 CHPT-TV-1 CHSS-TV CJWP-TV-1 CkOS-Tv CKSS-TV

CKVS-TV-1 Prince Albert, Sask. Alticane, Sask. North Battleford, Sask.
Greenwater Lake, Sask. Nipawin, Sask. Big River, Sask.
 Fort Fraser, B.C.
 - eziv 'izueg
'uotzeuoioj Lloydmínster, Alta. Meadow Lake, Sask.
Bonnyville, Alta. - eวtv 'วTITAKuuog Brandon, Man.
Foxwarren, Man. Melita, Man. Enderby, B.c. Malakwa, B.c. Carlyle Lake, Carlyle Lake, Sask. Princeton, B.C. Lumby, B.C. Keremeos, B.C. Peachland, B.C. Wynyard, Sask. Waterton Park, Alta. Yorkton, Sask. Baldy Mountain, Man. Moyie, B.c.
DATE OF
PUBLIC
cont'd...

1. NAME OF APPLC OF APPLICATION DECISION
70-1 cont'd...

APPLICATION
REASONS

## ©NV

DATE OF
HEARING
CRTC
DECIS ION
NUMBER

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 70-2 \quad \text { cont'd... } \\
& \text { Reasons for Approval. }
\end{aligned}
$$

(a) The programing, as proposed in the application, is in compliance with the above definition, which is set out in the agreement reached between the Secretary of State and the Council of Ministers of Education, Canada, as announced Dec. 3, 1969.
(b) Examination of available information on relative costs and coverage by various means of transmission for educational programs in Toronto and area have shown that the proposed transmitting undertaking will provide an economical means of transmission for educational purposes.
(c) The Commission has been informed by the two Governments that the necessary funding for the transmission facility will become available. Budgetary provisions for programming operations have been made by Government of Ontario.
(d) Proposed undertaking will provide satisfactory service.
(e) It is in accordance with government policy relating to provision of transmission facilities for educational broadcasting.

| 70-3 | Feb. 4, 1970 | Radio-AM network | Ottawa, Ont. and Montreal, Que. 6904577 | 1. CKOY Limited. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a new network broadcasting undertaking consisting of stations CKOY, Ottawa, Ont. and CFCF, Montreal, Que. to broadcast the Montreal Canadiens NHL hockey games. | Approved | Proposed new network broadcasting undertaking will provide a satisfactory service in the areas it is intended to serve. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 70-4 | Feb. 4, 1970 | Radio-AM <br> network | Toronto, Hamilton, Sarnia, Ottawa, London, Ont. 6904650 | 1. Foster Hewitt Broadcasting Limited. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a new network broadcasting undertaking consist- | Approved | Proposed new network broadcasting undertaking will provide a satisfactory service in the areas it is intended to serve. |
|  |  |  |  | CKFH - Toronto <br> CHAM - Hamilton <br> CKJD - Sarnia <br> CKOY - Ottawa <br> CKSL - London |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Ontario to broadcast the away-from-home hockey games of the Toronto Maple Leafs of the Na tional Hockey League. |  |  |


| CRTC | LOCATION AND |
| :---: | :---: |
| DECISION | DATE OF |
| NUMBER | HEARING |

application

1. Mant of Appurcant

| 70-5 | Feb. 4, 1970 | Radio-FM | Charlottetown, P.E.I. 6903165 | 1. Canadian Broadcasting Corporation. <br> 2. Application for a 1icence to carry on a new FM broadcasting undertaking at Charlottetown, P.E.I. on the frequency of 103.1 MHz with an effective radiated power of 59.2 watts, EHAAT 108 feet, omnidirectional antenna. <br> Approved | Change of antenna site of station CBA from Sackville to Moncton, N.B. resulted in a loss of coverage of that station in the Charlottetown area. This area now receives partial CBC service through the privatelyowned affiliated station. <br> The Commission is satisfied that this approval will bring the full CBC national English language radio - network service to the provincial capital of P.E.I. <br> Conditional on station being operated as part of network operated by the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 70-6 | Feb. 4, 1970 | Television | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bonne Bay, } \\ & \text { Nf1d. } \\ & 6902662 \end{aligned}$ | 1. Canadian Broadcasting Approved Corporation. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a new TV broadcasting undertaking at Bonne Bay, Nfld. to receive its programs by off-the-air pickup from station CBYT Corner Brook, Nf1d. and to retransmit those programs on Channel 2, with an effective radiated power of 3,070 watts (video) 610 watts (audio) directional antenna, EHAAT 203 feet. | Proposed new TV broadcasting undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Approval subject to conditions in licence. <br> Approval conditional on station being operated as part of CBC network. |
| 70-7 | Feb. 4, 1970 | Television | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Churchill } \\ & \text { Fal1s, } \\ & \text { Nfld. } \\ & 6903991 \end{aligned}$ | 1. Canadian Broadcasting Approved Corporation. <br> 2. Application for a 1icence to carry on a new French language TV broadcasting undertaking (Frontier Package) at Churchill Falls, Nf1d. on Channel 13, with transmitter power of 5 watts, to broadcast CBC programs on a delay basis. | Proposed station will provide a similar service in the French language to the one al ready available in the Eng1ish language in Churchill Falls, Nf1d. |

[^11]| 70-8 | Feb. 4, 1970 | Television | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Deer Lake, } \\ & \text { Nf1d. } \\ & 6900856 \end{aligned}$ | 1. Newfoundland Broadcasting Co. Ltd. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a new TV broadcasting at Deer Lake, Nfld. to receive its programs by "off-the-air" pickup from station CJON-TV-1, Corner Brook, Nfld., and to retransmit those programs on Channel 7, with a transmitter power of five watts, directional antenna. | Approved | Proposed new TV rebroadcasting undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> Subject to conditions specified in licence. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 70-9 | Feb. 4, 1970 | Television | $\begin{aligned} & \text { St.John's, } \\ & \text { Nf1d. } \\ & 6900971 \end{aligned}$ | 1. Newfound1 and Broadcasting Co. Ltd. <br> 2. Application for a 1ifence to carry on a new TV broadcasting undertaking at St.John's, Nfld. to receive its programs by "off-the-air" pickup from station CJONTV, St.John's, Nf1d. and to retransmit those programs on Channel 10, with a transmitter power of five watts, directional antenna. | Approved | Proposed station will improve the service in a certain area of St.John's, Nfld. which was not adequately served. |
| 70-10 | Feb. 4, 1970 | LPRT | Chapais, Que. 6904445 | 1. Canadian Broadcasting Corporation. <br> 2. Application to amend broadcasting 1icence for LPRT, CBMD Chapais, Oue. as follows: | Approved | Proposed amendment of licence will provide a satisfactory service to listeners in the area. |


| CRTC <br> DECISION NUMBER | LOCATION AND <br> DATE OF <br> HEARING | $\begin{gathered} \text { DATE OF } \\ \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { ANNOUNCEMENT } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { BROADCASTING } \\ \text { OUTLET } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | LOCATION | APPLICATION <br> 1. NAME OF APPLICANT <br> 2. NATURE OF APPLICATION | DECISION | REASONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 70-11 |  | Feb. 4, 1970 | CATV | Wainwright, Alta. $6904270$ | 1. Brian Lewis Iverson. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Wainwright, Alta. | Approved | Satisfactory service proposed. <br> Licence granted for two-year period, subject to conditions specified in licence. |
| 70-12 |  | Feb. 4, 1970 | CATV | Camp Borden, Alliston and Angus, Ont. 6802516 | 1. Borden Cable Television Ltd. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Camp Borden, Alliston, and Angus, Ont. | Approved | Satisfactory service proposed. <br> Licence granted for two-year period, subject to conditions specified in licence. |
| $70-13$ |  | Feb. 4, 1970 | CATV | Gore Bay, Ont. <br> 6805436 | 1. Harold A. Ednie and MacQuarrie Motors Ltd. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Gore Bay, Ont. | Approved | Satisfactory service proposed. <br> Licence granted for two-year period, subject to conditions specified in licence. |
| 70-14 |  | Feb. 4, 1970 | CATV | Grimsby, Ont. 6802524 | 1. Grimsby Cable TV Ltd. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Grimsby, Ont. | Approved | Satisfactory service proposed. <br> Licence granted for two-year period, subject to conditions specified in licence. |
| 70-15 |  | Feb. 4, 1970 | CATV | Hornepayne, Ont. $6902670$ | 1. Television Hornepayne Ltd. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve the town of Hornepayne, Ont. | Approved | Satisfactory service proposed. <br> Licence granted for two-year period, subject to conditions specified in licence. |

BROADCASTING APPLICATION

| CRTC DECISION NUMBER | LOCATION AND DATE OF HEARING | date of PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT | BROADCASTING OUTLET | LOCATION | APPLICATION <br> 1. NAME OF APPLICANT <br> 2. NATURE OF APPLICATION | DECISION | REASONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 70-16 |  | Feb. 4, 1970 | CATV | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Kenora, Ont. } \\ & 6802201 \end{aligned}$ | 1. Kenora Cable Vision Limited. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Kenora, Ont. area. | Approved | Satisfactory service proposed. <br> Licence granted for two-year period, subject to conditions specified in licence. |
| 70-17 |  | Feb. 4, 1970 | CATV | Pembroke, Petawawa, Ont. 6801674 | 1. Pembroke Cablevision Limited. <br> 2. Application to amend its CATV broadcasting undertaking licence for Pembroke, Ont. to extend its service area to include Petawawa and Camp Petawawa area, Ont. with program distribution as presently authorized. | Approved | Satisfactory service proposed. <br> Licence granted for two-year period, subject to conditions specified in licence. |
| 70-18 |  | Feb. 4, 1970 | CATV | Ascot Corner and Ascot Nord, Que. 6804082 | 1. Normand Paré. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Ascot Corner and Ascot Nord, Que. | Approved | Satisfactory service proposed. <br> Licence granted for two years. <br> Conditions to be specified in licence. |
| 70-19 |  | Feb. 4, 1970 | CATV | Baie-Comeau, Que. 6802227 | 1. Isidore Beaudoin. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Baie-Comeau, Que. | Approved | Satisfactory service proposed. <br> Licence granted for two years. <br> Conditions to be specified in licence. |


| CRTC DECISION NUMBER | LOCATION AND DATE OF HEAPING | DATE OF PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT | $\begin{gathered} \text { BROADCASTING } \\ \text { OUTLET } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | LOCATION $\quad 1$ | 1. NAME OF APPLICANT <br> 2. NATURE OF APPLICATION | DECISION | REASONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 70-20 |  | Feb. 4, 1970 | CATV | Cap-de-la- 1 <br> Madeleine, $I$ <br> Que.  <br> 6801468 V | 1. Transvision Eastern Townships Ltd. - Transvision des Cantons de 1'Est Ltée. <br> 2. Application to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Cap-de-1a-Madeleine, Que. | Approved | Satisfactory service proposed. <br> Licence granted for two years. <br> Conditions to be specified in licence. |
| 70-21 |  | Feb. 4, 1970 | CATV | Dolbeau, Que. 6802284 | 1. Dolbeau TV Service Ltd. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Dolbeau, Que. | Approved | Satisfactory service proposed. <br> Licence granted for two years. <br> Conditions to be specified in licence. |
| 70-22 |  | Feb. 4, 1970 | CATV | Lennoxville, Que. $6804397$ | 1. Lennoxville Transvision Inc. <br> 2. Application for licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Lennoxville, Que. | Approved | Satisfactory service proposed. <br> Licence granted for two years. <br> Conditions to be specified in licence. |
| 70-23 |  | Feb. 4, 1970 | CATV | Notre-Dame-des-Anges, Que. | 1. Paquin and Martel TV Enrg. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Notre-Dame-des-Anges, Que. | Approved | Satisfactory service proposed. <br> Licence granted for two years. <br> Conditions to be specified in licence. |
| 70-24 |  | Feb. 4, 1970 | CATV | La Pocatière Que. 6801120 | 1. Chouinard Télévision Ltée. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a | Approved | Satisfactory service proposed. <br> Licence granted for two years. <br> Conditions to be specified in licence. |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { CRTC } \\ \text { DECISION } \\ \text { NUMBER } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | LOCATION AND DATE OF HEARING | DATE OF PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT | BROADCASTING OUTLET | LOCATION | APPLICATION <br> 1. NAME OF APPLICANT <br> 2. NATURE OF APPLICATION | DECISION | REASONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 70-24 | cont'd... |  |  |  | CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve La Pocatière, Que. |  |  |
| 70-25 |  | Feb. 4, 1970 | CATV | Port Alfred, Que. $6802367$ | 1. Video Dery Ltée. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Port Alfred, Que. | Approved | Satisfactory service proposed. <br> Licence granted for two years. <br> Conditions specified in licence. |
| 70-26 |  | Feb. 4, 1970 | CATV | Ste-Marthe, Que. $6804058$ | 1. Société Télévision Ste-Marthe Enrg. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve SteMarthe, Que. | Approved | Satisfactory service proposed. Licence granted for two years. Conditions specified in licence. |
| 70-27 |  | Feb. 4, 1970 | CATV | St-Come, Que. | 1. Kennebec Video Enrg. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve StCome, Que. | Approved | Satisfactory service proposed. <br> Licence granted for two years. <br> Conditions specified in licence. |
| 70-28 |  | Feb. 4, 1970 | CATV | St-Prosper, Que. $6802482$ | 1. St-Prosper Télévision Enrg. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve StProsper, Que. | Approved | Satisfactory service proposed. Licence granted for two years. Conditions specified in licence. |
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REASONS

| Approved $\quad$Commission is satified the licensee under <br> proposed ownership will maintain service |
| :--- |

1. Greg-May Broadcast-
ing Limited (licensee
of CKLY Lindsay).
2. Application for
3. Application for

20,000 common shares
( $50 \%$ of capital stock
in Vic-Hal Assoctates
Ltd., a shareholder
Broadcasting Limited,
which would result in
the following share
Hal Associates Ltd.
J. A. McNabb $\quad 13,600$ L.A. Wetmore $\quad 4,600$
B. Roskies 4,000 $\begin{array}{ll}\text { B. Bagshaw } & 4,600 \\ \text { J. Bagshaw } & 4,600 \\ \text { Aiex Barris } & 4,000\end{array}$

Ltd.
Ltd.

Lindsay,


Radio

Feb. 4, 1970
Feb. 4, 1970
Radio Owen Sound,

1. Grey and Bruce Broad-
casting Co. Ltd.
2. Application for
permission to transfer
1200 common shares of
1200 common shares of
capital stock ( $80 \%$ )
from the present share-
holders to a company
to be incorporated
(William Hawkins Ltd.) to be represented by
William N. Hawkins, 1
common qualifying
share to be held by each of:

Donald T. Fleming;
Charles J. McTavish;

Ralph T. Snelgrove;
Harold L. Van Wyck,

6904437

是
$\begin{array}{cc}\text { CRTC } & \text { LOCATION } \\ \text { DECISION } & \text { DATE OF } \\ \text { NUMBER } & \text { HEARING }\end{array}$
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DATE OF
PUBLIC

NOILVOITddV
NAME OF APPLICANT

1. NAME OF APPLICANT
2. NATURE OF APPLICATION DECISION

Approved
Comisission is satisfied new owners will maintain services being given subscribers
by the CATV system.

1. Synrock Cablevision
Limited.
2. Application for:
1) Permission to trans-
fer the assets of Syn-
rock Cablevision Limited,
licensee of a CATV system
at Rockland, Ont. to
Bushne 11 Communications
Bushne11 Communications
Ltd.
i1) Surrender of the
current 11 cence by
Synrock Cablevision
Ltd.
iii) New Ifcence to be
issued to Bushnell
Communications Ltd.
1. Sarnia Broadcasting
(1964) Limited.
2. Application for
fer 2,500 common and
5,000 preferred
shares of capital
stock (all issued
shares) from
present shareholders
to IWC Electronics
and Telecommunica-
and Telecommunica-
tions Limited, 1
common qualifying
share to be held
by each of :
G.D. Zimmerman;
J.G. Torrance.
3. Beloeil Trans-
idsion Inc.
4. Application for
1) Permission to
transfer the assets

Commission satisfied newcomers will
maintain service being given to subscribers by CATV system.

Approved

 Beloeil,
McMaster-
ville, P.Q.
6901268

Radio
恳

Feb. 4, 1970
Feb. 4, 1970
transfer the assets
of CATV broadcasting
'puetxooy MI*O


怎

DATE OF
PUBLICEMENT
Feb. 4, 1970
8
$\dot{8}$
$\dot{0}$
$\dot{0}$
0

LOCATION AND
CRTC
DECISION
NUMBER
SE-OL
36
$-37$

| CRTC | LOCATION AND | DATE OF |  |  | APPLICATION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DECISION | DATE OF | PUBLIC | BROADCASTING |  | 1. NAME OF APPLICA |
| NUMBER | HEARING | ANNOUNCEMENT | OUTLET | LOCATION | 2. NATURE OF APPLIC |

1. NAME OF APPLICANT
70-37 cont'd... $\left.\begin{array}{ll}\text { undertaking from Beloell } \\ \text { Transvision Inc. to } \\ \text { Videotron Ltée. }\end{array}\right]$

| 70-39 | Feb. 19, 1970 | Radio-FM | Vancouver, B.C. | 1. CKLG and CKLG-FM, <br> 2. Application for licence renewal of each of the abovementioned broadcasting undertakings for the period April 1 , 1970 to March 31, 1972. | Approved | Programming was identified as youth-oriented. Commission expects clarification by management of its responsibilities concerning the needs of the audience it intends to serve. <br> Each licence will be subject to the conditions specified in the licence and to the Direcrion on ownership. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 70-40 | Feb. 19, 1970 | Radio-FM | Vancouver, B.C. | 1. $\mathrm{CHOM}-\mathrm{FM}$. <br> 2. Application for licence renewal of CHQM-FM, Vancouver, B.C. for the period April 1, 1970 to March 31, 1972. | Approved | The Commission expects CHQM-FM to proceed as soon as possible in extending programming separate and distinct from its programing on CHQM (AM). <br> This licence will be subject to the conditions specified in the licence and to the Direction on ownership. |
| 70-41 | Feb. 27, 1970 | Television | Windsor, Ont. 6904494 | 1. Western Ontario Broadcasting Company Limited., Iicensee of stations CKLW, CKLWFM and CKLV-TV, Windsor. <br> 2. Application for: <br> i) Permission to transfer the assets of station CKLW-TV Windsor, Ont. from Western Ontario Broadcasting Company Limited to <br> a company to be incorporated represented by G.V. Ashworth; <br> ii) The surrender of the current licence by Western Ontario Broadcasting Co. Ltd. <br> iii) A new licence to be issued to a company to be incorporated represented by G.V. Ashworth. | Intended (Approval is conditional) | After examination of the proposal, the Commission decided: <br> to grant a five-year licence to a company to be incorporated - St.Clair River Broadcasting Limited - conditional upon: <br> a) Shares of capital stock in the licensee company being issued only to Baton Broadcasting Company and the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation. <br> b) The CBC holding a minimum of $25 \%$ of these shares. <br> c) The CBC having adequate representation on the board of directors of the licensee company. <br> d) The final ratio of CBC shares to the total issued shares being subject to approval by the Commission. |

In the opinion of the Commission these companies, both with strong interests in Canadian broadcasting should not have joint ownership interests in
this broadcasting undertaking. In keeping with the goal of providing a "national broadcasting service" operated by the CBC, the Commission's opinion was it should have an outlet in Windsor, Ont. the present arrangement will allow the combination of CBC and CTV program resources should be useful,

Commission grants both 11 cences for a threeyear period, subject to the programming and other conditions which will be specified in
the licences. Programming conditions will require the licensee to provide a program pattern serving the needs of the community and different from the patterns of the other radio stations in Winnipeg.

Commission is satisfied that the new licensee will make a contribution in broadening the
choice for radio listeners in the Winnipeg area.

APPLICATION
The Commission will approve the sale and issue an announcement granting the licence only when the above conditions have been fulfilled.
Licence to be issued will be subject to usual conditions to be specified in the lifence.

## Specific condition.

Specific condition:
a) station is to be affiliated with the CBC network.
b) a special arrangement be made to carry CTV and other programs to complete the program schedule.

## Reasons for Conditions:

Application proposed a company which would be owned $50 \%$ by Baton Broadcasting Limited and $50 \%$ by Maclean-Hunter. Winnipeg,
Man.

SITGNd
a 0 glva
$\begin{array}{ccc}\text { PUBLIC } & \text { BROADCASTING } & \\ \text { ANNOUNCEMENT } & \text { OUTLET } & \text { LOCATION }\end{array}$
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## 70-41 cont'd...

Implementation readline:
Expecting these conditions Additional Conditions:

Reasons for Conditions
Application proposed a
in the present circum

## Radio-AM

42
70

1. C.J.O.R. Limited. he-ofpey

## -

Winnipeg,
Man.
6905657
L595069
APPLICATION

1. NAME OF APPLICANT
2. NATURE OF APPLICATION DECISION
3. Application by:
i) to acquire the assets
of broadcasting under-
peg, Man., from C.H.
Flintoft, trustee of
Flintoft, trustee of
the estate of Radio
Winnipeg Ltd., a bank-
rupt; and
ii) for a licence to
carry on broadcasting
Winnipeg, Man., upon
surrender of the cur-
rent licence issued
Radio Winnipeg Ltd.
 Cable Television of the Canadian Foot-
ball League games in areas where local ball League games in areas where local
television stations are specifically blacked out.
Reasons:
The Commission is always concerned when mechanics of distribution seriously threaten any form of Canadian programCanadian broadcasting system.

| CRTC | LOCATION AND | DATE OF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DECISION | DATE OF | PUBLIC |
| NUMBER | HEARING | ANNOUNCEME |

APPLICATION

1. NAME OF APPLICANT
2. NATVRE OF APPLICA
REASONS
Denied See Appendix III.
Denied Appendix III.
See Appendix III.
Denied


Denied

1. North Star Broad-
casting Limited.
2. Application for a new TV broadcasting un-
dertaking at Sudbury,
with an effective radia-
ted power of 126,000
watts (video), 25,300
LVWHG ‘eunazue feuoft
APPLICATION
REASONS







REASONS
application
DATE OF
PUBLIC
AROADCASTING
BROADCASTING
OUTLET location 2. NATURE OF APPLICATION DECISION $^{\text {2. }}$
3. South Aberdeen Cable Approved

TV Limited.
2. Application to amend
the incence authorizing
the carrying on of a
broadcasting receiving
undertaking (CATV) in
Hamilton, ont. to show
a change in name of the
1icensee from South
Aberdeen Cable TV Limi-
ted to Maclean-Hunter
Cable TV Limited with
no effective change in
ownership.
Approved

Application to amend
his CATV broadcasting undertaking licence for Stephen-Milltown, discontinue the reception and distribution of programs from stations
TV Moncton, N.B., CJCH-TV-1

Canning, N.S., and to add
the reception of the programs of station CKLT-TV Saint John,
n.b.

Approved

1. Canadian Broadcasting
Corporation.
2. Application for autho-
rity to operate its existing
transmitting equipment at
Sackville, N. B., on the fre-
quency of $11,905 \mathrm{KHz}$. Sackville,
N.B.

Radio-AM

March 25, 1970
March 25, 1970
䂞
6904403

CATV
$\underset{\substack{\text { ant } \\ 696051}}{\text { fors }}$
'uaчdə7s.7S ALVO 8
9
9
4
$\dot{4}-8$
 N.B.
690440

LOCATION AND
DATE OF
HEARING

| CRTC |
| :--- |
| DECISION |
| NUMBER |

70-47
옹

## 70-48

70-49

| $\begin{gathered} \text { CRTC } \\ \text { DECISION } \\ \text { NUMBER } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | LOCATION AND DATE OF HEARING | DATE OF PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT | BROADCASTING OUTLET | LOCATION | APPLICATION <br> 1. NAME OF APPLICANT <br> 2. NATURE OF APPLICATION | DECISION | REASONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 70-50 |  | March 25, 1970 | Radio-AM | Stephenville, Nfld. $6904932$ | 1. Humber Valley Broadcasting Company Limited. <br> 2. Application for authority to establish studios for station CFSX Stephenville, Nfld., at 251 Orgeon Ave., Stephenville, Nfld. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission, the proposed studios will contribute to the maintenance of a satisfactory service to 1isteners in the area. |
| 70-51 |  | March 25, 1970 | Radio-AM | ```Montreal, Que. 6905996 and 6 9 0 6 0 0 2``` | 1. Montreal Baseball Club Ltd. <br> 2. Application to amend the licences authorizing the carrying on of an AM English language radio network and an AM French language radio network to show a change in the 1icensee from Montreal Baseball Club Ltd. to Expos Broadcasting Network Ltd. with no effective change in ownership. | Approved |  |
| 70-52 |  | March 25, 1970 | Television | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bella Coola, } \\ & \text { B.C. } \\ & 6805147 \end{aligned}$ | 1. Bella Coola Valley TV Society. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a TV broadcasting undertaking to receive programs by off-the-air pickup from CFTK-TV-8 Mt.Parizeau, B.C. and to retransmit those programs on Channel 9 at King Island and on Channel 11 at Bella Coola, B.C., with a power of 1 watt and 5 watts respectively. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission, the proposed TV broadcasting undertaking will provide service to viewers in the area. <br> This approval is subject to the conditions which will be specified in the licence. <br> This approval is also conditional upon the station being operated as part of a network operated by the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation. |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { CRTC } \\ \text { DECISION } \\ \text { NUMBER } \end{gathered}$ | Location and DATE OF HEARING | DATE OF PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT | BROADCASTING OUTL ET | LOCATION | application <br> 1. NAME OF APPLICANT <br> 2. NATURE OF APPLICATION | DECISION | REASONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 70-53 |  | March 25, 1970 | Television | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cape Broyle, } \\ & \text { Nf1d. } \\ & 6904668 \end{aligned}$ | 1. The Cape Broyle Television Improvement Association. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a new TV broadcasting undertaking at Cape Broyle, Nfld., to receive its programs by off-the-air pickup from station CJON-TV St.John's, Nfld. and to retransmft those programs on Channel 13, with a transmitter power of five watts, directional antenna. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission, the proposed TV broadcasting undertaking will provide service to viewers in the area. <br> This approval is subject to the conditions shich will be specified in the licence. |
| 70-54 |  | March 25, 1970 | Television | Cape Broyle, Nf1d. <br> 6904908 | 1. The Cape Broyle Television Improvement Association. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a new TV broadcasting undertaking at Cape Broyle, Nfld., to receive its programs by off-the-air pickup from station CBNT St. John's, Nf1d., and to retransmit those programs on Channel 3, with a transmitter power of five watts, directional antenna. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission, the proposed TV broadcasting undertaking will provide service to viewers in the area. <br> This approval is subject to the conditions which will be specified in the 11 cence. <br> This approval is also conditional upon the station being operated as part of a network operated by the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation. |
| 70-55 |  | March 25, 1970 | Television | Trepassey, Nf1d. $6904890$ | 1. Trepassey Television Association Limited. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a new TV broadcasting undertaking at Trepassey, Nfld., to receive its programs by off-the-air pickup | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission, the proposed TV broadcasting undertaking will provide a satisfactory service to viewers in the area. <br> This approval is subject to the conditions which will be specified in the licence. |

Licence renewals for period April 1, 1970 Conditions:

Subject to conditions specified in licence.
Also conditional that the licensee company shall apply on or before Sept. 1, 1970
 Call Letters Approved


[^12]CRTC LOCATTON AND DATE OF APPLICATION
DATE OF
PUBLIC
cont'd...
$70-55$
$i_{n}$
ㅇ
Call Letters


Saint John, N.B.
Ottawa, Ont.
London, Ont.
Toronto, Ont.
Brampton, Ont.
Halifax, N.S.
Quebec, Que.
Toronto, Ont.
Kitchener, Ont.
Belleville, Ont.
Sydney, N.S.
Montreal, Que.
Sault Ste. Marie, Ont.
Montreal, Que.
Cornwall, Ont.
Sault Ste. Marie, ont.
Hamilton, Ont.
Toronto, Ont.
TImmins, Ont.
Kingston, Ont.
Brantford, Ont.
Port Arthur, Ont.
Oshawa, Ont.
St. Catharines, Ont.
Verdun, Que.
Kentville, N.S.
Kingston, Ont.
owned FM
stations
-


|  | APPLICATION |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | 1．NAME OF APPLCANT |
| LOCATION | 2．NATURE OF APPLICAT |

OUTLET LOCATION 2．NATURE OF APPLICATION DECISION

> Call Letters
 CFGW－TV－1
CFPL－TV
CHAU－TV
CHAU－TV－1
CHAUTV－2
CHAU－TV－3
CHAU－TV－4告这 둘 R屚

古 ？竞 | E |
| :---: |
| 㐫 |
| 2 |
| 3 |
| 3 | 2

年尼 1

 for each of the following broadcasting
 subject to the conditions specified in the ifcence．
application

1. NAME OF APPLICANT NATURE OF APPLICATION DECISION

| CRTC <br> DECISION <br> NUMBER | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LOCATION AND } \\ & \text { DATE OF } \\ & \text { HER RING } \end{aligned}$ | DATE OF PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT | BROADCASTING OUTLET |  <br> LOCATION | APPLICATION <br> 1. NAME OF APPLICANT <br> 2. NATURE OF APPLICATION | DECISION | REASONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 70-56 | cont'd... |  | Privatelyowned TV stations | Cloridorme, Que Minden, Ont. <br> Mont-Louis, Que Causapscal, Que Cabano, Que. | Call Letters $\begin{aligned} & \text { CHAU-TV-8 } \\ & \text { CHEX-TV-2 } \\ & \text { CKBL-TV-4 } \\ & \text { CKBL-TV-5 } \\ & \text { CKRT-TV-4 } \end{aligned}$ |  | The Commission grants a 11 cence renewal for each of the following broadcasting undertakings for the period April 1, 1972 to March 31, 1973. Each 1icence will be subject to the conditions specified in the licence. |
| 70-57 |  | March 25, 1970 | CATV | Courtenay, Comox, Cumberland, Comox Valley, B.C. <br> 6803654 | 1. C. - C. T. V. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Courtenay, Comox, Cumberland, Comox Valley, B.C. and surrounding area. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission, the proposed CaTV broadcasting undertaking will provide service to the subscribers in the area. |
| 70-58 |  | March 25, 1970 | Television | Hotchkiss, Battle River, Paddle Prairie, Basset Lake, Boyer River, Alta. $6904965$ | 1. Alberta Broadcasting Corporation Limited. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a TV broadcasting undertaking to receive the programs of the CBC-TV network, by "off-the-air" pickup from station CBXAT-3, Manning, Alta., and to retransmit those programs on Channel 9 at Hotchkiss, Alta., on Channe1 2 at Battle River, Alta., on Channel 8 at Paddle Prairie, Alta., on Channel 5 at Basset Lake, Alta., and on Channel 5 at Boyer River, Alta., each with a transmitter power of 5 watts, directional antenna. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission, the proposed TV rebroadcasting undertaking will provide service to viewers in the area. |

[^13]| $\begin{gathered} \text { CRTC } \\ \text { DECISION } \\ \text { NUMBER } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LOCATION AND } \\ & \text { DATE OF } \\ & \text { HEARING } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DATE OF } \\ \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { ANNOUNCEMENT } \end{gathered}$ | BROADCASTING OUTLET | LOCATION | APPLICATION <br> 1. NAME OF APPLICANT <br> 2. NATURE OF APPLICATION | DECISION | REASONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 70-59 |  | March 25, 1970 | Television | Hotchkiss, <br> Battle River, <br> Paddle <br> Prairie, <br> Basset Lake, <br> Boyer River, <br> Alta. <br> 6904957 | 1. Alberta Broadcasting Corporation Limited. <br> 2. Application for a 1icence to carry on a TV broadcasting underto receive the programs of the CTV network by "off-the-air" pickup from station CFRN-TV-2 Peace River, Alta., and to retransmit those programs on Channel 6 at Hotchkiss, Alta., on Channe1 4 at Battle River, Alta., on Channel 10 at Paddle Prairie, Alta., on Channel 3 at Basset Lake, Alta., and on Channel 3 at Boyer River, Alta., each with a transmitter power of 5 watts, directional antenna. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission, the proposed TV rebroadcasting undertaking will provide service to viewers in the area. |
| 70-60 |  | March 25, 1970 | CATV | Rainbow <br> Lake, High Level, Alta. $6904718$ | 1. Alberta Broadcasting Corporation Limited. <br> 2. Application for a licence to carry on a CATV broadcasting undertaking to serve Rainbow Lake and High Level, Alta. | Approved | In the opinion of the Commission, the broadcasting receiving undertaking will provide service to viewers in the area. <br> The Commission grants a licence for a twoyear period, subject to the conditions which will be specified in the licence. |
| 70-61 |  | March 25, 1970 | Television | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Victoria, } \\ & \text { B.C. } \end{aligned}$ | 1. CHEK-TV, Victoria, B.C. <br> 2. Application for renewal of licence of CHEK-TV, Victoria, B.C. | Approved | This 1icence expires on March 31, 1970. Pending consideration of this licence, the Commission has decided to extend its expiry date by six months. Therefore, the aboye-mentioned licence is extended until September 30, 1970. <br> The Commission, by this extension of six months, will have the possibility of hearing both CHEK-TV Victoria, B.C. and CHAN-TV Vancouver, B.C., at the Public Hearing commencing April 14, 1970 in Ottawa. |

APPLICATION

1. NAME OF APPLICANT
2. NATURE OF APPLICATION DECISION
Approved
REASONS


| CRTC DECISION NUMBER | LOCATION AND DATE. OF HEAFING | DATE OF PUBLIC <br> ANNOUNCEMENT | BROADCASTING OUTLET | LOCATION | APPLICATION <br> 1. NAME OF APPLICANT <br> 2. NATURE OF APPLICATION | DECISION | REASONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 70-66 |  | March 25, 1970 | CATV | Burlington, Ont. 6905343 | 1. Metro Cab1e TV <br> Limited. <br> 2. Application for authority to amend its Burlington CATV 1icence to extend the service area and to add reception and distribution of fifteen additional FM stations and local originations. |  | The extension of the service area is DENIED. The addition of FM stations and local originations is APPROVED. <br> In July 1969, the Commission allocated a specific service area to the licensee and does not intend to enlarge the area covered by this licence at this time. |
| 70-67 |  | March 25, 1970 | CATV | Part of Metro Toronto, Ont. 6905087 | 1. Metro Cab1e TV Limited. <br> 2. Application for authority to amend its Toronto CATV licence to extend the service area to parts of the Borough of North York in Metropolitan Toronto and to add reception and distribution of fifteen additional FM stations. |  | The extension of the service area is DENIED. The addition of FM stations is APPROVED. <br> In July 1969, the Commission allocated a specific service area to the licensee and does not intend to enlarge the area covered by this licence at this time. |
| 70-68 |  | March 25, 1970 | CATV | Part of <br> Metro <br> Toronto, Ont. <br> 6903843 | 1. York Cablevision <br> Limited. <br> 2. Application for authority to amend its Toronto CATV 1icence to extend the service area to parts of the Boroughs of Scarborough and North York in Metropolitan Toronto, and to add local originations. |  | The extension of the service area is DENIED. The addition of local originations is APPROVED. <br> In July 1969, the Commission allocated a specific service area to the licensee and does not intend to enlarge the area covered by this licence at this time. |
| 70-69 |  | March 25, 1970 | Radio | Calgary, Alta. 6904536 | 1. Bentley Broadcasting Company Ltd., 1icensee of broadcasting undertaking CHQR, Calgary, Alta. | Approved | Having heard the plans of the applicant concerning the arrangement for the representation from Calgary on the Board of Directors of the licensee company, the Commission expects that the following commitments will be carried out: |


| CRTC DECISION NUMBER | ```LOCATION AND ``` | DATE OF <br> PUBLIC <br> ANNOUNCEMENT | BROADCASTING OUTLET | LOCATION | APPLICATION <br> 1. NAME OF APPLICANT <br> 2. NATURE OF APPLICATION | DECISION | REASONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 70-69 | cont'd... |  |  |  | 2. Application for permission to transfer all the issued shares from the present shareholders to Western Broadcasting Company Ltd. |  | - that Mr. Ted Soskin will be on the Board of Directors of Bentley Broadcasting Company Ltd.; <br> - that the management shall continue to operate the station as part of its community and shall make every effort to make sure that the station remains and grows as a vital element in the life of its service area. |
| 70-70 |  | March 25, 1970 | Radio-AM | Calgary, Alta. | 1. $\mathrm{CHQR}, \mathrm{Calgary}, \mathrm{Alta}$. <br> 2. Application for <br> licence renewal of station CHQR, Calgary, Alta. | Approved | The Commssion grants a licence renewal for the period from April 1, 1970 to March 31, 1973. |
| 70-71 |  | March 25, 1970 | Radio-AM | Ottawa, Ont. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1. Radio Station CKPM, } \\ & \text { Ottawa, Ont. } \end{aligned}$ | Approved | The licence is renewed only until December 31, 1970. |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2. Application for <br> licence renewal of <br> station CKPM, Ottawa. Ont. |  | Ownership and control of the licensee company has not been clarified to the satisfaction of the Commission. The evidence heard at the Commission Hearings of November 1968 and February 1970 has not satisfied the Commission that the principals of the licensee company have retained either management or financial control of the station. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | In the opinion of the Commission, the licensee has not demonstrated his ability to carry out its responsibilities under the Broadcasting Act. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Commission has decided that this frequency, which is in the public domain, will be subject to re-assignment. |
| 70-72 |  | March 25, 1970 | 0 Radio-AM | Toronto, Ont. | 1. Radio Station CHIN, Toronto, Ont. | Approved | The licence is renewed only until December 31, 1970. |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2. Application for <br> 1icence renewal of station CHIN, Toronto, Ont. |  | cont'd... |

DATE OF
BROADCASTING 1. NAME OF APPLICANT

1. NAME OF APPLICANE OF APPLICATION DECISION main, will be subject to re-assignment.
2. CHFM-FM, Calgary, Approved $\frac{\text { The licence is renewed only until }}{\text { December } 31,1970}$.
3. Application for
4. Application for licence renewal of
Station CHFM-FM,
Calgary, Alta.
I. CKCV, Quebec,
Que. Station CHFM-FM,
Calgary, Alta.
5. CKCV, Quebec,
Que.

70-73 Station CHFM-FM,
Calgary, Alta.

1. CKCV, Quebec,
Que. main, will be subject to re-assignment.
2. CHFM-FM, Calgary, Approved $\frac{\text { The licence is renewed only until }}{\text { December } 31,1970}$.
3. Application for

The evidence introduced at the Public will be subject to re-assignment.

The shareholders of the licensee company are not precluded from applying for the licence.
cont'd...

Reasons:
The Comission will maintain the policy that this frequency should be used for programs serving the needs of the diversed language groups in the
coverage area.
Shareholder
Shareholder disputes have not been resolved and the expectations of the Commission set out in its Announcement dated November 5 , 1969 , have not been
fulfilled. In the opinion of the Commission, these disputes affect the operations of the station. It is also the opinion of the Commission that the lack
Shareholder disputes have not been resolved and the expectations of the Commission set out
c do

Wh-Otpey
March 25, 1970 Radio-FM
March 25, $1970 \quad$ Radio-AM

[^14]The Commission grants a licence renewal
for the period April 1, 1970 to March 31,
1973 .

1. CKCV, Quebec,
Que.
2. Application for
licence renewal of
Radio Station CKCV,
Quebec, Que. Hearing of February 1970 demonstrated that the licensee company had not retained
the station and had not advised the
Commission of this fact during the October

 the operations of a broadcasting under-
taking while an application for change
before the Commission. The Commission
is convinced that the operations of a broadcasting station, in such circumstances,
must however remain, for the interim
period, within the control of the lic
The Commission has decided that this
frequency, which is in the public domain,
cont'd...

$$
1973 .
$$

0
0
0
0
0
0
0
March 25, 1970 Radio-AM Quebec

NOILVOITAdF

1. NAME OF APPLICANT

REASONS


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CRTC } \\ & \text { DECISION } \\ & \text { NUMBER } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LOCATION AND } \\ & \text { DATE OF } \\ & \text { HEARING } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | DATE OF PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT | BROADCASTING OUTLET LOCATION | APPLICATION <br> 1. NAME OF APPLICANT <br> 2. NATURE OF APPLICATION | DECISION | REASONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 70-77 | cont'd. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reasons: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The licence renewal was opposed by the National Association of Broadcast Employees and Technicians (NABET) on the basis that the of Alberta. of the licensee, the University of Alberta, has been transferred to the Alberta Government Telephones Cormission, an agency of the |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The licensee also holds the licence of CKUA-AM which expires on March 31, 1971, and the Commission wishes to consider both renewal The Commission will have to relate this case to the policy of the Government respecting the granting of broadcasting licences to ties and agencies under their jurisdiction. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 70-78 |  | March 25, 1970 | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Radio-FM } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Kingston, } \\ \text { Ont. }\end{array}\end{array}$ | 1. CFRC-FM, Kingston, Ont. <br> 2. Application for licence renewal of Station CFRC-FM, Kingston, Ont. | Approved | The Commission grants a licence renewal for the period April 1, 1970 to March 31, 1971. <br> The licence is renewed for one year. The Commission will have to relate this case to the policy of the Government respecting the granting of broadcasting licences to Government authorities and agencies under their jurisdiction. |
| 70-79 |  | March 25, 1970 | Radio-FM $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Toronto, } \\ \text { Ont. }\end{array}$ | 1. CJRT-FM, Toronto, Ont. <br> 2. Application for licence renewal of Station CJRT-FM, Toronto, Ont. | Approved | The Commission grants a licence renewal for the period April 1, 1970 to March 31, 1971. <br> The licence is renewed for one year. The Commission will have to relate this case to the policy of the Government respecting the granting of broadcasting licences to Government authorities and agencies under their jurisdiction. |
| 70-80 |  | March 25, 1970 | Radio-AM $\begin{aligned} & \text { Granby, } \\ & \text { Que. }\end{aligned}$ | 1. Radio Station CHEF, Granby, Oue. <br> 2. Application for licence renewal of Station CHEF, Granby, Que. | Approved | The Commission grants a licence renewal for the period April 1, 1970 to March 31, 1973. |

APPLICATION

1. NAME NATURE OF APPLICATION DECISION
The change will provide a better technical licensee company under the proposed service to listeners of the area. CRTC
DECISION LOCATION AND DATE OF
HEARING
DATE OF
BROADCASTING LOCATION

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { The change will provide a better techit } \\
& \text { service to the listeners of the area. }
\end{aligned}
$$

The Commission is satisfied that the

APPLICATION

1. NAME OF APPLICANT
2. NATURE OF APPLICATION DECISION

Approved

1. $\mathrm{CHCH}-\mathrm{TV}$, Hamilton,
Ont.
2. Application for
licence renewal for
licence renewal for
CHCH-TV, Hamilton,
Ont.

$\dot{+}$
5

March 25, 1970
aNY NOILVDOT
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { DECISION } & \text { DATE OF } \\ \text { NUMBER } & \text { HEARING }\end{array}$

| 70-83 | March 25, 1970 | Television | Hamilton, Ont. | 1. $\mathrm{CHCH}-\mathrm{TV}$, Hamilton, Ont. <br> 2. Application for licence renewal for Television Station CHCH-TV, Hamilton, Ont. | Approved | The Commission grants a licence renewal for the period April 1, 1970 to March 31, 1973. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 70-84 | March 25, 1970 | Radio-AM | Newcastle, N.B. <br> 6901920 | 1. Miramichi Broadcasting Company Limited. <br> 2. Application for: <br> i) Permission to transfer the assets of Station CKMR, Newcastle, N.B. from Miramichi Broadcasting Company Limited to a company to be incorporated represented by Jack W. Schoone; <br> ii) the surrender of the current licence by Miramichi Broadcasting Company Limited; and <br> iii) a new licence to be issued to a company to be incorporated represented by Jack W. Schoone. | Approved | The Commission expects that the licensee will provide a broadcast service to this community consistent with the commitments outlined in his application. <br> The licence is granted for a three-year period ending March 31, 1973. |
| 70-85 | March 25, 1970 | Television | Haliburton, Ont. <br> 6700355 | 1. Ralph Snelgrove Television Limited, licensee of Station CKVR-TV-3, Haliburton, Ont. <br> 2. Application to amend its broadcasting 1icence as follows: | Denied | The Commission, in a Public Announcement dated farch 5, 1970 (Decision CRTC 70-44), has enunciated its policy for the extension of television services in Northern Ontario. This application conflicts with the allocation of frequencies foreseen for the 1 mplementation of that policy. |

APPLICATION

1. NAME OF APPLICANT
2. NATURE OF APPLICATION DECISION
REASONS



## APPENDIX II <br> Total Broadcasting Stations in Canada <br> VI(a) to VI (1) (Provincial Breakdown)

## TABLE VI (a)

## NEWFOUNDLAND

| NATURE OF <br> OPERATIONS | $\underline{A M}$ | $\underline{F M}$ | $\underline{L P}$ | $\underline{S W}$ | $\underline{T V}$ | $\underline{\text { TOTAL }}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CBC Affiliates | - | - | - | - | 2 | 2 |
| CBC Owned \& Operated | 7 | - | 13 | 1 | 18 | 39 |
| CTV Affiliates | - | - | - | - | 8 | 8 |
| Independent | $\underline{11}$ | - | - | - | $\underline{2}$ | 13 |
| TOTAL | 18 | - | 13 | 1 | 30 | 62 |

## TABLE VI (b)

PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND

| Nature of OPERATIONS | AM | FM | LP | SW | TV | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CBC Affiliates | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| CBC Owned \& Operated | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 2 |
| CTV Affiliates | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Independent | 1 | = | - | - | - | 1 |
| TOTAL | 2 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 4 |

TABLE VI (c)
NOVA SCOTIA
nature of
OPERATIONS

| CBC Affiliates | 5 | 1 | - | - | 3 | 9 |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| CBC Owned \& Operated | 2 | - | 16 | - | 8 | 26 |
| CTV Affiliates | - | - | - | - | 3 | 3 |
| Independent | 10 | $\underline{3}$ | - | $\underline{2}$ | - | $\underline{15}$ |
| TOTAL | 17 | 4 | 16 | 2 | 14 | 53 |

TABLE VI (d)
NEW BRUNSWICK

| NATURE OF OPERATIONS | AM | FM | $\underline{L P}$ | SW | TV | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CBC Affiliates | 5 | - | - | - | 8 | 13 |
| CBC Owned \& Operated | 4 | 1 | 10 | 14 | 1 | 30 |
| CTV Affiliates | - | - | - | - | 2 | 2 |
| Independent | 4 | 1 | - | - | $=$ | 5 |
| TOTAL | 13 | 2 | 10 | 14 | 11 | 50 |

TABLE VI (e)
quebec

| nature of OPERATIONS | AM | FM | $\underline{L P}$ | SW | TV | $\underline{\text { TOTAL }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CBC Affiliates | 28 | 3 | - | - | 46 | 77 |
| CBC Owned \& Operated | 5 | 3 | 28 | - | 10 | 46 |
| CTV Affiliates | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| Independent | $\underline{32}$ | 8 | - | 1 | 3 | 44 |
| total | 65 | 14 | 28 | 1 | 60 | 168 |

## TABLE VI (f)

ONTARIO

| NATURE OF <br> OPERATIONS | $\underline{A M}$ | $\underline{F M}$ | $\underline{L P}$ | $\underline{S W}$ | $\underline{T V}$ | $\underline{\text { TOTAL }}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CBC Affiliates | 29 | 8 | - | - | 22 | 59 |
| CBC Owned \& Operated | 5 | 2 | 51 | - | 20 | 78 |
| CTV Affiliates | - | - | - | - | 4 | 4 |
| Independent | $\underline{56}$ | $\underline{25}$ | - | -1 | -1 | 83 |
| TOTAL | 90 | 35 | 51 | 1 | 47 | 224 |

## TABLE VI (g)

## MANITOBA

| nature of OPERATIONS | AM | FM | $\underline{\underline{P}}$ | SW | TV | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CBC Affiliates | 4 | 1 | - | - | 4 | 9 |
| CBC Owned \& Operated | 2 | 1 | 5 | - | 11 | 19 |
| CTV Affiliates | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| Independent | 8 | 3 | - | - | - | 11 |
| total | 14 | 5 | 5 | - | 16 | 40 |

## TABLE VI (h)

## SASKATCHEWAN

| NATURE OF <br> OPERATIONS | $\underline{A M}$ | $\underline{F M}$ | $\underline{L P}$ | $\underline{S W}$ | $\underline{T V}$ | $\underline{\text { TOTAL }}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| CBC Affiliates | 3 | - | - | - | 18 | 21 |
| CBC Owned \& Operated | 2 | - | 1 | - | 5 | 8 |
| CTV Affiliates | - | - | - | - | 4 | 4 |
| Independent | $\underline{14}$ | -3 | - | - | - | 17 |
| TOTAL | 19 | 3 | 1 | - | 27 | 50 |

## TABLE VI (i)

## ALBERTA

NATURE OF
OPERATIONS

| CBC Affiliates | 4 | - | - | - | 16 | 20 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CBC Owned \& Operated | 2 | - | 11 | - | 12 | 25 |
| CTV Affiliates | - | - | - | - | 13 | 13 |
| Independent | $\underline{17}$ | - | - | -1 | - | $\underline{2}$ |
| TOTAL | 23 | 6 | 11 | 1 | 41 | 82 |

## TABLE VI (1)

## BRITISH COLUMBIA

| nature of OPERATIONS | AM | FM | LP | SW | TV | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CBC Affiliates | 18 | 9 | - | - | 76 | 103 |
| CBC Owned and Operated | 2 | 2 | 71 | 1 | 18 | 94 |
| CTV Affiliates | - | - | - | - | 10 | 10 |
| Independent | $\underline{24}$ | 3 | - | 1 | 5 | 33 |
| TOTAL | 44 | 14 | 71 | 2 | 109 | 240 |

## TABLE VI (k)

YUKON TERRITORIES

| NATURE OF <br> OPERATIONS | AM | FM | LP | SW | TV | TOTAL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CBC Affiliates | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| CBC Owned and Operated | 1 | - | 11 | - | 5 | 17 |
| CTV Affiliates | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Independent | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| TOTAL | 2 | - | 11 | - | 5 | 18 |

## TABLE VI (1)

NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

| NATURE OF <br> OPERATIONS | AM | FM | LP | SW | TV | TOTAL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CBC Affiliates | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| CBC Owned and Operated | 3 | - | 10 | - | 4 | 17 |
| CTV Affiliates | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Independent | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| TOTAL | 4 | - | 10 | - | 4 | 18 |

APPENDIX III
U.S. Viewing by Non-Cable and Cable Users (\%)

Provincial Breakdown by Electoral District
(Maps taken from BBM Coverage and Circulation Report - November 6-19, 1968)

| NEWFOUNDLAND |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U.S. VIEWING BY NON-CABLE AND CABLE USERS (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| CENTRE |  | NON-CABLE <br> VIEWER HOURS | CABLE <br> VIEWER HOURS | NON-CABLE AND CABLE VIEWER HOURS |
| St. John's, M.A. Canadian U.S. |  | 100.0 - | - | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \\ - \end{gathered}$ |
| Census Division Canadian U.S. | (1) | 100.0 - | 100.0 - | 100.0 - |
| Census Division Canadian U.S. | (2) | 100.0 $\sim$ | 100.0 - | 100.0 - |
| Census Division Canadian U.S. | (3) | 100.0 | 100.0 - | 100.0 - |
| Census Division Canadian U.S. | (4) | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Census Division Canadian U.S. | (5) | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 - |
| Census Division Canadian U.S. | (6) | 100.0 - | 100.0 | 100.0 - |
| Census Division Canadian U.S. | (7) | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 - |
| Census Division Canadian U.S. | (8) | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Census Division Canadian U.S. | (9) | 100.0 | 100.0 - | 100.0 - |
| Census Division Canadian U.S. | (10) | 100.0 | 100.0 - | 100.0 - |
| TOTAL <br> Canadian U.S. |  | 100.0 | 100.0 - | 100.0 - |



|  | NOVA SCOTIA |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | U.S. VIEWING BY NON-CABLE AND CABLE USERS (\%) |  |  |
| CENTRE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NON-CABLE } \\ & \text { VIEWER } \\ & \text { HOURS } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | CABLE <br> VIEWER HOURS | NON-CABLE AND CABLE VIEWER HOURS |
| Sydney-Glace Bay, U.S. Canadian U.S. | 100.0 - | 100.0 - | 100.0 |
| Cape Breton County Canadian U.S. | 100.0 | 100.0 - | 100.0 |
| Richmond, Inverness and Victoria Counties Canadian U.S. | 100.0 - | 100.0 - | 100.0 - |
| Pictou County Canadian U.S. | 100.0 | 100.0 - | 100.0 - |
| Halifax, M.A. Canadian U.S. | 100.0 - | 100.0 - | 100.0 - |
| Halifax County Canadian U.S. | 100.0 | 100.0 - | 100.0 - |
| Lunenberg County Canadian U.S. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 - |
| Shelburne and Queens Canadian U.S. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Yarmouth County Canadian U.S. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Annapolis and Digby Counties Canadian U.S. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Hants County Canadian U.S. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Kings County Canadian U.S. | 100.0 | 100.0 - | 100.0 |
| Colchester County Canadian U.S. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 - |
| Cumberland County Canadian U.S. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| TOTAL <br> Canadian U.S. | 100.0 - | 100.0 - | 100.0 - |



NOVA SCOTIA

## PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND

U.S. VIEWING BY NON-CABLE AND CABLE USERS (\%)

| CENTRE | NON-CABLE <br> VIEWER <br> HOURS | CABLE VIEWER HOURS | NON-CABLE AND CABLE VIEWER HOURS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kings, Queens Counties |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| U.s. | - | - | - |
| Prince County |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 100.0 | - | 100.0 |
| U.S. | - | - | - |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |



PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND

## NEW BRUNSWICK

U.S. VIEWING BY NON-CABLE

AND CABLE USERS (\%)

| CENTRE | NON-CABLE <br> VIEWER <br> HOURS | CABLE <br> VIEWER HOURS | NON-CABLE AND CABLE VIEWER HOURS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carleton, Victoria Counties <br> Canadian |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| U.S. | 35.79 | 56.03 | 39.10 |
| Madawaska County |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 76.49 | 69.04 | 73.84 |
| U.S. | 23.51 | 30.96 | 26.16 |
| York County |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 98.93 | 60.91 | 87.37 |
| U.S. | 1.07 | 39.09 | 12.63 |
| Charlotte, Queens Counties 97.15 |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 97.15 | 61.46 | 89.87 |
| U.S. | 2.85 | 38.54 | 10.13 |
| Restigouche County |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 99.94 | 66.72 | 94.83 |
| U.S. | . 06 | 33.28 | 5.17 |
| Kings, St. John Countlies |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 99.99 | 100.0 | 99.99 |
| U.S. | . 01 | - | . 01 |
| Moncton, M.A. |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| U.S. | - | - |  |
| Albert, Westmorland Counties |  |  |  |
| Canadian U.S. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Saint John, M.A. |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| U.S. | - | - | - |
| Sunbury County |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| U.S. | - | - | - |
| Kent County |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| U.S. | - | - | - |
| Northumberland County |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| U.S. | - | - | 100.0 |
| Gloucester County |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| U.S. | - | - | - |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 96.58 | 66.54 | 93.74 |
| U.S. | 3.42 | 33.46 | 6.26 |



| QUEBEC |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U.S. VIEWING BY NON-CABLE AND CABLE USERS (\%) |  |  |  |
| CENTRE | NON-CABLE <br> VIEWER <br> HOURS | CABLE <br> VIEWER HOURS | NON-CABLE AND CABLE VIEWER HOURS |
| Compton County |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 84.26 | 77.11 | 81.78 |
| U.S. | 15.74 | 22.89 | 18.22 |
| Brome, Missisquof Counties |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 81.67 | 90.20 | 82.01 |
| U.S. | 18.33 | 9.80 | 17.99 |
| Stanstead County |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 83.87 | 82.61 | 83.24 |
| U.S. | 16.13 | 17.39 | 16.76 |
| Châteauguay, Huntingdon |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 87.58 | 69.50 | 86,59 |
| U.S. | 12.42 | 30.50 | 13.41 |
| Sherbrooke, U.A. |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 89.97 | 85.03 | 86.86 |
| U.S. | 10.03 | 14.97 | 13.14 |
| Sherbrooke County |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 92.35 | 85.09 | 88.40 |
| U.S. | 7.65 | 14.91 | 11.60 |
| Montreal Island County |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 95.99 | 72.09 | 91.49 |
| U.S. | 4.01 | 27.91 | 8.51 |
| Argenteuil County |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 93.08 | 79.10 | 91.72 |
| U.S. | 6.92 | 20.90 | 8.28 |
| Montreal, M.A. |  |  |  |
| U.S. | 3.65 | 27.18 | 7.65 |
| Richmond' County |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 95.43 | 89.58 | 92.56 |
| U.S. | 4.57 | 10.42 | 7.54 |
| Rouville County |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 93.16 | - | 93.16 |
| U.S. | 6.84 | - | 6.84 |
| St. Jean County |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 93.20 | 88.89 | 93.18 |
| U.S. | 6.80 | 11.11 | 6.82 |
| Chambly County |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 97.13 | 73.70 | 94.43 |
| U.S. | 2.87 | 26.30 | 5.57 |
| Vercheres County |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 98.03 | 80.76 | 94.88 |
| U.S. | 1.97 | 19.24 | 5.12 |
| Shefford County |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 98.41 | 76.71 | 95.01 |
| U.S. | 1.59 | 23.29 | 4.99 |


| CENTRE | NON-CABLE <br> VIEWER <br> HOURS | CABLE <br> VIEWER <br> HOURS | NON-CABLE AND CABLE VIEWER HOURS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jésus Island County Canadian U.S. | $\begin{array}{r} 97.56 \\ 2.44 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80.57 \\ & 19.43 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 95.16 \\ 4.84 \end{array}$ |
| St. Jérôme, U.A. Canadian U.S. | $\begin{array}{r} 94.83 \\ 5.17 \end{array}$ | 100.0 | $\begin{array}{r} 95.38 \\ 4.62 \end{array}$ |
| St. Jean, U.A. Canadian U.S. | $\begin{array}{r} 95.91 \\ 4.09 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 86.25 \\ & 13.75 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 95.83 \\ 4.17 \end{array}$ |
| Granby City Canadian U.S. | $\begin{array}{r} 99.02 \\ .98 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 78.34 \\ & 21.66 \end{aligned}$ | 95.91 4.09 |
| Hull County Canadian U.S. | $\begin{array}{r} 99.93 \\ .07 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 93.45 \\ 6.55 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 96.81 \\ 3.19 \end{array}$ |
| Iberville County Canadian U.S. | $\begin{array}{r} 97.46 \\ 2.54 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 86.00 \\ & 14.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 97.33 \\ 2.67 \end{array}$ |
| Mégantic County Canadian U.S. | $\begin{array}{r} 99.94 \\ .06 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 94.82 \\ 5.18 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 97.37 \\ 2.63 \end{array}$ |
| Valleyfield, U.A. Canadian U.S. | $\begin{array}{r} 97.82 \\ 2.18 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 91.14 \\ 8.86 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 97.46 \\ 2.54 \end{array}$ |
| Frontenac, Wolfe Counties Canadian U.S. | 98.89 1.11 | $\begin{array}{r} 94.28 \\ 5.72 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 97.65 \\ 2.35 \end{array}$ |
| Soulanges, Vaudreuil Counties Canadian U.S. | $\begin{array}{r} 97.80 \\ 2.20 \end{array}$ | 100.0 | 97.85 2.15 |
| Beauharnois County Canadian U.S. | $\begin{array}{r} 98.34 \\ 1.66 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 91.14 \\ 8.86 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 98.10 \\ 1.90 \end{array}$ |
| Gatineau County Canadian U.S. | $\begin{array}{r} 99.86 \\ .14 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 90.77 \\ 9.23 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 98.21 \\ 1.79 \end{array}$ |
| Laprairie, Napierville Counties Canadian U.S. | $\begin{array}{r} 98.62 \\ 1.38 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 88.19 \\ & 11.81 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 98.30 \\ 1.70 \end{array}$ |
| Arthabaska County Canadian U.S. | 100.0 | $\begin{array}{r} 96.74 \\ 3.26 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 98.31 \\ 1.69 \end{array}$ |
| Terrebonne County Canadian U.S. | $\begin{array}{r} 98.29 \\ 1.71 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 99.00 \\ 1.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 98.35 \\ 1.65 \end{array}$ |
| St. Maurice County Canadian U.S. | $\begin{array}{r} 99.97 \\ .03 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 98.05 \\ 1.95 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 98.80 \\ 1.20 \end{array}$ |


| CENTRE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NON-CABLE } \\ & \text { VIEWER } \\ & \text { HOURS } \end{aligned}$ | CABLE VIEWER HOURS | NON-CABLE AND CABLE VIEWER HOURS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Drummond County |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 98.94 | 98.49 | 98.85 |
| U.S. | 1.06 | 1.51 | 1.15 |
| Deux Montagnes County |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 99.10 | 98.21 | 99.09 |
| U.S. | . 90 | 1.79 | . 91 |
| Beauce County |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 99.91 | 98.23 | 99.15 |
| U.S. | . 09 | 1.77 | . 85 |
| Québec County |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 99.98 | 93.33 | 99.22 |
| U.S. | . 02 | 6.67 | . 78 |
| Québec, M.A. |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 99.98 | 93.33 | 99.28 |
| U.S. | . 02 | 6.67 | . 72 |
| Joliette, Montcalm Counties |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 99.46 | 100.0 | 99.47 |
| U.S. | . 54 | - | . 53 |
| Shawinigan, U.A. |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 99.93 | 99.35 | 99.50 |
| U.S. | . 07 | . 65 | . 50 |
| L'Assomption County |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 99.54 | 100.0 | 99.54 |
| U.S. | . 46 | - | . 46 |
| Drummondville, U.A. |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 106.0 | 98.32 | 99.56 |
| U.S. | - | 1.68 | . 44 |
| Champlain County |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 99.90 | 99.53 | 99.68 |
| U.S. | . 10 | . 47 | . 32 |
| St.Hyacinthe County |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 99.72 | 100.0 | 99.72 |
| U.S. | . 28 | - | . 28 |
| Richelieu County |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 99.77 | 99.49 | 99.75 |
| U.S. | . 23 | . 51 | . 25 |
| Bagot County |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 99.85 | 100.0 | 99.85 |
| U.S. | . 15 | - | . 15 |
| Trois-Rivières, U.A. |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 99.96 | 97.99 | 99.87 |
| U.S. | . 04 | 2.01 | . 13 |
| Papineau County |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 99.95 | 99.18 |  |
| U.S. | . 05 | . 82 | . 10 |
| Nicolet., Yamaska Counties |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 99.97 | 100.0 | 99.97 |
| U.S. | . 03 | - | . 03 |


|  | NON-CABLE | CABLE | VIEWER |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VIEWER | NON-CABLE AND |  |  |
| CENTRE | HOURS | CABLE VIEWER |  |


| CENTRE | NON-CABLE <br> VIEWER <br> HOURS | CABLE <br> VIEWER <br> HOURS | NON-CABLE AND CABLE VIEWER HOURS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lac St. Jean E. County Canadian U.S. | 100.0 | 100.0 - | $100.0$ |
| Lac St. Jean W. County North Canadian U.S. | 100.0 - | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Charlevoix County Canadian U.S. | 100.0 - | 100.0 - | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \\ \_ \end{gathered}$ |
| Kamouraska County Canadian U.S. | 100.0 - | 100.0 - | 100.0 |
| L'Islet County Canadian U.S. | 100.0 | 100.0 - | 100.0 |
| Montmagny County Canadian U.S. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Montmorency County Canadian U.S. | 100.0 | 100.0 - | 100.0 |
| Lévis County Canadian U.S. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Bellechasse County Canadian U.S. | 100.0 | 100.0 - | 100.0 |
| Lotbinière County Canadian U.S. | 100.0 - | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Portney County Canadian U.S. | 100.0 - | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Labelle County Canadian U.S. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Abitibi County Canadian U.S. | 100.0 - | 100.0 - | $100.0$ |
| Témiscamingue County North Canadian U.S. | 100.0 - | 100.0 - | 100.0 - |
| Témiscamingue County South Canadian U.S. | 100.0 - | 100.0 - | $100.0$ |
| Pontiac County Canadian U.S. | 100.0 - | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| TOTAL Canadian U.S. | $\begin{array}{r} 97.69 \\ 2.31 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 86.97 \\ & 13.03 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 95.71 \\ 4.29 \end{array}$ |



ONTARIO
U.S. VIEWING BY NON-CABLE AND CABLE USERS (\%)
$\left.\begin{array}{llll} & \begin{array}{c}\text { NON-CABLE } \\ \text { VIEWR } \\ \text { HOURS }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { CABLE } \\ \text { VIEWER }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { NON-CABLE AND } \\ \text { CABLE VIEWER }\end{array} \\ \text { CENTRE } & & & \\ \hline \text { HOURS }\end{array}\right]$

| CENTRE | NON-CABLE <br> VIEWER <br> HOURS | CABLE VIEWER HOURS | NON-CABLE AND CABLE VIEWER HOURS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thunder Bay, U.A. Canadian U.S. | $\begin{array}{r} 93.30 \\ 6.70 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40.69 \\ & 59.31 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 57.28 \\ & 42.72 \end{aligned}$ |
| Toronto, M.A. Canadian U.S. | $\begin{aligned} & 58.35 \\ & 41.65 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 56.60 \\ & 43.40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 57.88 \\ & 42.12 \end{aligned}$ |
| Brant County Canadian U.S. | $\begin{aligned} & 62.48 \\ & 37.52 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 49.51 \\ & 50.49 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 58.21 \\ & 41.79 \end{aligned}$ |
| York County Canadian U.S. | $\begin{aligned} & 59.25 \\ & 40.75 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 57.04 \\ & 42.96 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 58.65 \\ & 41.35 \end{aligned}$ |
| Peterborough, U.A. Canadian U.S. | $\begin{aligned} & 77.26 \\ & 22.74 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47.68 \\ & 52.32 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 61.33 \\ & 38.77 \end{aligned}$ |
| Guelph, U.A. Canadian U.S. | $\begin{aligned} & 74.44 \\ & 25.56 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 54.09 \\ & 45.91 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 61.62 \\ & 38.38 \end{aligned}$ |
| Ontario County Canadian U.S. | $\begin{aligned} & 65.08 \\ & 34.92 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 53.25 \\ & 46.75 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 62.63 \\ & 37.37 \end{aligned}$ |
| Sault Ste. Marie, U.A. Canadian U.S. | $\begin{aligned} & 69.13 \\ & 30.87 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 39.78 \\ & 60.22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 62.71 \\ & 37.29 \end{aligned}$ |
| London Canadian U.S. | $\begin{array}{r} 97.20 \\ 2.80 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 51.77 \\ & 48.23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 62.77 \\ & 37.23 \end{aligned}$ |
| Peel County Canadian U.S. | $\begin{aligned} & 65.05 \\ & 34.95 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 56.21 \\ & 43.79 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 63.69 \\ & 36.81 \end{aligned}$ |
| Rainy River County Canadian U.S. | $\begin{aligned} & 77.87 \\ & 22.13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30.53 \\ & 69.47 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 63.19 \\ & 36.81 \end{aligned}$ |
| Cornwall, City Canadian U.S. | $\begin{aligned} & 80.87 \\ & 19.13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 51.56 \\ & 48.44 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 63.23 \\ & 36.77 \end{aligned}$ |
| Elgin County Canadian U.S. | $\begin{aligned} & 71.05 \\ & 28.95 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 54.77 \\ & 45.23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 64.21 \\ & 35.79 \end{aligned}$ |
| Algoma West County Canadian U.S. | $\begin{aligned} & 70.11 \\ & 29.84 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50.69 \\ & 49.31 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65.50 \\ & 34.50 \end{aligned}$ |
| Manitoulin, Algoma East Counties Canadian U.S. | $\begin{aligned} & 66.64 \\ & 33.36 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44.44 \\ & 55.56 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65.92 \\ & 34.08 \end{aligned}$ |
| Brampton, U.A. Canadian U.S. | $\begin{aligned} & 72.28 \\ & 27.72 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 56.68 \\ & 43.32 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66.72 \\ & 33.28 \end{aligned}$ |


| CENTRE | NON-CABLE <br> VIEWER <br> HOURS | CABLE <br> VIEWER HOURS | NON-CABLE AND CABLE VIEWER HOURS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dundas, Grenville Counties <br> Canadtan |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| U.S. | 32.91 | - | 32.91 |
| Middlesex County |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 95.75 | 52.37 | 67.39 |
| U.S. | 4.25 | 47.63 | 32.61 |
| Stormont County |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 83.18 | 51.56 | 67.50 |
| U.S. | 16.82 | 48.44 | 32.50 |
| Thunder Bay County |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 96.04 | 49.77 | 67.54 |
| U.S. | 3.96 | 50.23 | 32.46 |
| Kitchener, M.A. |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 83.27 | 48.54 | 68.34 |
| U.S. | 16.73 | 51.46 | 31.66 |
| Wellington County |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 85.83 | 55.98 | 75.30 |
| U.S. | 14.17 | 44.02 | 24.70 |
| Lanark County |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 79.34 | 58.19 | 78.19 |
| U.S. | 20.66 | 41.81 | 21.81 |
| Oxford County |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 86.84 | 54.53 | 78.85 |
| U.S. | 13.16 | 45.47 | 21.15 |
| Waterloo County |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 83.37 | 61.59 | 79.15 |
| U.S. | 16.63 | 38.41 | 20.85 |
| Simcoe East (Electoral District) |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 99.00 | 74.02 | 85.81 |
| U.S. | 1.00 | 25.98 | 14.19 |
| Kenora County West |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 93.51 | 58.62 | 87.52 |
| U.S. | 6.49 | 41.38 | 12.48 |
| Carleton County |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 98.81 | 77.42 | 88.09 |
| U.S. | 1.19 | 22.58 | 11.91 |
| Ottawa, Hull, M.A. |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 99.05 | 80.51 | 89.72 |
| U.S. | . 95 | 19.49 | 10.28 |
| Dufferin County \& Simcoe County (Bal.) 97.39 ( ${ }^{\text {Canadian }}$ |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 97.39 | 71.55 | 89.97 |
| U.S. | 2.61 | 28.45 | 10.03 |
| Glengarry, Prescott Counties |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 92.52 | 87.15 | 91.30 |
| U.S. | 7.48 | 12.85 | 8.70 |
| Perth County |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 99.60 | 66.61 | 92.11 |
| U.S. | . 40 | 33.39 | 7.89 |


| CENTRE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NON-CABLE } \\ & \text { VIEWER } \\ & \text { HOURS } \end{aligned}$ | CABLE VIEWER HOURS | NON-CABLE AND CABLE VIEWER HOURS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Victoria County |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 95.25 | 70.16 | 93.97 |
| u.s. | 4.75 | 29.84 | 6.03 |
| Kenora County East |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 97.10 | 96.49 | 96.42 |
| U.s. | 2.90 | 3.61 | 3.58 |
| Haliburton, Muskoka Counties |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 99.37 | 90.36 | 97.23 |
| U.s. | . 63 | 99.64 | 2.77 |
| Grey County |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 99.61 | 92.49 | 97.61 |
| U.s. | . 39 | 7.61 | 2.39 |
| Huron County |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 98.70 | 100.0 | 98.71 |
| U.S. | 1.30 | - | 1.29 |
| Bruce County |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 99.80 | 81.74 | 98.85 |
| U.s. | . 20 | 18.26 | 1.15 |
| Nipissing County |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 99.88 | 96.63 | 98.91 |
| U.s. | . 12 | 3.37 | 1.09 |
| Parry Sound County |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 100.0 | 95.66 | 99.79 |
| U.S. | - | 4.44 | . 21 |
| Russell County |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 99.84 | 100.0 | 99.84 |
| U.S. | . 16 | - | . 16 |
| Sudbury County |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 99.98 | 97.46 | 99.95 |
| u.s. | . 02 | 2.54 | . 05 |
| Renfrew County |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| U.S. | - | - | - |
| Sudbury, M.A. |  |  |  |
| U.S. | - | - | - |
| Temiskaming County |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| U.S. | - | - | - |
| Timmins, U.A. |  |  |  |
| U.s. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Cochrane County North |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| U.s. | - | . | - |
| Kingston, U.A. |  |  |  |
| Canadian U.S. | 40.80 | 19.13 | 39.89 |
| U.S. | 59.20 | 80.87 | 60.11 |


| CENTRE | NON-CABLE <br> VIEWER <br> HOURS | CABLE VIEWER HOURS | NON-CABLE AND CABLE VIEWER HOURS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Frontenac County |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 41.54 | 22.73 | 40.67 |
| U.s. | 58.46 | 77.27 | 59.33 |
| Halimand County |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 41.59 | 38.46 | 41.55 |
| u.s. | 58.41 | 61.54 | 58.45 |
| Belleville, City |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 46.10 | 41.83 | 44.10 |
| U.s. | 53.90 | 58.17 | 55.90 |
| Hastings County |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 45.19 | 46.39 | 45.46 |
| U.S. | 54.81 | 53.69 | 54.54 |
| St. Catherines, U.S. Canadian | 46.08 | 41.53 | 45.59 |
| U.s. | 53.92 | 58.47 | 54.41 |
| Northumberland County |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 46.34 | 28.39 | 45.86 |
| U.S. | 53.66 | 71.61 | 54.14 |
| Lincoln County |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 48.84 | 43.06 | 48.17 |
| u.s. | 51.16 | 56.94 | 51.83 |
| Lennox, Addington Counties |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 54.27 | - | 54.27 |
| u.s. | 45.73 | - | 45.73 |
| Hamilton, M.A. |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 58.09 | 48.18 | 55.04 |
| U.S. | 41.91 | 51.82 | 44.96 |
| Norfolk County |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 57.06 | 43.16 | 55.65 |
| U.s. | 42.94 | 56.84 | 44.35 |
| Wentworth County |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 59.50 | 48.13 | 55.87 |
| U.S. | 40.50 | 51.87 | 44.13 |
| Cochrane County South |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| U.s. | - | - | - |
| total |  |  |  |
| Canadian | 66.30 | 58.90 | 64.42 |
| U.S. | 33.70 | 41.10 | 35.58 |



MANITOBA
U.S. VIEWING BY NON-CABLE

AND CABLE USERS (\%)

| CENTRE |  | NON-CABLE <br> VIEWER <br> HOURS | CABLE VIEWER HOURS | NON-CABLE AND CABLE VIEWER HOURS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Census Division | (2) |  |  |  |
| Canadian |  | 63.68 | 24.32 | 63.43 |
| U.S. |  | 36.32 | 75.68 | 36.57 |
| Census Division | (1) |  |  |  |
| Canadian |  | 70.10 | 59.75 | 69.70 |
| U.s. |  | 29.90 | 40.25 | 30.30 |
| Winnipeg, M.A. Census Division | (20) |  |  |  |
| Canadian |  | 80.95 | 56.39 | 76.45 |
| U.s. |  | 19.05 | 43.61 | 23.55 |
| Census Division | (6) |  |  |  |
| Canadian |  | 78.25 | 88.37 | 78.23 |
| U.s. |  | 21.75 | 11.63 | 21.67 |
| Census Division | (3) |  |  |  |
| Canadian |  | 79.11 | 100.0 | 79.12 |
| U.S. |  | 20.89 | - | 20.88 |
| Census Division | (19) |  |  |  |
| Canadian |  | 88.60 | 83.12 | 87.22 |
| U.s. |  | 11.40 | 16.88 | 12.78 |
| Census Division | (5) |  |  |  |
| Canadian |  | 93.32 | 92.86 | 93.31 |
| U.s. |  | 6.68 | 7.14 | 6.69 |
| Census Division | (9) 8 (12) |  |  |  |
| Canadian |  | 96.38 | 100.0 | 96.39 |
| U.S. |  | 3.62 | - | 3.61 |
| Census Division | (7) |  |  |  |
| Canadian |  | 95.53 | 100.0 | 95.57 |
| U.S. |  | 4.47 | - | 4.43 |
| Census Division | (10) 8 (11) |  |  |  |
| Canadian |  | 99.22 | 100.0 | 99.22 |
| U.S. |  | . 78 | - | . 78 |
| Census Division | (15) |  |  |  |
| Canadian |  | 99.82 | 100.0 | 99.82 |
| U.S. |  | . 18 | - | . 18 |
| Census Division | (11) |  |  |  |
| Canadian |  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| U.S. |  | - | - | - |
| Census Division | (12) |  |  |  |
| Canadian |  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| U.S. |  | - | - | - |
| Census Division | (13) |  |  |  |
| Canadian |  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| U.S. |  | - | - | - |
| Census Division | (14) |  |  |  |
| Canadian |  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| U.S. |  |  |  |  |


| CENTRE |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NON-CABLE } \\ & \text { VIEWER } \\ & \text { HOURS } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | CABLE <br> VIEWER HOURS | NON-CABLE AND CABLE VIEWER HOURS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Census Division Canadian U.S. | (15) | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Census Division Canadian U.S. | (16) | 100.0 | 100.0 - | 100.0 |
| Census Division Canadian U.S. | (17) | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Census Division Canadian U.S. | (18) | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| TOTAL <br> Canadian U.S. |  | $\begin{array}{r} 99.72 \\ .28 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 92.56 \\ 7.44 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 99.53 \\ .47 \end{array}$ |



## SASKATCHEWAN

U.S. viewing by non-Cable AND CABLE USERS (\%)

| CENTRE |  | NON-CABLE <br> VIEWER <br> HOURS | CABLE <br> VIEWER HOURS | NON-CABLE AND CABLE VIEWER HOURS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Census Division Canadian U.S. | (1) | $\begin{array}{r} 96.31 \\ 3.69 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 73.09 \\ & 26.91 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 94.05 \\ 5.95 \end{array}$ |
| Census Division Canadian U.S. | (2) | $\begin{array}{r} 97.48 \\ 2.52 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 81.96 \\ & 18.04 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 94.92 \\ & 5.08 \end{aligned}$ |
| Census Division Canadian U.S. | (4) \& (8) | $\begin{array}{r} 98.82 \\ 1.18 \end{array}$ | 100.0 | $\begin{array}{r} 98.83 \\ 1.17 \end{array}$ |
| Census Division Canadian U.S. | (3) | 100.0 | 100.0 - | 100.0 |
| Census Division Canadian U.S. | (5) | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \\ - \end{gathered}$ | 100.0 - | 100.0 - |
| Regina, M.A. Canadian U.S. |  | 100.0 | 100.0 - | 100.0 |
| Census Division Canadian U.S. | (6) | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 - |
| Moose Jaw, City Canadian U.S. |  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Census Division Canadian U.S. | (7) | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 - |
| Census Division Canadian U.S. | (9) | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Census Division Canadian U.S. | (10) | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Saskatoon, M.A. Canadian U.S. |  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Brandon City Canadian U.S. |  | $\begin{array}{r} 99.88 \\ .12 \end{array}$ | 100.0 | $\begin{array}{r} 99.88 \\ .12 \end{array}$ |
| Census Division Canadian U.S. | (8) \& (13) | 100.0 | $100.0$ | 100.0 |
| Census Division Canadian U.S. | (14) \& (17) | 100.0 | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 100.0 |


| CENTRE |  | NON-CABLE VIEWER HOURS | CABLE <br> VIEWER HOURS | NON-CABLE AND CABLE VIEWER HOURS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Census Division | (16) |  |  |  |
| Canadian |  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| U.S. |  | - | - | - |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |
| Canadian |  | 85.80 | 59.21 | 82.75 |
| U.S. |  | 14.20 | 40.79 | 17.25 |



## AL BERTA

U.S. VIEWING BY NON-CABLE AND CABLE USERS (\%)

|  |  | NON-CABLE | CABLE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VIEWER | VIEWER | NON-CABLE AND |  |
| CENTRE | HOURS | CABLE VIEWER |  |


| CENTRE |  | NON-CABLE <br> VIEWER <br> HOURS | CABLE <br> VIEWER HOURS | NON-CABLE AND CABLE VIEWER HOURS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Census Division | (13) |  |  |  |
| Canadian |  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| U.S. |  | - | - | - |
| Census Division | (14) |  |  |  |
| Canadian |  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| U.S. |  | - | - | - |
| Census Division | (15) East |  |  |  |
| Canadian |  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| U.S. |  | - | - | - |
| Census Division | (15) West |  |  |  |
| Canadian |  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| U.S. |  | - | - | - |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |
| Canadian |  | 99.84 | 83.44 | 99.09 |
| U.S. |  | .16 | 16.56 | . 91 |



BRITISH COMOMBIA
U.S. VIEWING BY NON-CABLE

AND CABLE USERS (\%)

| CENTRE |  | NON-CABLE <br> VIEWER <br> HOURS | CABLE <br> VIEWER <br> HOURS | NON-CABLE AND CABLE VIEWER HOURS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Census Division | (2C) |  |  |  |
| Canadian |  | 34.03 | 20.83 | 25.07 |
| u.s. |  | 65.97 | 79.17 | 74.93 |
| Census Division | (3B, C) |  |  |  |
| Canadian |  | 44.90 | 32.28 | 35.49 |
| U.s. |  | 55.10 | 67.72 | 64.51 |
| Census Division | (2A, B) |  |  |  |
| Canadian |  | 83.15 | 22.90 | 38.84 |
| U.s. |  | 16.85 | 77.10 | 61.16 |
| Victoria, M.A. |  |  |  |  |
| Canadian |  | 44.95 | 40.74 | 41.73 58.27 |
| U.s. |  |  |  |  |
| Census Division Canadian | (5A, B) | 46.94 | 41.62 | 43.19 |
| U.S. |  | 53.06 | 58.38 | 56.81 |
| Census Division | (4E) (East) |  |  |  |
| Canadian |  | 45.02 | 43.02 | 44.60 |
| u.s. |  | 54.98 | 56.98 | 55.40 |
| Census Division | (5E, F) |  |  |  |
| Canadian |  | 59.81 | 43.89 | 50.95 |
| U.s. |  | 40.19 | 56.11 | 49.05 |
| Census Division | (4E) (West) |  |  |  |
| Canadian |  | 52.77 | 39.96 | 51.55 |
| U.s. |  | 47.23 | 60.04 | 48.45 |
| Vancouver, M.A. Census Division | (4C, D). |  |  |  |
| Canadian |  | 58.40 | 46.58 | 51.75 |
| U.s. |  | 41.60 | 53.42 | 48.25 |
| Census Division | (5C) |  |  |  |
| Canadian |  | 63.93 | 43.35 | 53.87 |
| U.s. |  | 36.07 | 56.65 | 46.13 |
| Census Division | (4A, B) |  |  |  |
| Canadian |  | 62.28 | 49.94 | 60.32 |
| U.S. |  | 37.72 | 50.06 | 39.68 |
| Census Division | (5D) |  |  |  |
| Canadian |  | 96.52 | 56.67 | 63.05 |
| U.s. |  | 3.48 | 43.33 | 36.95 |
| Census Division | (1) |  |  |  |
| Canadian |  | 91.73 | 62.74 | 72.64 |
| u.s. |  | 8.27 | 37.26 | 27.36 |
| Census Division | (3A) (South) |  |  |  |
| Canadian |  | 98.21 | 34.62 | 81.18 |
| U.s. |  | 1.79 | 65.38 | 18.82 |
| Census Division | (6C, D, F) |  |  |  |
| Canadian U.S. |  | 99.90 .10 | 21.30 78.70 | 90.72 9.28 |


| CENTRE |  | NON-CABLE <br> VIEWER <br> HOURS | CABLE VIEWER HOURS | NON-CABLE AND CABLE VIEWER HOURS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Census Division Canadian | (3A) (North) | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| U.S. |  | - | - | - |
| Census Division Canadian U.S. | (6A, B, E) | 100.0 | 100.0 - | 100.0 |
| Census Division Canadian U.S. | (8B, C, D) | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Census Division Canadian U.S. | (8A) | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 - |
| Census Division Canadian U.S. | (8E, F, G) | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Census Division Canadian U.S. | (9) | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Census Division Canadian U.S. | (10A, B, C) | 100.0 | 100.0 | $100.0$ |
| Census Division Canadian U.S. | (10D) | 100.0 | 100.0 | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| TOTAL <br> Canadian <br> U.S. |  | $\begin{aligned} & 73.82 \\ & 26.18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 43.47 \\ & 56.53 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 59.70 \\ & 40.30 \end{aligned}$ |



Weekly Hours of Television Viewing in Canada (Provincial Breakdown)

| BRITISH COLUMBIA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ENGLISH STATIONS |  | FRENCH STATIONS |  | TOTAL |  |
|  | Hours | \% | Hours | \% | Hours | \% |
| CBC (owned and operated stations) | 7,327,300 | 19.03 | - | - | 7,327,300 | 19.03 |
| Private Stations CBC Affiliates | 9,248,800 | 24.02 | - | - | 9,248,800 | 24.02 |
| Independent | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| CTV Stations | 6,408,200 | 16.65 | - | - | 6,408,200 | 16.65 |
| U.S. Stations | 15,516,800 | 40.30 | - | - | 15,516,800 | 40.30 |
| TOTAL | 38,501,100 | 100.0 | - | - | 38,501,100 | 100.0 |
| ALBERTA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ENGLISH } \\ & \text { STATIONS } \end{aligned}$ |  | FRENCH <br> STATIONS |  | TOTAL |  |
|  | Hours | \% | Hours | \% | Hours | \% |
| CBC (owned and operated stations) | 7,413,000 | 25.10 | - | - | 7,413,000 | 25.10 |
| Private Stations $7,111,200$ 24.08 -  <br> CBC Affiliates     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Independent | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| CTV Stations | 14,739,800 | 49.91 | - | - | 14,739,800 | 49.91 |
| U.S. Stations | 268,800 | . 91 | - | - | 268,800 | . 91 |
| TOTAL | 29,532,800 | 100.0 | - | - | 29,532,800 | 100.0 |


|  | SASKATCHEWAN |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ENGLISH } \\ & \text { STATIONS } \end{aligned}$ |  | FRENCH <br> STATIONS |  | TOTAL |  |
|  | Hours | \% | Hours | \% | Hours | \% |
| CBC (owned and operated stations) | 1,662,200 | 8.47 | - | - | 1,662,200 | 8.47 |
| $\frac{\text { Private Stations }}{\text { CBC Affiliates }}$ | 13,373,400 | 68.18 | - | - | 13,373,400 | 68.18 |
| Independent | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| CTV Stations | 4,488,000 | 22.88 | - | - | 4,488,000 | 22.88 |
| U.S. Stations | 91,600 | . 47 | - | - | 91,600 | . 47 |
| TOTAL | 19,615,200 | 100.0 | - | - | 19,615,200 | 100.0 |


|  |  | MANITOBA |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ENGLISH <br> STATIONS |  | FRENCH <br> STATIONS |  | TOTAL |  |
|  | Hours | \% | Hours | \% | Hours | \% |
| CBC (owned and operated stations) | 6,508,100 | 30.01 | 105,400 | . 49 | 6,613,500 | 30.50 |
| Private Stations | 4,953,400 | 22.66 | - | - | 4,953,400 | 22.66 |
| CBC Affiliates |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Independent | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| CTV Stations | 6,468,800 | 29.59 | - | - | 6,468,800 | 29.59 |
| U.S. Stations | 3,534,500 | 17.25 | - | - | 3,534,500 | 17.25 |
| total | 21,464,800 | 99.51 | 105,400 | . 49 | 21,570,200 | 100.0 |
|  | ONTARIO |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ENGLI } \\ & \text { STATI } \end{aligned}$ |  | FRENCH STATIO |  | TOTAL |  |
|  | Hours | \% | Hours | \% | Hours | \% |
| CBC (owned and operated stations) | 19,371,100 | 13.64 | 1,892,700 | 1.33 | 21,263,800 | 14.97 |
| Private Stations | 31,933,700 | 22.43 | 253,800 | . 18 | 32,187,500 | 22.61 |
| $\overline{\text { CBC Affiliates }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Independent | 9,900,300 | 6.87 | 435,400 | . 31 | 10,335,700 | 7.18 |
| CTV Stations | 28,063,200 | 19.66 | - | - | 28,063,200 | 19.66 |
| U.S. Stations | 50,170,500 | 35.58 | - | - | 50,170,500 | 35.58 |
| TOTAL | 139,438,800 | 98.18 | 2,581,900 | 1.82 | 142,020,700 | 100.0 |

QUEBEC

|  | ENGLISH <br> STATIONS |  | FRENCH STATIONS |  | TOTAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hours | \% | Hours | \% | Hours | \% |
| CBC (owned and operated stations) | 8,343,000 | 6.00 | 28,549,600 | 20.53 | 36,892,600 | 26.53 |
| Private Stations | 2,114,700 | 1.52 | 28,311,700 | 20.36 | 30,426,400 | 21.88 |
| CBC Affiliates |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Independent | - | - | 55,334,100 | 39.78 | 55,334,100 | 39.78 |
| CTV Stations | 10,459,800 | 7.52 | - | - | 10,459,800 | 7.52 |
| U.S. Stations | 5,964,300 | 4.29 | - | - | 5,964,300 | 4.29 |
| TOTAL | 26,881,800 | 19.33 | 112,195,400 | 80.67 | 139,077,200 | 100.0 |

NEW BRUNSWI X

|  | ENGLISH <br> STATIONS |  | FRENCH <br> STATIONS |  | TOTAL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND

CBC (owned and
operated stations)
$\frac{\text { Private Stations }}{\text { CBC Affiliates }}$
Independent
CTV Stations
U.S. Stations
total

| ENGLISH <br> STATIONS |  | FRENCH STATIONS |  | TOTAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hours | \% | Hours | \% | Hours | \% |
| 1,304,700 | 71.75 | 8,100 | . 45 | 1,312,800 | 72.20 |
| 469,900 | 25.84 | - | - | 469,900 | 25.84 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 35,600 | 1.96 | - | - | 35,600 | 1.96 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1,810,200 | 99.55 | 8,100 | . 45 | 1,818,300 | 100.0 |



Beginning at its Public Hearing of September 1968, and during four other hearings, the Canadian Radio-Television Commission heard a large number of applications for Community Antenna Television or cable television systems. As a result, the Commission wishes to announce the policy by which it will be governed in supervising this sector of the Canadian broadcasting system and which will form part of licences issued for these undertakings.

Cable Television, which began as a service to remote communities with reception difficulties, has now become a major factor in the Canadian broadcasting system and has a potential for a wide range of service in all communities. These community programming services can be of a complementary rather than a competitive nature to those already provided by other broadcasting services.

The Commission is now generally granting licences for a period of two years. During this transitional period the Commission is prepared to consider adjustments to its policy as required and will welcome written comments.

## I. PROGRAMMING

a) Distribution of Programs

A CATV system is required, unless otherwise approved by the Commission, to carry television program services in the following order of precedence when technically possible:

1) CBC French and English networks
2) private Canadian networks

1i1) Independent Canadian TV stations
iv) local and educational programming
v) non-Canadian television stations
vi) duplicate channels.

If a system carries FM stations, it should carry all available Canadian $F M$ stations in both official languages as a priority.

A CATV system may be required to carry, at the discretion of the CRTC, AM signals where they are needed because of spectal circumstances.
b) Local Programming

CATV can assist in the development of a community identity through locally produced programs; they can also assist provincial and local authorities in the development of educational services. They can participate in the enrichment of the communty's cultural life through the distribution of Canadian produced films, educational information, and other films of particular interest produced for public showing but not normally avallable in that area. CATV local programming should complement, rather than compete with, programming already available to the communt th through television and commercial movie houses.

## c) Alteration of Programming

There shall be no alteration of the programming received from broadcasting stations unless specified or approved by the Commission or unless required by law.

## d) Commercials

At present the Commission will not, except under special circumstances or for experimental purposes, license CATV undertakings which carry commercials other than those received in the programming broadcast by broadcasting stations.

The boundaries of a CATV system will be described on its licence. The Commission will not issue licences which may result in over-wiring. Exceptions may be made in the public interest.

TIME TO SERVE AN AREA
A licensee must have practical and definite plans in terms of service because he will be required to fully wire his area before the expiration of his licence.

## RATES

Service rates are a concern of the CRTC, in the public interest. They are a factor in considering the award of licences or licence renewals. The rate structure imposed in the licence may be adjusted only with the approval of the Commission.

LICENSING - HEAD-END
Each licence is issued covering the area to be served. The CATV undertaking serving the area under that licence may receive its programs from one or more head-ends.

## NETWORKS

The Commission will not approve networks in the normal broadcast meaning of the term. It may, however, approve the linking of adjacent CATV undertakings for the purpose of distributing locally-produced programs.

OWNERSHIP
The Commission is bound by the direction of the Governor in Council P.C. 1969-630, SOR 69/140, dated March 27, 1969. This direction states that the licensees who held Department of Transport licences for their systems on March 31, 1968, have until September 1, 1970, to conform.

The general ownership policies of the Commission apply to licensees of CATV undertakings.

## MICROWAVE

The Commission is developing its policy on microwave and expects to hear comments from interested parties when its hears applications, involving microwave, at its forthcoming public hearings during 1969.

# Comments may be filed with the Secretary, Canadian Radio-Television 

 Commission, 100 Metcalfe Street, Ottawa 4, Ontario.F.K. Foster, Secretary.

## PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT

## JULY 10, 1969

## ON THE LICENSING OF CABLE TELEVISION SYSTEMS

The Broadcasting Act, proclaimed on April 1, 1968, required operators of CATV systems licensed by the Department of Transport under the Radio Act, to apply on or before June 29, 1968, to this Commission for a licence.

In the past nine months the Canadian Radio-Television Commission has held seven public hearings affecting cable television systems in Canada. In an earlier announcement dated July 4, 1969, the Commission made public decisions on CATV licences for Hamilton, Ontario.

Decisions on applications affecting the Vancouver area, Toronto, and some areas of Southern Ontario are announced today. These bring the total number of CATV decisions released to date to 233. Most of the other decisions on CATV applications heard will be released within the next week or so. Some will be released in September.

At this point, the Commission is of the opinion that it will be useful to the public and the interested parties if a certain number of policy factors are expressed.

Some factors have made the licensing of cable systems particularly complex. In some parts of the country, like Toronto and Montreal and adjacent areas, several licences had been issued to serve the same territory. Sometimes, very large and very densely populated territories were covered by those licences. Some licensees were able to arrange for technical facilities to serve their area or part of their area, and some were not. Moreover, moreover, many operators made rapid efforts to expand their operations after the passing of the Broadcasting Act in April 1968.

On the other hand, after the public hearings began in September 1968, the importance of CATV became more apparent. Many persons or companies with the resources to provide a service became actively interested. The Commission had decided that no new applications would be heard before the existing Department of Transport licences had been considered at public hearings. However, in view of the policy review required by the Broadcasting Act, the Commission should make it possible for these persons or companies to apply for a licence.

The same opportunity should also be provided to persons or groups who held a Department of Transport licence and whose applications have been denied by the Commission after the hearings held during recent months.

The Comission adopts this position because it does not think that, under existing conditions, the public interest would be served better by recognizing a "fait accompli". In other words, the most urbanized parts of Canada would be divided into very large service areas allotted to a relatively small number of operating companies.

The Commission believes that it would serve the public interest better to leave room for a larger number of persons and companies to play a role in this new type of enterprise. However, the Commission has also been concerned about granting adequate service areas to companies who had invested very large resources and energy in cable television systems.

As a result of these views, the Commission has left certain areas unlicensed. It had denied some proposals for the expansion of coverage for systems already licensed by the Department of Transport. It has occasionally reduced the territory already granted by Department of Transport licences. And, finally, in some cases, it has granted licences on the condition that some large owners operating in several areas of the country and representing large coverage in a single area should divest themselves of their interest in a particular licensed company.

The Commission is also always aware of another major preaccupation -- the capability of a system to offer the best possible service to a given community. In this regard, the Commission has tried, as far as possible, to relate natural and communtty boundaries with CATV system boundaries. The Commission has, whenever possible, also favoured local ownership.

In making all decisions, the Commission has endeavoured to avoid disruption of existing service.

As a matter of policy, CATV licences at this stage are granted for a period of two years. Licensing conditions will be based upon the Commission's CATV policy, announced May 13, 1969. Companies licensed which do not presently comply with the Governor in Council direction on ownership, SOR/69-140, will as a further condition of their licence, be expected to do so by September 1, 1970.

The following decisions result from the application of the above-mentioned policy. Other specific reasons accompany individual decisions.

OTTAWA, DECEMBER 3, 1969

## PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT

## LICENSING POLICY IN RELATION TO COMMON CARRIERS

The Canadian Radio-Television Commission has considered the relationship between the common carriers and CATV operations for some time, and has recently had the opportunity to hear further comments by both the broadcasting industry and certain common carriers.

The CRTC has concluded that it would not be in the public interest to encourage common carriers to hold licences for CATV systems; however, the Commission recogntzes that the common carriers play an important role in the development of cable television and therefore, the CRTC hopes that the co-operation between the common carriers and the CATV industry will be maintained and expanded in order to ensure that CATV systems would have the ability to provide the necessary service to the public while maintaining control over their systems.

The Commission hopes that agreements between CATV operators and common carriers will reflect the concern of the Commission as expressed above and will assist in the development of the Canadian broadcasting system. The Commission also expects that any such agreements entered into by licensees will not inhibit the ability of licensees to implement the policy expectations of this Commission with regard to the development of CATV.

The Commission also realizes that under certain circumstances smaller common carrier companies may be the only entities capable of providing a CATV service as operators in certain of Canada's smaller population centres, and the Coumission will therefore consider any such applications for licences for CATV systems by common carriers on their individual merits.
F.K. Foster, Secretary.

## PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT

The Improvement and Development of Canadian Broadcasting and the Extension of U.S. Television Coverage in Canada by CATV.

On July 24, 1969, the Commission issued a public notice requesting briefs and opinions from interested groups and members of the public on the importation of broadcasting programs from distant foreign stations by the use of microwave. The Commission received briefs from a large number of interested parties. A list of those is attached in Appendix $I$.

On October 14, 1969, the Commission at its hearing in Vancouver also heard oral presentations on this matter from the parties whose names are attached in Appendix 2 .

Finally the Commission also heard views on this subject at its November 25 hearing in Ottawa. A list of the parties concerned is attached in Appendix 3.

The problem facing the Commission is not whether the technology of microwave should be used to help the development of cable television. It is to decide whether the use of additional techniques should be authorized to enlarge the coverage area of U.S. networks and U.S. stations and therefore their advertising markets in Canada.

The rapid acceleration of such a process throughout Canada would represent the most serious threat to Canadian broadcasting since 1932 before Parliament decided to vote the first Broadcasting Act. In the opinion of the Comission, it could disrupt the Canadian broadcasting system within a few years.

The fact that through force of circumstances many U.S. stations now cover parts of Canada, and that some of them seem to have been established mainly to reach Canadian audiences does not justify a decision of the Commission which would further accelerate this process.

In consequence the Commission will not licence broadcasting receiving undertakings (CATV) based on the use of microwave or other technical systems, for the wholesale importation of programs from distant U.S. stations and thereby the enlargement of the Canadian audience and market areas of U.S. networks or stations.

However, the Commission feels strongly that no part of the Canadian population should be penalized in order to preserve a theory or to protect vested interests: either financial interests of investors in private broadcasting or privileges accumulated by particular groups in public broadcasting. The Canadian broadcasting system is worth safeguarding only if it provides the Canadian population with essential services which could not be provided otherwise. It would not make sense to protect a Canadian system based essentially on the retailing of programs "using predominantly nonCanadian creatives and other resources." Certainly Canadians should not be denied access to the best material available from other countries. Any broadcasting system must remain constantly open to ideas coming from other parts of the world. Nevertheless the efforts of Canadians to maintain an independent broadcasting system can be justified only if this system achieves the high expectations established by Parliament in the Broadcasting Act of 1968.

The Commission is of the opinion that the Canadian broadcasting system, whose development the Commission must regulate and supervise, must now improve rapidly or risk disappearing as a system. To ensure its survival it is more and more apparent that it must increase the extension of services which the population requires, and improve the quality and variety of these services.

Decisions concerning applications for second television service will be expedited by the Commission in the coming weeks. These will cover areas such as Kamloops, Kelowna and Sudbury.

Studies concerning all other areas will be accelerated. However, it is important to recall that there are still regions of the country where there is no first television service either in English or in French and that the Commission will give priority to such areas.

Where second television service already exists, the Commission will consider all plans which have been or will be brought to its attention in order to accelerate the development of Canadian broadcasting services including CATV. The Commission will also accelerate its planning studies and will consult with broadcasters, including cable broadcasters and other interested parties, to determine the most effective way of achieving continuing development of cable broadcasting in Canada in harmony with the rest of broadcasting. Such a development should include all regions of the country including those where cable broadcasting is not now available such as the interior of British Columbia, parts of the Prairies, Ontario and Quebec, and the Atlantic Provinces.

The Commission is of the opinion that this development along an East-West axis is imperative in the public interest.

On May 13, 1969, in its announcement on cable television, the Commission said:
"Cable Television, which began as a service to remote communities with reception difficulties, has now become a major factor in the Canadian broadcasting'system and
has a potential for a wide range of services in all communities. These community programing services can be of a complementary rather a competitive nature to those already provided by other broadcasting services".

Without limiting the scope of possible revisions to its CATV policy the Coumission is of the opinion that in the consultations that will take place, particular attention should be given to the question of creating networks of cable systems to help develop original programming.

In conclusion, the Commission is convinced that acceleration of the present trend of extending coverage of U.S. networks \& stations in Canada and importing programs wholesale from the U.S. networks and stations by using microwave or other techniques will, in a relatively short time, risk disrupting the Canadian broadcasting system as established by the Broadcasting Act of 1968 and as developed in Canada since the Aird Report of 1929 and the Broadcasting Act of 1932.

The implementation of the present decision will require a considerable effort to produce the programs required to achieve successful communication among Canadians. This decision rests on the conviction that there is in Canada the talent and the ability in the various fields of expression and knowledge to make effective use of a complex communication system. Broadcasting in Canada can and must express the or iginality of Canada and Canadians. The Commission is determined that the hope and spirit embodied in the Broadcasting Act of 1968 will be successfully achieved.

Supplementary information on this decision is contained in Appendix 4.

## APPENDIX 4

Supplementary Information on the Commission's Decision Concerning the Improvement and Development of Canadian Broadcasting and the Extension of U.S. Television Coverage in Canada by CATV.

For more than forty years the need for a truly Canadian system of broadcasting has been affirmed by Royal Commissions, Parliamentary Committee, public leaders, Canadian newspapers and by the Canadian public.

It was obviously the opinion of Parliament when it passed the 1968 Broadcasting Act that the need to preserve a Canadian system of broadcasting remains as great in the $1970^{\prime}$ s as it was in the late $1920^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$. In the early 1930's the danger to a Canadian system of broadcasting was that the networks of the United States would enter directly into Canada, link up with Canadian stations and supply entirely U.S. programs.

This outcome was averted by establishing a national broadcasting service, first the Canadian Radio Broadcasting Commission in 1932, and later the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation in 1936. Moreover, U.S. networks were not allowed to feed Canadian stations except in a few special instances.

Today Canada has three television networks and two radio networks serving the country in English and in French. It also has several hundred local and regional stations providing the country with Canadian programming with emphasis on the local audience.

Everyone recognizes and so does the Commission that it is the system of Canadian broadcasting which must be protected in the public interest and which must be developed and improved. It is not the form or performance of this system at this particular moment which must be preserved; even less, of course, the vested interests of individuals or groups, either in the private of the public part of the system.

The following are a few selected statements which have been expressed through the years in support of a Canadian system of broadcasting:

THE AIRD REPORT, 1929

RT. HON. R.B. BENNETT
Feb. 16, 1932
"There has, however, been unanimity on one fundamental question - Canadian radio listeners want Canadian broadcasting."
'We believe that broadcasting should be considered of such importance in promoting the unity of the nation that a subsidy by the Dominion Government should be regarded as an essential aid to the general advantage of Canada rather than as an expedient to meet any deficit in the cost of maintenance of the service."
'We think that every avenue should be vigorously explored to give Canadian listeners the best programs available from sources at home and abroad."
"Canadians have the right to a system of broadcasting from Canadian sources equal in all respects to that of any other country."

1938 PARLIAMENTARY COMMITTEE

1939 PARLIAMENTARY COMMITTEE

1944 PARLIAMENTARY COMMITTEE

1950 PARLIAMENTARY COMMITTEE

## MASSEY COMMISSION <br> 1951 <br> Report of the Royal Commission on National Development in the Arts, Letters \& Sciences

1951 PARLIAMENTARY COMMITTEE

HON. J.J. McCANN
Dec. 8, 1952
'...your Committee wishes to express its belief that the broadcasting system emerging in Canada is, and can increasingly be, an important factor in creating a sense of national unity..."
".. Canada is in the happy position of having at her disposal a wide variety of material both from outside and inside the country. The Corporation's policy and practice appear to take advantage of this situation, while giving the maximum encouragement to Canadian talent."
"May your Committee point out that ever since 1928, every parliament, every political party, every parliamentary committee inquiring into the question has been in favour of a system similar to the one we now have."
"Such a system linking together Canadians in all parts of the country and broadcasting a large volume of material produced by Canadians is of great importance to the people of Canada as a whole. ...It is obviously in the national interest that television in Canada should be essentially Canadian...and that it carry in large proportion Canadian material, produced by Canadians...Such a development will undoubtedly be more expensive..."
"The national system with extensive coverage, cooperation of national and local stations, and programs in both languages emanating from every part of the country, has contributed powerfully, we were told, to a sense of Canadian unity. It does much to promote a knowledge and understanding of Canada as a whole, and of every Canadian region, and therefore aids in the development of a truly Canadian cultural life."
"The national system, however, has constantly kept in view its three objectives for broadcasting in Canada: an adequate coverage of the entire population, opportunities for Canadian talent and Canadian self-expression generally, and successful resistance to the absorption of Canada into the general cultural pattern of the United States."
"Your committee is strongly impressed with the vital need for the development of a television system that is essentially Canadian and which gives expression...to Canadian Ideas and aspirations."
'The Government belleves (television) should be so developed in Canada that it is capable of providing a sensible pattern of programming for Canadian homes with a least a good fortion of Canadian content reflecting Canadian ideas and creative abilities of our own people and 11fe in all parts of Canada."

FOWLER REPORT no 1
1957
Report of the Royal
Commission on Broadcasting

BROADCASTING ACT, 1958

0'LEAFY RFFCRT
1961
Report of the Royal
Commission on Publications

FOWT.ER REPGRT NO. 2
1965
Peport of the Committee
on broadcasting
'But as a nation we cannot accept in these powerful and persuasive media, the natural and complete flow of another nation's culture without danger to our national identity."
"From all three countries (United States, Unitéd Kingdom, France) Canada has derived, and we bope will continue to derive, great benefits. But it is a healthy thing if we determine to take these outside benefits only on our own terms and insist on weaving them into a Canadian fabric of our own making."
"If we want to have radio and television contribute to a Canadian consciousness and sense of identity, if we wish to make some part of the trade in ideas and culture move east and west across the country, if we seek to avoid engulfment by American cultural forces, we must regulate such matters as importation of programs, advertising content and Canadian production of programs."

Objects and purposes:
10. 'The Board (of Broadcast Governors) shall, for the purpose of ensuring the continued existence and efficient operation of a national broadcasting system and the provision of a varied and comprehensive broadcasting service of a high standard that is basically Canadian in content and character, regulate the establishment and operation of networks of broadcasting stations, the activities of public and private broadcasting stations in Canada, the relationship between them and provide for the final determination of all matters and questions in relation thereto."
"In this role, communications are the thread which binds together the fihers of a nation. They can protect a nation's values and encourage their practice. They can make democratic government possible and better government probable. They can soften sectional asperities and bring honorable compromises. They can inform and educate in the arts, the sciences and commerce. They can help market a nation's products and promote its material wealth. In these functions it may be claimed -claimed without much callenge -- that the communications of a nation are as vital to its life as its defences, and should receive at least as great a measure of national protection."
"Brcadcasting has national responsibilities and must awaken Canadians to Canadian realities."
"The real basis for insisting that our broadcasting service should be 'basically Canadian in content ard character' is that Canadians have or should have something to say to each other, and the powerful media of radio and television should be used to say it."

> "Left to operate freely, economic factors would quickly tend to make Canadian television stations mere extensions of the American networks."
> "...television programming will determine, in large measure, the future quality and unity of the Canadian community as a whole."
> "Canadian broadcasting would not be doing its fob if it did not strive to permit all Canadians from one ocean to the other to know themselves better; if it did not permit each of the two Canadian national cultures to express itself; if every ethnic group and every region of the country could not recognize itself through the broadcasting system and could not be known by every other ethnic group of every other region; if it did not provide something special for all Canadians artists, politicians, teachers, farmers, workers, students or housewives."
> "the Canadian broadcasting system must never become a mere agency for transmitting foreign programs, however excellent they may be. A population of 20 million people surely has something of its own to say, and broadcasting is an instrument by which it must have an opportunity to express itself."
> "There must be an adequate volume of Canadian production if artists and creators are to achieve a high level of quality in the programs they produce. They cannot make programs of high quality or meet the varying tastes of Canadian audiences if their volume of production is held to a bare minimum."
> "We believe that if Canadian artists and creators have greater opportunity to express themselves in all fields, a new dynamism and vitality in Canadian breadcasting will result to the benefit of Canadian Society as a whole."

In the Proadcasting Act of 1968 agaj.n the basic purpose of the Canadian broadcasting system is affirmed:

2(b) The Canadian broadcasting system should be effectively owned and contrcjled by Canadians so as to safeguard, enrich and strengthen the cultura], political, social and economic fabric of Canada;

2(g) The national broadcasting service should
(iv) contribute to the development of national unity and provide for a continuing expression of Canadian identity.

The problem faced by the Canadian Radio-Television Comission is to interpret the provisions of the Act in a time of changing technology. The Commission has decided that the wholesale importation of television programs from distant United States stations should not be accelerated by the use of varicus technical methods to feed cable television systems.

## Previous Licensing Policy

The Cable licensing policy under the Department of Transport prior to 1963, when essentially nc microwave was used, was highly permissive and no carriage restrictions were placed on cable systems with resfect to distant channels, provided one Canadian channel was used. There
no generally applicable restrictions as to what areas would and would not be licensed for cable. Some cable systems were licensed with little regard as to whether they could obtain a reasonably satisfactory reception of distant stations.

As a result of improvements in the antenna and other equipment of CATV systems, a greatly increased reach for distant signals began to make cable systems practical in many one-channel cities much further from the border.

Because of CATV applicetions based on such premises, the Minister of Transport in December 1963, applied a freeze on new CATV licences for systems intending to carry programs emanating from stations outside Canada. After consultation with the Board of Broadcast covernors, this freeze was Iffted in July, 1964, and applications were sent to the Board of Broadcast Governors for comment. The Minister in his statement in the House of Commons advised that there were two mafn concerns of the Government. One was the foref gn ownership aspect and the other was the possibility of CATV systems making any existing television station uneconomical or inhibiting the provision of a second Canadian television service. Applications for such systems were then referred to the foard of Broadcast Governors for comment as to the effect on broadcasting. The bulk of the CATV applications for areas which were candidates for a second service were, therefore, not granted by the Department of Transport due to the adverse impact on the establishment of second service. Most of these cities which did not have cable at that time were too far fram the border to relay satisfactory U.S. signals without the use of microwave.

By this date about $55 \%$ of the population of Canada residing in the border areas had a potential multi-channel service of Canadian and U.S. stations varying from good reception to poor. Part of this population was within range of U.S. stations with the use of home antennas. CATV systems in the larger centres in proximity to the border greatyy increased the reach of U.S. stations and improved the reception of the local stations.

Althcugh a potential service from U.S. stations ranging from poor to good is avallable to some $55 \%$ of the population of Canada, the actual weekly viewing in hours of U.S. stations based on a Bureau of Broadcast Measurement survey in November 1968 was $18 \%$ of total viewing. As the following sumary table indicates, the provinces most affected were British Columbja where it amounted to $40 \%$, Ontario $37 \%$ and Manitoba $14 \%$. The overall $18 \%$ viewing U.S. stations is equivalent to the total viewing for all CTV stations.

In areas affected by Unfted States stations, the increased viewing of Canadjan stations after 1961 would seem to be due largely to the establishment of the alternate Canadian service in 1961 . It cannot be entirely due to this since viewing of the only station in Kingston has also increased. More competitive CBC network programming, in terms of high ratings, may account for part of the increased viewing of Canadian stations including the Kingston staticn. In Toronto the Canadian share of the audifnce has increased from $30.6 \%$ in 1960 to $55.9 \%$ in 1968 . The corresponding increase in Vancouver is $35.5 \%$ to $51 \%$. The drop $j_{n}$ the Canadian share of viewing in Winnipeg from $100 \%$ in 1960 to $79.6 \%$ in 1968 , seems due to the establishing and later increase in antenna hefght and power of a U.S. station at Fembina, a village of about 200 persons just south of the internationaj torder. As the bulk of the audience of this station is in Metro Winnipeg, it seems obvious that the Pembina station was established tó tap the Canadián advertising market and if we may judge by the share of the Winnipeg audjence it has finally attracted ( $20.4 \%-1968$ ), it has succeeded in its mission after some lean years in the early 1960 's. For the six Canadian metro centres listed in Table 3 , it will be noted that the average U.S. share of the Canadiar television audience was $27.9 \%$.

February 12, 1970.

## PRESS RELEASE

## Television Commercials

The Canadian Radio-Television Commission today announced proposed new regulations governing the broadcasting of advertising on television.

The proposed regulations are designed to reduce interruptions in programs for advertising, to separate clearly advertising from programs, and to keep to a reasonable level the amount of material that is not directly relevant to the program being broadcast.

Regulations are also proposed to allow better editing of feature films to accommodate advertising, and to permit broadcasters greater use of natural breaks in the continuity of a live sports event for the insertion of commercials.

Broadcasters would be limited in the number of times they might interrupt a program for advertising once it begins. They might put four interruptions in the body of a one hour program, two in the body of half hour program, and one in the body of a program of 15 minutes. These restrictions would apply to advertising interruptions only; news bulletins would not be considered interruptions.

Broadcasters would be allowed a maximum of 12 minutes of advertising in each hour. But a new definition of advertising would include anything not directly directed to the program being broadcast, except station or network identification. Any material promoting the station or network or any program would be considered advertising material, and would have to be accommodated within the 12 minutes.

Since some television stations in Canada have sometimes reached 20 minutes or more of extraneous material in a broadcast hour, the Commission feels the proposed regulations will ensure that the television viewer will get as much program time as possible, consistent with the need of the television industry for advertising revenue.

The proposed regulations, like the present ones, would limit the number of minutes for advertising in a clock hour. (A clock hour is the 60 minute period that begins on the hour - 8 a.m. for example - and ends fust before the beginning of the next hour. A 60 minute program running from 7:30 a.m. to 8:30 a.m. occupies time in two clock hours). This has led to problems in editing longer programs, particularly feature films. Accordingly, the Commission proposes that where a program is more than an hour long, broadcasters may place advertising material as they wish, providing the rate of 12 advertising minutes an hour is observed, and providing that there are no more than four interruptions in each hour of the program.

Where a live sports event is being broadcast, there would be no limit on the number of interruptions, but the rate of 12 advertising minutes an hour would have to be observed. This would permit the frequent use of very short commercials during natural breaks in the action, and reduce the need for part of the action to be unseen while an advertisement is completed.

There is a trend to longer programs of news and public affairs, and such programs have improved significantly in recent times. The Commission recognizes the need for extra revenue to finance this form of public service, and proposes to allow broadcasters to sell advertising time during news programs, subject to precise restrictions.

Present regulations forbid the broadcast of commercials in newscasts. The Commission proposed to allow advertising messages, providing that there could be only one two-minute interruption after each 10 minutes of the news program. Advertisements in a news program would have to be clearly identified to distinguish them from the news program. The news reader would not be permitted to appear in the advertising material. No item of news might be interrupted for advertising material. Sponsorship of news programs will be permitted providing the presentation of the sponsorship identification is In good taste.

News coverage is costly, and it is in recognition of the costs involved that the Commission proposes to permit advertising during news programs. However, the Commission expects that broadcasters who take advantage of this permission will improve their news service, in quality as well as quantity.

The Commission invites comment and suggestions on these proposals by broadcasters and interested members of the public, and will hear discussion of them at its public hearing in Ottawa in April.

February 12, 1970.

## PRESS RELEASE

## Television Programs

The Canadian Radio-Television Commission today announced major new proposed regulations providing for more Canadian programs on television, particularly during the evening hours when viewing is at its peak.

Among the effects of these proposed regulations would be an increase by this Fall of about three hours a week of Canadian programs in the evening on CBC stations. Since private broadcasters would have until September of 1971 to comply with the same standards as the CBC, this would mean for many of them about four additional evening hours of Canadian programs a week by this Fall, and another three and a half hours by the start of the following September.

This would provide opportunities and work for many Canadian actors, writers, dancers, singers, newsreporters and analysts, directors, designers, and technical operators and experts of all sorts. The Commission hopes this will contribute to building a stronger, more vital and more attractive Canadian broadcasting system.

Under existing regulations, television broadcasters are required to fill 55 per cent of their schedules with Canadian programs. The figure is computed every three months by averaging the daily schedule over that time. Over the same three months, they must have 40 per cent of their evening schedules filled with Canadian programs.

A broadcasting system for Canadians should have Canadian programs as a matter of course, not as a special requirement. However, broadcasting is a system of communication, and should serve to help keep Canadians in touch with their neighbors in the world around them,

Therefore, the Commission proposes to permit broadcasters to use up to 40 per cent of their daily broadcast time for non-Canadian programs, but it also proposes to eliminate provisions by which certain programs of non-Canadian origin have been counted as Canadian.

To ensure further that television brings as wide a selection of the world's best programming as possible, the Commission proposes that programs from any country other than Canada be limited to 30 per cent of the schedule.

Except by individual decision of the Commission, programs of non-Canadian origin could not occupy, on the average, more than 40 per cent of a television station's schedule between 6 a.m. and midnight. Nor could such programs occupy, on the average, more than 40 per cent of the schedule between 6:30 p.m, and 11:30 p.m. The average would be computed over a four-week period, rather than the present three-month period.

The CBC, which has special responsibilities in broadcasting in Canada and is only partly dependent on advertising revenues to cover its expenses, would reduce its non-Canadian content to an average of up to 40 per cent during the specified time periods by September 1,1970 .

The Commission realizes that eliminating from the regulations those provisions under which programs obtained from non-Canadian sources were considered Canadian, would limit the use of certain traditional sources of supply for Canadian broadcasters. The Commission is aware that at present, during peak evening viewing hours, some major private stations have as little as 29 per cent of programs that would qualify as Canadian under its proposed regulations.

Accordingly, television broadcasters other than the CBC would reduce their programs of non-Canadian origin to an average of up to 50 per cent starting September 1, 1970, and further reduce their use of such programs to up to 40 per cent starting September $1,1971$.

The Commission invites comment and suggestions on these proposals by broadcasters and interested members of the public, and will hear discussion of them at its public hearing in Ottawa
in April.

February 12, 1970.

## PRESS RELEASE

## AM Radio Music

The Canadian Radio-Television Commission today announced proposed regulations for a twostage increase in the amount of Canadian music broadcast by AM radio stations through most of the broadcast day.

Starting on October 1, a minimum of 30 per cent of the musical compositions played by such stations during certain specified time periods would have to meet at least one of these four conditions:
-- the playing or singing (or both) of the composition must be principally by a Canadian;
-- the music was written by a Canadian;
-- the lyrics were written by a Canadian;
-- the performance was recorded in Canada.
After one year, starting on October 1,1971 , a minimum of 30 per cent of the music selections played during the specified periods would have to meet at least two of the same four conditions.

This requirement for a Canadian element in AM radio programming would have to be met daily during each four-hour period between $7 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. and $11 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$.

The Commission invites comment and suggestions on these proposals by broadcasters and interested members of the public, and will hear discussion of them at its public hearing in Ottawa in April 1970.



[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Ownership Section p. 58.

[^1]:    1969
    Arichat, N.S.
    Arichat, N.S.
    Pomquet, N.S.
    Fort Vermilion, Alta.
    High Level, Alta.
    Caledonia, N.S.

[^2]:    CATV
    CATV
    (Beauce Video Limitée)
    CATV
    (Beauce Video Limitée)
    (Coratel Services Ltd.)

[^3]:    1969
    cont'd...
    à

[^4]:    1．TH11－Cable TV Ltd．
    2．Request to carry on a CATV broadcasting under－ taking to serve Tillson－
    burg，Ont．

[^5]:    In the opinion of the Commission the approved
    satisfactory service to viewers in the area. satisfactory service to viewers in the area
    Conditions specified in licence. Two-year period.

    > Boundaries:

    From the intersection of western limits of
    the city of Hamilton, Upper Horning Road
    and Scenic Drive along the escarpment (south along Jolly Cut to Upper Wellington, southerly on Upper Wellington (west side) to Fennell Avenue, easterly along Fennell Avenue (south side) to Upper Sherman Avenue (east side), escarpment, east along the escarpment to โtfuuazio suote (apjs qunos) anuany Itfuuara

[^6]:    under boundaries

[^7]:    Dundas Street West and Southdown Road, then southeasterly along Southdown Road (west side) to intersection of Southdown Road and Queen Elizabeth Way, then northeasterly along Queen Elizabeth Way (south side) to a point directly opposite to intersection of Indian Road and Lorne Park Road, then south-
    easterly by a direct line (west side) to intersection of Indian Road and Lorne Park Road, then southeasterly along Lorne Park Road, Glen Road and Road, then northwesterly along Southdown Road (east side) to intersection of Southdown Road and Canadian National Railway tracks, southwesterly along Canadian National Railway tracks (north side) to intersection of Canadian National Railway tracks and Winston Churchill Boulevard, then northwesterly along Winston Churchill Boulevard (east side) to intersection of Winston Churchill Boulevard and Dundas Street West.

[^8]:    Ontario Department of Highways map "County of Middlesex", East Portion, revised February 1969
    Ontario Department of Highways map "County of Elgin", West Portion, revised January 1969.

[^9]:    Proposed station will provide a satisfactory service to listeners in the area.

    Commission grants a licence for a two-
    and other conditions which will be announced publicly and specified in the licence.
    cont'd...

    Approved

    1. Radio Maria-Chapdelaine
    Inc.官
    2. Application to carry
    on a new AM broadcasting undertaking at Chibouga-
    mau, Que. on the frequency of 1240 KHZ , with
    a power of 1000 watts

    Chibouga-

    ## Radio-AM

    - 

[^10]:    Proposed service - satisfactory

[^11]:    号 | CRTC | LOCATION A |
    | :---: | :---: |
    | DECISION | DATE OF |
    | NUMBER | HEARING |

[^12]:    from station CJON-TV
    St.John's, Nfld. and to
    retransmit those prog-
    rams on Channel 10,
    with a transmitter power
    of five watts, direc-
    tional antenna. tional antenna.

[^13]:    Approved In the opinion of the Commission, the
     area.

[^14]:    Commission has decided that this frequency,

